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Abstract

Product and process information integration and sharing throughout different stages of a product lifecycle is crucial to achieve collaborative engineering. A unified repository system that supports various CAx application tools is required. The ideal repository system must be able to store and maintain comprehensive engineering information. Advanced feature models implemented in a database system provide a potential solution. This paper reviews the merits and demerits of these technologies and emphasizes on the adoption of feature-oriented approach in database design. The repository system is expected to maintain the consistency and validity of information while allowing exchange of information among different systems. Since the interoperability among different CAx application systems is a bottleneck, this paper also discusses a generic feature representation schema intended to realize this interoperability. The purpose of this review is to identify technological gaps and find out promising research directions for future studies.
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1. Introduction

Product and process development determines the long-term viability of companies and even the related economies [65]. Generally, it consists of several phases ranging from the analysis of customer requirements to the adoption of products and processes by manufacturing, namely conceptual design [43], technical design, detail design [41] and manufacturing process development [66, 67]. During the past decades, computer-based tools have been introduced in industry to perform technical tasks such as drafting, design [63, 70, 77], process planning [15] and control [61], and quality assurance. These tasks are usually done independently in a serial fashion, known as “throwing it over the wall” approach. This approach possesses the shortcomings of higher costs and longer development cycles due to unavoidable iterative backtracks and modifications. The competition in the global market has forced companies to innovate products with the highest quality, at the lowest price [13, 21, 23]; and more importantly, shortening time-to-market will extend the effective product selling period, increase market share and achieve price priority [49, 51]. Therefore the concept of collaborative engineering was introduced.

Collaborative engineering is the application of team-collaboration practice to an organization’s development endeavors. It is built upon a computerized platform for cross-functional, highly effective and well-supported engineering decision making [38, 71, 72, 77]. Although collaborative engineering can offer substantial benefits, it is not yet clear how it can best be implemented. This approach requires an effective and efficient information repository system [7, 14, 33, 45, 57] which can integrate all the data of product lifecycle to achieve interoperability among different CAx systems. This repository management system required has to maintain the consistency and validity of product, process and management data in a concise manner. However, existing systems suffer from difficulties to fulfill these requirements.

This review begins with a section of “Feature technology” for modeling semantic product and process information, and then followed by section “Database technology”, where the trends and requirements of multi-application information sharing through database and database management systems is discussed. After that, a section of “Feature based repository” covers a newly proposed informatics approach to design an integrated repository for different CAx applications, including feature modeling, system design, and relations with geometrical modeling kernel. Next, a section of “Research issues and challenges” analyzes the limitations of the existing repository designs and highlights the new research directions in future. The “Conclusions” section summarizes this paper.
2. Feature technology

This section covers the recent works done on feature modeling, constraint solving and information sharing.

2.1 Feature definition

Features are originated in the reasoning processes and applied in various design and manufacturing activities. They were used to associate functional information with shape information. In 1980s, a feature was defined as a physical constituent of a part that is characterized as a generic shape having engineering significance and predictable properties [58]. In the early stages of feature technology development, features were usually predefined as parametric templates, which have precise geometries for feature-based modeling systems to initiate a feature object or for feature recognizers using pattern matching to recognize an application feature. For example, a feature was represented as a fixed attributed adjacency graph. In such definitions, only feature syntax, i.e. the topological and geometric relationships between different geometric entities of a feature are precisely predefined and these relationships are usually fixed [58]. Based on such fixed templates, some standards for exchanging product information among different CAx applications have been developed, including IGES, VDAFS, SET and STEP [27]. These standards focus on lower-level geometrical data. STEP has been extended to cover feature information, but it is limited to form features [29]. Those feature templates have two limitations. Firstly, they lack the flexibility to be extended. Secondly, the lack of specifications of feature semantics may result in information consistency breakdowns [52]. Bidarra, et al. [4] explained in detail the semantic problem suffered by most feature modeling systems, e.g. ill-defined feature semantics and lack of semantic maintenance; and proposed a semantic feature modeling approach based on cellular topology.

Currently, most of the CAx systems are parametric and feature-based. The advantage of using features in product development comes from the abstraction of feature information that retains not only the associative geometric information [58] but also much of the useful non-geometric information that reflects engineering semantics within different applications [18]. Therefore, higher-level feature information must be represented and associated such that engineering meaning is fully maintained and shared among different users. This idea evolves into the concept of associative features where a feature is a set of related entities of characteristics across components, assemblies and stages of applications; and relations among feature elements are modeled, implemented, evaluated and updated comprehensively throughout a feature’s lifecycle [44].

