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ABSTRACT
Linear prediction filters in the $f$-$x$ domain are widely used to interpolate regularly sampled data. In this paper, we study the problem of reconstructing irregularly missing data on a regular grid using linear prediction filters. We propose a two-stage algorithm. First, we reconstruct the unaliased part of the data spectrum using a Fourier method (Minimum Weighted Norm Interpolation). Then, prediction filters for all the frequencies are extracted from the reconstructed low frequencies. The latter is implemented via a Multi-Step Auto-Regressive (MSAR) algorithm. Finally, these prediction filters are used to reconstruct the complete data in the $f$-$x$ domain. The applicability of the proposed method is examined using synthetic and field data examples.

INTRODUCTION
Reconstruction of seismic data using statistical approaches is an ongoing research topic in exploration seismology. While many methods are based on statistical estimation theory, they also utilize information from the physics of wave propagation by taking into account relevant a priori information and assumptions. Methods proposed by Spitz (1991), Porsani (1999) and Gulunay (2003) successfully address interpolating spatially aliased regularly sampled data. These methods utilize low frequency information to recover high frequency data components. Spitz (1991) proposed to compute prediction filters (autoregressive operators) from low frequencies to predict interpolated traces at high frequencies. This methodology is applicable only if the original seismic section is regularly sampled in space. Conversely, irregularly sampled data can be reconstructed using Fourier methods. In this case the Fourier coefficients of the irregularly sampled data are retrieved by inverting the inverse Fourier operator with a band limiting (Duijndam et al., 1999) and/or a sparsity constraint (Sacchi et al., 1998; Liu and Sacchi, 2004; Zwartjes and Gisolf, 2006).

We introduce a new strategy that combines the strengths of both prediction error methods and Fourier based methods to cope with the problem of reconstructing non-uniformly sampled, aliased data. The first step of the proposed algorithm involves the reconstruction of irregularly missing spatial data on a regular grid at low frequencies using Fourier based algorithms. Due to the band-limited nature of the wavenumber spectra at low frequencies, this portion of data can be reconstructed with high accuracy (Duijndam et al., 1999). Then, using a Multi-Step Auto-Regressive (MSAR) algorithm the prediction filters of all the frequencies are extracted from the reconstructed low frequency portion of the data. These prediction filters are utilized to reconstruct the missing spatial samples of each frequency in the $f$-$x$ domain.

It is important to stress that the technique presented in this paper can only be used to reconstruct data that live on a regular grid with missing observations. Zwartjes and Sacchi
Naghizadeh & Sacchi (2007) introduced a method that combines the f-k algorithm of Gulunay (2003) and sparse inversion (Zwartjes and Gisolf, 2006) to reconstruct irregularly sampled aliased data. In their algorithm the Nonuniform Discrete Fourier Transform (NDFT) is utilized to handle the irregularly sampled data.

**THEORY**

**Problem Statement**

Consider a seismic gather containing linear events. In addition, we assume that some traces in the gather are missing. By applying the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) with respect to time, the gather is transformed to the f-x domain. We let \( x(f) \) be the length-N vector of f-x data sampled on a regular grid \( x_1(f), x_2(f), x_3(f), \ldots, x_N(f) \), of which only M traces are available. Let the sets of integers \( K = \{k(1), k(2), k(3), \ldots, k(M)\} \) and \( U = \{u(1), u(2), u(3), \ldots, u(N-M)\} \) indicate the indices of available (known traces) and missing samples (unknown traces), respectively. The goal is to recover \( x_U(f) \) from \( x_K(f) \).

**Reconstruction of the unaliased part of the data using MWNI**

Fourier reconstruction methods are well suited to reconstruct seismic data in the low frequency (unaliased) portion of the Fourier spectrum. In addition, as it was shown by Duijndam et al. (1999), the reconstruction problem is well-conditioned at low frequencies where only a few wave numbers are required to honor the data. This makes the problem well-posed; therefore, it is quite easy to obtain a low frequency spatial reconstruction of the data.

