

Ch. 20 - Hypotheses and Test Procedures

Def'n: A null hypothesis is a claim about a population parameter that is assumed to be true until it is declared false.

An alternative hypothesis is a claim about a population parameter that will be true if the null hypothesis is false.

In carrying out a test of H_0 vs. H_A , the hypothesis H_0 is “rejected” in favour of H_A only if sample evidence strongly suggests that H_0 is false. If the sample does not contain such evidence, H_0 is “not rejected” or you “fail to reject” it.

NEVER “accept” H_0 or H_A ...for different reasons.

Ex20.1) $H_0: \mu = 2.8$ $H_A: \mu \neq 2.8$
 ↑ ↑
 pop'n characteristic hypothesized value or “claim”

Def'n: A two-tailed test has “rejection regions” in both tails.

A one-tailed test has a “rejection region” in one tail.

A lower-tailed test has the “rejection region” in the left tail.

An upper-tailed test has the “rejection region” in the right tail.

Ex20.2)

a)	$H_0: \mu = 15$	$H_A: \mu = 15$	→ INCORRECT
b)	$H_0: \mu = 123$	$H_A: \mu = 125$	→ INCORRECT
c)	$H_0: \mu = 123$	$H_A: \mu < 123$	→ CORRECT
d)	$H_0: \mu \geq 123$	$H_A: \mu < 123$	→ CORRECT
e)	$H_0: p = 0.4$	$H_A: p > 0.6$	→ INCORRECT
f)	$H_0: p = 1.5$	$H_A: p > 1.5$	→ INCORRECT
g)	$H_0: \hat{p} = 0.1$	$H_A: \hat{p} \neq 0.1$	→ INCORRECT

	Two-Tailed Test	Lower-Tailed Test	Upper-Tailed Test
Sign for H_0	=	= or $\geq$	= or $\leq$
Sign for H_A	$\neq$	<	>
“Rejection region”	In both tails	In the left tail	In the right tail

Ex20.3)

Is the mean different than μ_0 ?	$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$	$H_A: \mu \neq \mu_0$
Is the mean lower than μ_0 ?	$H_0: \mu \geq \mu_0$	$H_A: \mu < \mu_0$
Is the mean lower or still the same than μ_0 ?	$H_0: \mu \leq \mu_0$	$H_A: \mu > \mu_0$
Is the mean higher than μ_0 ?	$H_0: \mu \leq \mu_0$	$H_A: \mu > \mu_0$

Def'n: A test statistic is the function of the sample data on which a conclusion to reject or fail to reject H_0 is based. For example, Z and t are test statistics.

The P-value is a measure of inconsistency between the hypothesized value for a pop'n characteristic and the observed sample. Assuming H_0 is true, the P-value can be defined as the probability of obtaining a test statistic value at least as inconsistent with H_0 as what actually resulted. Keep in mind that we *want* to be inconsistent with H_0 to reject it. **Thus, the smaller the P-value, the more likely we reject H_0 .**

The significance level (denoted by α) is a number such that we reject H_0 if the P -value is less than or equal to that number.

The “significance level approach”:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{reject } H_0 \text{ if } p\text{-value} \leq \alpha \\ &\text{do not reject } H_0 \text{ if } p\text{-value} > \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Common choices for α are 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1, depending on the nature of the test.

PROBLEMS:

- a) If you’re comparing to $\alpha = 0.05$, are the P -values 0.045 and 0.000 001 “different”?
- b) If we use a “cut-off” like $\alpha = 0.05$, does it make sense to conclude differently between P -values of 0.049 and 0.051?