2.2 Modeling and solving constraint

One of the main tools on which feature-based modeling relies is constraint modeling [Hoffmann 2002]. Currently, almost all feature-based modeling systems use constraints to specify relationships among geometrical entities, topological entities and features. A constraint was traditionally defined as a specification of a relation that should be hold and associated to one or more entities or constraint variables. Constraint may have various types, such as geometric, algebraic, dimensional, semantic constraint. Geometric constraints specify the geometric relations between feature elements. Algebraic constraints define the relations among feature parameters using equations. Dimensional constraints specify distances between two feature entities. Semantic constraints specify topologic properties of feature elements. Bettig and Shah gave a classification of geometric constraints for the shape definition in CAD [3]. A constraint solving mechanism aims at determining whether it is possible to satisfy a set of constraints, and if it is possible, then assign the values to constrained variables. In CAD domain, Kumar et al. [36] used sequential approach to impose geometrical constraints to positioning rigid bodies during feature creation as well as assembly planning. Li et al. [42] used a DOF (degree of freedom)-based constructive approach to solve geometric constraints, which can also handle well-constrained, over-constrained and under-constrained situation on the basis of dependency analysis. Lee et al. [37] proposed a graph constructive approach which can handle ruler-and-compass non-constructible configurations, and under-constrained problems.

Ma et al. suggested that there are two methods to deal with constraint modeling issue, i.e. procedural or declarative ones [44]. The major difference of these two methods is that, in a procedural method, specifications of feature properties and procedures for manipulations of a feature instance are combined together in codes and runtime procedures while in declarative constraint modeling method
feature properties and their manipulations are decoupled. Object-oriented (OO) feature representations are typically declarative. A merit of declarative feature definition is that more modularity is achieved by the separation of feature definitions and feature instantiations. Further more, in OO approach, the class definition with properties and methods’ protocols are separate from the implementation routine functions; hence, a unified system independent feature implementation is possible [9]. Usually, constraints defined are limited within one application feature model; constraint integration of different applications has not been solved.

2.3 Feature information sharing
Traditionally, during data exchange, higher-level feature information loss occurs and only pure geometric data can be converted among different applications, although feature extraction and identification tools can partially recognize some feature information [17, 20, 25]. For example, feature relationships (constraints) cannot be recovered from the geometric data model. Some researchers [22, 30, 40] proposed to use design information as the input to derive downstream application models by feature conversion. However, their works support only one-way link which create change propagation and consistency problems. A multi-view feature modeling approach [6] was suggested that can support multi-way feature referencing by feature links, and then an “associative feature” definition was developed in [44] for establishing built-in links among related geometric entities of application-specific and multi-facet features with self-validation methods. A unified feature modeling method was recently proposed by Chen et al. [9], where a generic semantic feature model for different CAx applications covering three-level relations among geometric and non-geometric entities was introduced.

3. Database technology
3.1 Current trend and requirements for data repository
The need to share information between different engineering applications has long been recognized for collaborative engineering because tasks are to be carried out by multi-disciplined engineers who may be distributed in terms of both time and space; furthermore, different engineering partners need to use different applications. This practice means a product model generated from an application system has to be shared directly by other ones. Currently, interoperability among CAx applications becomes the bottleneck [48]. The development of a repository system which can manage all the information is an immediate need. The requirements for such a repository system are summarized as follows:

- Using international standards, since such standards allow participation by a wide variety of parties, including suppliers and customers.
- Consistent and unified engineering databases supporting different applications.
- A DBMS should be used to manage the storage and retrieval of the large amount of information for a reasonable sized cluster of companies. Such a DBMS can also readily allow for the integration with other functions within a company or across companies.
- Interactive 3-D graphical representations of parts and products for user manipulation.
- Mechanisms to check consistency and validity of information while sharing among different applications.

3.2 Multi-application information sharing through database
Inter-application information sharing can be done in two ways. The simplest way is sharing information in physical file format via proprietary data translators or Standard Data Access Interface (SDAI) [8, 28, 40, 78]. However, file-based approach suffers from disadvantages such as redundancy of the data over various files, multiple updates of the same data and potential conflicts, waste of storage space and non-user friendly access language. It does not provide an integrated view of product information and cannot implement an information model that is generic for different application programs.

The other way can be characterized as sharing information through access interfaces with database support. Database approach eliminates the limitations of file-based approach [60]. Modern database systems support multiple views of a common data resource to suit the needs of different functional areas and applications and to maximize reliability and availability by provision of efficient backup and recovery systems. In addition, databases can manage large amount of information and are very
powerful for operations. The DBMS can also ensure the security and transparency for the users of engineering data. Therefore databases are appropriate tools for information sharing among different CAx systems. Ideally, an integrated product database can store data that covers all aspects of the entire product lifecycle [12]. Multiple applications can access the product data, and may take advantage of database features such as query processing. Ou-Yang and Chang [53] explained the design and development of a distributed, open and intelligent product data management system by incorporating agent technology. However, the research is still in a preliminary stage.

