Table 2-1

Sampling Design, Final Target Sample, and Completed Interviews

City	Total Individuals	(presumed) *		(desired sample) #			(final target sample) @			Completed	Interview
		Families	Singles	Families	Singles	TOTAL	Families	Singles	TOTAL	Interviews	Rate ^
Edmonton	2203	399	732	70	60	235	69	60	236	136	58%
Calgary	2149	374	864	65	65	228	61	65	231	162	70%
Lethbridge	312	68	78	40	40	140	35	38	196	122	62%
Red Deer	234	55	41	35	25	113	29	21	110	76	69%
Medicine Hat	209	45	52	25	30	93	22	27	115	78	68%
Grand Prairie	71	16	10	16	10	50	16	10	45	27	60%
Fort McMurray	30	6	9	6	9	24	6	9	23	15	65%
Total	5208	963	1786	257	239	883	238	230	956	616	64%

^{*} Presumed number of families and individuals based on visual inspection of complete record of all refugees in the CIC data base destined for the seven communities (column 1).

[#] Desired sample of (an estimated) 883 individuals constructed (roughly) proportional to the presumed number of families and singles in the CIC data base for each host community; all families and singles in the two smallest communities included in the desired sample. TOTAL assumes 2.5 potential interviews per family (not all families will include someone aged 15 to 21).

[@] Actual number of families and singles that the research team tried to locate for possible interviews.

[^] Interview rate = (number of completed interviews/total number of individuals in final target sample). A significant number of the target sample members had moved, to a variety of locations across the country. Even though most (95%) could be located, it was not feasible to attempt interviews with all of them. Interviews were requested with a total of 648 individuals. Only 32 refused to participate in the survey, translating into a response rate of 95%.