Solution: ALWAYS report your P -value! That way a reader may draw their own conclusions. Moreover, use the “judgment approach” for rejection. Here, there’s a tendency of avoiding “cut-off” points and going toward some “acceptable” guidelines:

- $0.01 > P\text{-value} > 0 \rightarrow$ strong to convincing evidence against H_0
- $0.05 > P\text{-value} > 0.01 \rightarrow$ moderate to strong evidence against H_0
- $0.10 > P\text{-value} > 0.05 \rightarrow$ suggestive to moderate evidence against H_0 , yet inconclusive
- $1 > P\text{-value} > 0.1 \rightarrow$ weak evidence against H_0

Steps of a Significance Test:

1. *Assumptions*: Specify variable/parameter. What assumptions apply? Do they hold?
2. *Hypotheses*: State the null/alternative hypotheses. (Select α for the test.)
3. *Test statistic*: Use the appropriate formula for the given situation.
4. *P-value*: Determine an exact value or range.
5. *Conclusion*: Make a decision and conclude within the context of the problem.

Significance Tests About Proportions

Recall the 3 rules from Chapter 18. They collectively imply that when n is large,

$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} \text{ is approximately } N(0, 1).$$

Assumptions: categorical variable, random sample, $np_0 \geq 15$ and $n(1 - p_0) \geq 15$.

Ex20.4) Recall the survey of random people from Ex19.3). Suppose p is 0.240. Does the sample disprove this claim? Test the claim using both approaches and $\alpha = 0.01$.

To check if the sample is large, we calculate

$$np_0 = 1356(0.240) = 325.44 \quad n(1 - p_0) = 1356(1 - 0.240) = 1030.56$$

Since both values are greater than 15, the sample size is large. Consequently, we may use a normal distribution. (Note also the sample is random.)

$$H_0: p = 0.240$$

$$H_A: p \neq 0.240$$

$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.265 - 0.240}{\sqrt{\frac{0.240(1-0.240)}{1356}}} = \frac{0.025}{0.0116} = 2.13$$

$$P\text{-value} = 2 * P(Z > 2.13) = 2 * (1 - 0.9834) = 2 * (0.0166) = 0.0332$$

Using the “significance level approach”, we have $\alpha = 0.01 < 0.0332$, so we do not reject H_0 . Using the “judgment approach”, we have moderate to strong evidence against H_0 . Using SLA, the claimed population proportion could still be valid, but the JA concludes that the claimed value is inappropriate.

(Note that one-tailed tests with this example were also discussed in class.)

Summary

Each hypothesis test should include:

- clear null & alternative hypotheses
- assumptions (stated and checked)
- appropriately-used test statistic (show the formula, identify its distribution)
- calculation of both the test statistic and P -value (exact or range)
- conclusion in the context of the problem

Ch. 21 - Errors in Hypothesis Testing

In any hypothesis test, there is 1 of 2 choices: reject or not reject. There is also 1 of 2 choices as the test applies to reality: H_0 is true or H_0 is false.

		Actual situation	
		H_0 is true	H_0 is false
Decision	Do not reject H_0	Correct Decision	Type II or β error
	Reject H_0	Type I or α error	Correct Decision

Def'n: A Type I error occurs when a true null hypothesis is rejected. The value of α represents the prob. of committing this type of error; that is,

$$\alpha = P(H_0 \text{ is rejected} \mid H_0 \text{ is true})$$

The value of α represents the *significance level* of the test.

A Type II error occurs when a false null hypothesis is not rejected. The value of β represents the prob. of committing a Type II error; that is,

$$\beta = P(H_0 \text{ is not rejected} \mid H_0 \text{ is false})$$

The value of $1 - \beta$ is called the *power of the test*. It represents the probability of NOT making a Type II error. Or, power = $P(\text{rejecting } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is false})$.

Ex21.2) H_0 : “innocent until proven guilty”

		Actual situation	
		Innocent	Guilty
Jury's decision	Find not guilty	Correct Decision	Type II or β error
	Find guilty	Type I or α error	Correct Decision

These 2 errors are dependent. For a fixed sample size, lowering α will raise β and vice versa. Decreasing α and β simultaneously requires increasing the sample size. Further information on the errors are not covered in this course.