### 3.3 Database management systems

A database management system (DBMS) controls shared accesses to a database and provides mechanisms that ensure the security and integrity of the stored data with greater flexibility than using physical file format. Traditional relational database management system (RDBMS) organizes data into tables. The rows and columns of a table represent records and attributes. The relational model has been adopted in products like ORACLE, DB2, INGRES, INFORMIX, SYBASE, etc. In contrast, object-oriented database management system (OODBMS), employs a data model that supports object-oriented encapsulation, inheritance and polymorphism; this category includes O2, ObjectStore, Objectivity, ITASCA, GemStone, SERVIO, etc. However, OODBMS lacks the sufficient efficiency and scalability in large applications. Most commonly used databases are a kind of hybrid of RDBMS and OODBMS, and called object-relational database management systems (ORDBMS) [Date 2000]. This breed of databases benefits from both the relational and the object models in scalability and support for rich data types. ORDBMSs employ data models that incorporate OO features. All database information is stored in tables, but some of the tabular entries may have richer data structure, termed abstract data types (ADTs). Large RDBMS vendors such as ORACLE, IBM, Informix now are too evolving toward this direction. Their products are ORACLE 9i, DB2, Universal Server respectively.

### 4. Feature-based repository

Currently, most of the CAx applications are parametric and feature-based. Therefore, feature information (feature semantics) should be maintained [4, 6]. A collaborative engineering environment can be viewed as an integrated, heterogeneous database system. However, in reality, features, even those form-features defined in STEP [29] had not been supported. Cunha et al. [14] and Lee et al. [37] proposed to use object-oriented way to define a feature-based product database but did not implement a system for real product design. No detailed database schema and supporting mechanisms were given.

Theoretically, a product master model can be used to integrate CAD systems with downstream applications via different feature views throughout the stages of a product lifecycle [45, 39]. Wang, et al. [71] and Ma et al. [45] put forward a collaborative feature-based design system to integrate different CAx systems with database support. The definition, classification and relationship of features are described. To alleviate communication load between client and server, Koparanova et al. developed an EMQ (engineering mediator query) system that can support collaborative design by sharing information [35]. Great effort has been taken to validate model geometry [39]. More research has to be done to investigate how features, constraints and geometrical entities are to be managed. Furthermore, the mechanism for updating feature models in the database server side has not been developed. The drawback of the proposed structures was the lack of geometrical engine integration to support model validation.

In order to integrate different CAx applications, a generic feature model must be defined such that application-specific feature model can be defined and integrated with the entire product model generated. Xue et al. [74, 75] proposed a distributed and feature-oriented database modeling approach by adopting VRML as the standard data format and AutoCAD as the geometry modeling kernel among multiple users. However, their distributed databases cannot eliminate duplicated data, e.g. geometric data shared by different applications is duplicated in different databases.

#### 4.1 Database Schema

Database schema architecture design is essential for any information system development. For example, schemas can be classified as external, conceptual and internal schemas. An internal schema specifies the physical storage model containing information such as file location, structures, indexing and access methods. External schemas are created from specific application data structure. A database
may contain several external schemas. Each external schema is a subset of the overall conceptual schema. The conceptual schema is the single consistent logical model of the complete database. Such a three-level schema provides DBMS extensibility because in order to integrate an application, you merely need to add an external schema. In addition, the three-level schema makes database natural to support multiple views while at the same time maintaining the data consistency.

4.2 Network-oriented integration platform

Computer network-based integration such as the concepts of intranet, extranet, and infranet has been widely applied. More importantly, internet, a worldwide system of computer networks, has become an essential broad platform to implement global design and manufacturing [1]. Computer network offers promising features. For example, the client-server architecture provides both the flexibility and the control for the sharing information among global collaborative users across the time and space zones. The potential high speed capability of the computer network can also be used to develop network-centric applications that are equivalent to stand-alone systems in terms of functionality, performance and usability [1, 26]. A number of computer network-based systems have been developed to support design collaboration [1, 2].

CORBA (Common Object Request Broker Architecture) is a standard architecture for distributed object systems. It allows a distributed, heterogeneous collection of objects to communicate across the network, and has been broadly used to develop collaborative systems. A new information integration platform based on agent and internet for computer integrated manufacturing system (CIMS) was presented in [64]. Wang and Tang [72] reported a pilot application of a decision-making environment for product design in extended enterprises using collaborative design and manufacturing agents. The system was implemented in an agent-based environment for conveying design and manufacture information across traditional technology boundaries.

