Meta-learning for Predictive Knowledge Architectures: A Case Study Using TIDBD on a Sensor-rich Robotic Arm
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ABSTRACT

Predictive approaches to modelling the environment have seen recent successes in robotics and other long-lived applications. These predictive knowledge architectures are learned incrementally and online, through interaction with the environment. One challenge for applications of predictive knowledge is the necessity of tuning feature representations and parameter values: no single step size will be appropriate for every prediction. Furthermore, as sensor signals might be subject to change in a non-stationary world, predefined step sizes cannot be sufficient for an autonomous agent. In this paper, we explore Temporal-Difference Incremental Delta-Bar-Delta (TIDBD)—a meta-learning method for temporal-difference (TD) learning which adapts a vector of many step sizes, allowing for simultaneous step size tuning and representation learning. We demonstrate that, for a predictive knowledge application, TIDBD is a viable alternative to tuning step-size parameters, by showing that the performance of TIDBD is comparable to that of TD with an exhaustive parameter search. Performance here is measured in terms of root mean squared difference from the true value, calculated offline. Moreover, TIDBD can perform representation learning, potentially supporting robust learning in the face of failing sensors. The ability for an autonomous agent to adapt its own learning and adjust its representation based on interactions with its environment is a key capability. With its potential to fulfill these desiderata, meta-learning is a promising component for future systems.
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Introduction: The real world is non-stationary and complex, so many of the challenges facing an autonomous agent cannot be completely foreseen. An agent should therefore be empowered to adapt to its environment without human assistance. General value functions (GVFs) [16, 18] allow agents to incrementally construct knowledge of the environment purely through interaction [2, 11]. With a GVF architecture, the environment is modelled as a set of forecasts—GVFs—about how signals of interest will behave. An agent’s actions affect its world, so these forecasts are made with consideration to a policy of agent behaviour. In this way, these predictions can capture forward-looking aspects of the environment such as, "If I continue moving my arm to the right, how hot do I expect my elbow servo to get?" Many GVFs can be simultaneously made and learned online, in real time [8], using methods such as temporal-difference (TD) learning [15] and other standard learning algorithms from the field of reinforcement learning. GVFs have already shown their potential in multiple real-world domains [e.g., 1, 4, 5, 10, 13]. Many simultaneous GVFs can be learned using a single, shared representation [8], but no single step size will be appropriate for all predictions, and no representation will be equally suitable for all predictions. Therefore, it is desirable to tune both the step size and the representations for each individual prediction. One tuning method is Temporal-Difference Incremental Delta-Bar-Delta (TIDBD) [6], a step-size adaptation method for TD learning.

TIDBD adjusts a vector of many step sizes—one step size for each feature. By adapting step sizes on a per-feature basis, we are able to tune them based on their relevance; features which are highly correlated to the prediction problem should be given large step sizes, while irrelevant features should be given smaller step sizes.

In this work, we use experiments to investigate the effect that TIDBD has on predictions about real sensor signals provided by a sensor-rich robotic arm—the Modular Prosthetic Limb (MPL), shown in Figure 1. The sensor data was recorded during alternating patterns of rest and movement, which can be viewed at https://blinclab.ca/mpcl_teleop_video/.

Experiment 1: Our primary experiment compared TIDBD, which assigns individual step sizes to features, to classic TD, which uses a fixed step size for all features. For both learning algorithms (TD and TIDBD), we used selective Kanerva coding [17] to choose features to represent the 108-dimensional sensor space for linear function

approximation. For each learning algorithm, we performed an extensive (full-factorial) parameter sweep to set the feature representation, and, additionally for TD only, the fixed step size. We did not tune the initial step size for TIDBD, to better demonstrate its performance using a generic value.

We compared the root mean squared error obtained with TIDBD and classic TD. As shown in Figure 1, the two algorithms perform comparably, given careful choice of step size for classic TD. For both TD and TIDBD, the error (shown as violin plots in the lower panes of Figure 1) is primarily due to a small number of GVFs—the majority of the predictions are learned quickly. The most noticeable difference in performance between the two algorithms is that TIDBD shows better early learning (during ‘rest 1’).

We therefore suggest that roboticists should consider using a step-size adaptation method like TIDBD for prediction learning with general value functions, rather than performing an extensive sweep over step sizes for classic TD.

We tuned parameters offline and chose a step size for TD that provided the best performance over the total duration of the data. This advantage is not available for designers of truly online, autonomous agents, so any fixed step size would offer worse performance—which would worsen with wear-and-tear or unexpected changes in the environment. Parameter tuning is computationally expensive and time intensive. It is often skipped and substituted with a general-use parameter value—to the detriment of performance. With this experiment, we demonstrated that a step size adaptation method can replace hand-tuning, and compare well to even the best-case fixed step size. In our experiments, the time to update all step sizes remained within real-time requirements (0.28s).

Experiment 2: As a second series of experiments, we modified the original sensor data to simulate four broken sensors [9], and then to simulate four stuck sensors [7]. We found that TIDBD gradually and automatically excluded the broken sensor signals from the representation by decreasing the associated step sizes. While TIDBD did not automatically resolve the issues created by stuck sensors, the resulting changes in step sizes were clearly distinguishable from those seen during normal functioning of the arm. These results suggest that it may be possible to automatically identify certain sensor failures by monitoring changes to a group of step sizes.

Conclusions: These three results—the observation that TIDBD appropriately updates step sizes to accommodate non-stationarity, the distinct reaction of a group of step sizes to stuck sensors, and the automatic feature selection performed by TIDBD for uninformative sensors—are promising key findings for long-term autonomous agents. They empower an agent to not only adapt its learning based on interactions with its environment, but to evaluate and improve its own perception of said environment. As the step sizes provided by TIDBD contain information about the history of each feature, step sizes could also provide an important source of information for the agent itself to learn from. Such introspective signals have already been argued to be a helpful source of information for an agent to better understand its environment and its own functioning within its environment [3, 12, 14]. The insights provided by this work therefore offer a deeper understanding and intuition about TIDBD, aiming to help other designers in creating agents that are capable of autonomous learning and adaptation through interaction with their environment.
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