# Surface Properties are a Major Factor Controlling the Dispersibility of Dry Powder Protein Formulations Intended for Inhalation

#### <sup>1,2</sup> Ajit Joseph M. D'Souza, <sup>1</sup>Yuan Song, <sup>1</sup>Danforth P. Miller, <sup>1</sup>Cheryl M. Stults, <sup>1</sup>Reinhard Vehring, <sup>1</sup>David Lechuga-Ballesteros, <sup>1</sup>Mei-chang Kuo <sup>1</sup>Nektar Therapeutics, San Carlos, California; <sup>2</sup>Current Address: The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas

## **NEKTAR**<sup>®</sup>

#### OBJECTIVES

To demonstrate the effects of surface properties on the dispersibility of dry powder protein formulations intended for inhalation.

#### INTRODUCTION

Significant efforts have been devoted to optimizing and enhancing the performance of dry powder areosof formulations. However, information regarding the effect of physicochemical properties of the powders on aerosol performance remains scarce. Our research group has been developing dry moder formulations intended for pulmonary delivery utilizing Nektra's pulmonary delivery systems (PDS). In our experience spray dried powders with predominantly non mar surfaces are more dispersible than those with polar surfaces. To investigate the effect of surface properties on aerosol performance of spray dried powders we have used hemoglobin and mysglobin formulations as model compounds.

#### METHODS & MATERIALS

#### Surface Activity of Proteins

Surface tension of each solution prior to spray drying was measured using the Wilhelmy plate method using a Krüss model K 2Tensiometer. Equilibrium adsorption parameters were determined by fitting the surface tension to the Szyszkowski Equation.

#### Spray Drying of the Formulations

Aqueous solutions of protein (hemoglobin or myoglobin) and trehalose containing 1% w/w solids were spray dried in a Buchi 190 spray dryer. The liquid feed rate was 5 ml/min, inlet temperature was maintained at 110°C and outlet temperature of 60°C, with an atomizer pressure of 60 psi and a vacuum of 90 bars.

#### Mathematical Modeling of Particle Formation during Spray Drying

Using an analytical description of the evaporation process given by Leong [1] and the parameters reported below the surface composition of the spray dried particles were estimated, using the a simple model where the surface concentration,  $C_{\mu}$  can be expressed as a function of time and the initial conditions and the droplet radius R or diameter, d.



#### Parameters for simulation

Molecule	MW (Da)	Solubility* (mg/ml)	Diffusion Coefficient* (m²/s)	Pe Peclet number	β
Hemoglobin	64500	8	6.9 x 10-11	7.60	4.94
Myoglobin	17000	100	9.0 x 10 <sup>-11</sup>	5.80	2.45
Trehalose	342	-	5.0 x 10-10	1.05	0.46

Presented at 25 of 24 and 24 and 25 a

#### Aerosol Characterization

The aerosol performance of each powder was characterized by their in vitro emitted dose (ED) and their fine particle mass less than 3.3 µm (FPM-S3.3µm) determined by using the PDS and an Andersen Cascade Impactor (ACI), respectively, under controlled ambient conditions (21°C and 40% RH).



#### Powder Characterization

Particle morphology was evaluated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Powder surface composition was determined by X sup hotoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The specific surface area was measured from the experimentally determined N, BET adsorption isotherm. The true density of the powder was measured with a helium pycnometer. Surface energy was measured by inverse oas chromatorachy (IGC) at 30°C.

#### RESULT

Surface Activity of Proteins in Aqueous Solution Equilibrium surface tension isotherm of an aqueous solution of Myoglobin at 10



The curve represents a mathematical fit to the Szyszkowski Equation.

#### Equilibrium adsorption parameters for Hemoglobin and Myoglobin at 25 °C

Protein	Adsorption Constant K <sub>L</sub> (mol/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Surface Excess <b>F</b> <sub>m</sub> (mol/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
Hemoglobin	(13.4 ± 8.0) x 10 <sup>6</sup>	(1.9 ± 0.3) x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	
Myoglobin	(0.6 ± 0.2) x 10 <sup>6</sup>	(1.5 ± 0.1) x 10-6	

The adsorption parameters indicate that both proteins are surface active.
Hemoglobin is more surface active than myoglobin.



Particle Formation Mechanism



Figure 5. During spray drying (A), formulation components in solution preferentially orient at the surface due to their surface activity. Surface activity promotes the formation of a non-polar, low energy surface. (B) Preferential surface enrichment is inversely proportional to their aqueous solutility.

#### Particle Morphology



- Trehalose due to its large solubility forms spherical particles
- Myoglobin/trehalose formulations form smooth particles

100% Trehalose

 Hemoglobin/trehalose formulations form wrinkled particles due to the low solubility of hemoglobin

Qualitatively, the morphology differences observed for myoglobin and hemoglobin can be explained as follows: The less soluble protein will precipitate from the solution earlier during the spray drying process, i.e. the "skin" of the particle is formed early, when the droptet are still big, they then "deflate" and form the wrinkled morphology. In contrast, more soluble compounds form the skin later and result in more spherical particles because the size doesn't have to shrink as much after the skin in formed.

 The enrichment of protein concentration on the particle surface is dominated by the diffusivity and solubility of the protein and less by the surface activity of the protein.



 In spray died formulations of protein/trehalose mixtures, the protein preferentially accumulates at the particle surface.

#### Correlation between calculated surface protein concentration and measured protein concentration

The surface protein concentration measured using XPS is correlated with that calculated based on the diffusivity and solubility of the formulation ingredients.

#### Surface Energy and Surface Polarity Measured by iGC



ICC analysis provides a measurement of the surface energy due to the non polar character of a solid surface based on interactions with non polar probes such as alkanes, which is referred to as dispersive surface energy, y<sup>6</sup>. Interactions with polar probes, classified based on their acid base properties, the powder surface provides a measurement of its polarity, y<sup>4</sup> of neat trehalose and neat protein are similar. The observed increase at 6 20% myoglobin formulations may be related only to the amount of globular protein on the parkide surface. Polar probes show that the acid/basic character of the surface is more sensitive to changes of the surface composition.

# Surface composition contributes to aerosol performance



### Dependence of Fine particle fraction < 3.3 $\mu m$ on surface protein concentration.

The powders containing hemoglobin and myoglobin performed better than the powder containing 100% trehaloss. The performance correlates with the surface protein concentration. Hemoglobin containing powders performed better than those containing myoglobin. This along with the higher surface activity of hemoglobin compared to myoglobin suggests that aerosol performance is related to the surface activity of the protein.

Surface active molecules orient at the air/liquid interface during drying and the non polar portions enrich the surface as suggested by the correlation of the fine particle fraction and the dispersive surface energy.

- Aerosol performance is dependent on the surface protein concentration
- Aerosol performance is related to the surface activity of the protein in the formulation
- Aerosol performance is also related to surface energy of the particles' surface measured by iGC

#### CONCLUSIONS

#### Hemoglobin and Myoglobin are surface active

- Hemoglobin is more surface active than myoglobin
- The morphology and the surface enrichment of spray-dried protein powders is dominated by the solubility and diffusion coefficient of the protein molecule
- Aerosol performance of such powders dependens on the surface activity of the protein in the formulation and its surface concentration
- Control of the surface properties of the particles determines the performance of dry powder aerosols

#### REFERENCE

1. Leong, K. H. (1987). J Aerosol Sci 18, 511.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the experimental work of Yi Liang of Nektar Therapeutics. XPS measurements were performed by Chuck Savage at CR Scientific, Artington, TX.