5. Research issues and challenges

As reviewed in this paper, although a lot of research and development works has been done on enabling technologies of collaborative engineering, technological gaps between the industry requirements and the available solutions still exist. Ideally, in order to support collaborative product development, an information repository system should:

- Use international standard, since such standard allows participation by a wide variety of parties, including suppliers and customers.
- Extend unified product information model to cover entire product lifecycle such that different applications can be integrated. Further more, it should contain uniformly higher-level feature information so that engineering semantics can be maintained.
- Provide physical repository and efficient mechanism to manage large amount of product data.
- Manage the information in a concise and effective manner such that the entire product lifecycle information needs to be generated only once. Associated data is automatically synchronized.

5.1 Incorporation of a universal geometrical modeling kernel

All feature-based modeling applications have to be built around a geometry modeling kernel. A geometry kernel can provide lower-level geometrical modeling services to support higher-level parametric feature-based modeling. Currently, there are only a few geometrical modeling kernels commercially available. ‘Parasolid’ by Siemens Inc. and ‘ACIS’ 3D by Spatial Inc. are two well-known geometrical modeling kernels that were adopted by most commercial CAD systems. Historically, ACIS had come from a more linear solid background that made it a more natural fit for CAM while Parasolid had excelled more in blending and curving, which made it better in consumer products. Both kernels are rapidly evolving. They complement existing applications by offering platforms for the modeling of curves, surfaces, and solids. They also support the integration of proprietary curve and surface subsystems. Low level geometrical entity representation and model structures have to be unified. An interface (OpenDIS) between the geometric modeling kernel and the DBMS for the implementation of CAD system that uses the STEP database as the native storage was reported in [33]. However, since STEP cannot fully cover feature information for different CAx applications, using only STEP-based product specification is not sufficient to ensure a fully-integrated comprehensive product model. Recently, the concept of feature operation was defined by Chen et al.,
and realized using a markup language [11]. Any geometrical kernel should provide a foundation of common modeling functionality and the flexibility to be adapted and extended for particular application requirements. Core geometry definitions can be guided by the existing STEP standards.

5.2 Shared consistent repository and constraint solving for evaluation and validation
Product and process information can no longer stored in a file format [8, 78], which means duplicated data and potential conflicts. It seems active database approach supported with web services is a promising direction to incorporate constraints into product and process repository [62, 45]. However, high level semantics and ontological reasoning are limited by the absence of a unified feature scheme in engineering models [34, 50, 76]. However, advanced constraint modeling is far behind the evolution of advanced feature definitions [5, 37]. Unification of complex constraints is new field of research with great potential impact for the proposed feature-based repository technology [9, 67]. A product change protocol was proposed to maintain the links between application feature information and the shared product master model stored in a central database [10]. However, maintaining the association between product master model and the distributed application proprietary feature semantics needs more investigation [56]. In addition, although those distributed clients in the same domain (e.g. CAD domain) can access the master model concurrently, but the consistency maintenance of the product master model with constant updates remains a problem [62].

5.4 Development of an efficient feature-oriented engineering database
Although there are a few research works as reviewed in this paper, which have focused on building an engineering database to support collaborative product development, many of them focus on higher-level conceptualization [14, 19, 37, 71, 74, 75] without explicit database schema definitions. In addition, most of them did not provide efficient and effective mechanisms to support product feature information management in a consistent and concurrent manner [46, 59]. Last but not least, without higher-level information integration infrastructure, their works suffer from the problem of extensibility. To achieve a unified solution to manage diverse feature types, comprehensive modeling of a generic feature definition with built in methods of database schemas and repository access and management methods is essential. Most of the repository design lack of a higher-level generic feature definition, which can be used as a template for database schema extension in the future. Therefore, these proposed databases suffer from the scalability problem [54, 55].

5.5 Feature level interoperability
The standards of data exchange developed so far, such as IGES, SET and STEP, deal with only lower level geometric information. Interoperability of systems has been limited to geometric entity level, and semantic level information cannot be communicated [76]. To achieve the desired collaboration among various application systems [68, 79], feature level interoperability is important [48]. Feature operations can exchange or share the total information among different CAx systems [11, 32, 73]. Although many feature based CAx systems have been developed, there is no interoperability among different features of different packages [31].

6. Conclusions
A common information infrastructure that can support information sharing among a large number of CAx systems is urgently required for collaborative engineering. This paper presents an updated review on the research efforts in this direction. More specifically, it emphasizes on strategies of information sharing, the existing standards, feature technology, integration platform, database technology and feature based repository design. Technological gaps existing in the field have been identified. The authors believe that feature-based repository possess the potential to address many of the limitations. Reducing these gaps are the challenges for research to embrace the advantages from a collaborative engineering environment.
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