With the previous reasoning in mind, we first proceed to restore the low frequency portion of the data using a Fourier reconstruction method. In other words, we estimate the missing samples of \( x(f) \), that is \( x_U(f) \) from \( x_K(f) \) for temporal frequencies \( f \in [f_{min}, f_{max}] \), where \( f_{min} \) and \( f_{max} \) denote the minimum and maximum (unaliased) frequencies in the data. In general, due to the band-limited nature of the seismic wavelet, we consider \( f_{min} > 0 \).

Recently, two Fourier-based reconstruction methods were introduced: Band Limited Fourier Reconstruction (BLFR) (Duijndam et al., 1999; Schonewille et al., 2003) and Minimum Weighted Norm Interpolation (MWNI) (Liu and Sacchi, 2004; Sacchi and Liu, 2005; Liu et al., 2004). In our implementation, we have adopted MWNI. It is important to mention, however, that similar results were obtained using BLFR. These methods can retrieve the complex Fourier coefficients of the reconstructed data directly from the observations by inverting the inverse Fourier operator. The non-uniqueness of the reconstruction problem (Sacchi et al., 1998) is circumvented by the incorporation of a constraint in the form of a spectral norm. Details pertaining the MWNI method are discussed in Appendix A.

**Estimation of Prediction Filters using MSAR**

Let us consider reconstructed data in the band \( f \in [f_{min}, f_{max}] \). In appendix B we show that linear events in the f-x domain can be predicted using Multi-Step Auto-Regressive
(MSAR) operators of the form,

\[ x_n(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{L} P_j(\alpha f)x_{n-\alpha j}(f), \quad n = \alpha L + 1, \ldots, N, \]  

\[ x_n^*(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{L} P_j(\alpha f)x_{n+\alpha j}^*(f), \quad n = 1, \ldots, N - \alpha L, \]

where * denotes complex conjugate. These equations correspond to a special type of Auto-Regressive (AR) model where forward (equation (1)) and backward (equation (2)) AR equations are computed by "jumping" \( \alpha \) steps at the time. The length of the AR operator is \( L \) and \( P_j(f) \) is the prediction filter. The parameter \( \alpha = 1, 2, ..., \alpha_{\text{max}} \) is the step factor used to extract the prediction filter for frequency \( \alpha f \) from frequency \( f \). Since the step factor is a positive integer it is clear that low frequencies provide vital information for our data reconstruction algorithm.

The parameter \( \alpha_{\text{max}} \) is the upper limit of the step factor in equations 1 and 2. The latter depends on the number of traces \( N \), and the length of prediction filter \( L \). This parameter is given by

\[ \alpha_{\text{max}} = \left\lfloor \frac{N - L + 1}{2L} \right\rfloor, \]

where \( \lfloor . \rfloor \) denotes the integer part.

Equations (1) and (2) can be considered an extension of the prediction filters used by Spitz (1991). In our case, however, multiple prediction filters are extracted for a given high frequency \( f' = \alpha f \). In other words, all possible combinations of \( \alpha \) and \( f \) leading to the product \( f' = \alpha f \) will deliver a prediction filter that can be used to reconstruct data at frequency component \( f' \). Since more than one prediction filter can be found for the reconstruction of \( x(f') \), our algorithm utilizes the average of prediction filters. Alternatively, we could have estimated one prediction filter by solving an augmented system of equations with contributions from more than one low frequency. The final result of both strategies should be quite similar. We have adopted the former rather than the latter because it leads to a computationally more efficient reconstruction algorithm.

To continue with our analysis, a few comments are in order. The MSAR strategy requires us to find prediction filters in the reconstructed band \([f_{\text{min}}, f_{\text{max}}]\), where \( f_{\text{min}} \) and \( f_{\text{max}} \) are discrete frequency indices. We might encounter the case where for some frequency \( f' \), the frequency \( f'/\alpha \) may not fall in the reconstructed interval \([f_{\text{min}}, f_{\text{max}}]\); and then, the MSAR method will not be applicable. This situation can be solved by extrapolating prediction filters using the method proposed in Appendix B of Spitz (1991). It should be mentioned that this situation can be avoided by properly choosing the values of \( f_{\text{min}} \) and \( f_{\text{max}} \) in such a way that \( f_{\text{max}} \geq 2(f_{\text{min}} - 1) \). In the sections devoted to examples, we provide a synthetic test (Example 2) where the extrapolation of prediction filters is required to reconstruct aliased data.

**Reconstruction of missing samples using prediction filters**

So far we have outlined a method to extract, from reconstructed low frequency data components \( x(f) \), prediction filters for high frequency data component \( x(f') = x(\alpha f) \).
Following the procedure proposed by Wiggins and Miller (1972) and Spitz (1991), one can compute the missing samples from known data and prediction filter coefficients. In this case, the forward and backward autoregressive equations,

\[ x_n(f') = \sum_{j=1}^{L} P_j(f') x_{n-j}(f'), \quad n = L + 1, \ldots, N, \]  
\[ x_n(f') = \sum_{j=1}^{L} P_j^*(f') x_{n+j}(f'), \quad n = 1, \ldots, N - L, \]

are used to isolate the unknown data components. Equations (3) and (4) are the specific forms of equations (1) and (2) when \( \alpha = 1 \). It is also important to notice that equation (4) is obtained by taking complex conjugate of both sides of equation (2). Now both forward and backward prediction equations contain the same unknown \( x(f') \). The rest of procedure is quite simple: we first expand equations (3) and (4). The resulting system of equation contains linear combinations of known and unknown samples. The system is algebraically manipulated and rewritten as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_{u(1)}(f') \\
  x_{u(2)}(f') \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{u(N-M)}(f')
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  x_{k(1)}(f') \\
  x_{k(2)}(f') \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{k(M)}(f')
\end{pmatrix},
\]

The notation \( \tilde{A}(P(f')) \) and \( \tilde{B}(P(f')) \) reflects the fact that these two matrices only depend on the coefficients of the prediction filter. Equation (5) closely follows equation 5 of Spitz (1991). The main difference, however, is that the known and unknown traces are no longer interlaced but are given at arbitrary positions. In addition, it is important to stress that \( P(f') \) is the average of prediction filters computed from reconstructed low frequencies using the MSAR scheme. Hence, the missing samples are computed using:

\[
x_U(f') = \left[ \tilde{A}^*(P(f')) \tilde{A}(P(f')) + \mu I \right]^{-1} \tilde{A}^*(P(f')) \tilde{B}(P(f')) x_K(f'),
\]

where \( x_U(f') \) and \( x_K(f') \) indicate the vectors of unknown and known data samples, respectively. In addition, \( \tilde{A}^* \) stands for the transpose and complex conjugate of \( \tilde{A} \), \( I \) is the identity matrix and \( \mu \) is a regularization parameter required to stabilize the inversion in the presence of noise. In this paper the method of Conjugate Gradients is used to solve equation (5).

**DATA EXAMPLES**

**Example 1**

In order to examine the performance of the MSAR reconstruction technique we analyze two synthetic data examples. Amplitude spectra are portrayed in terms of normalized frequency and normalized wavenumber. Normalized frequency and wavenumber axes are obtained by considering \( \Delta t = 1 \) and \( \Delta x = 1 \), respectively. This means that in order to obtain frequency axes in Hz and Cycles/m one must divide the normalized ones by \( \Delta t \) and \( \Delta x \), respectively.
The first synthetic data consist of three linear events. Two of them are severely aliased. In addition, we have randomly removed 60% of the traces. Figures 1a and 1b show the original complete data and the data with missing traces, respectively. The data with missing traces were reconstructed using the MWNI method for normalized frequencies in the range 0.035 to 0.5. The result is portrayed in Figure 1c. In addition, we have used MWNI to reconstruct only the low frequency portion of the data from normalized frequencies in the range 0.035 to 0.075. After extracting prediction filters from low frequency data components, the complete data spectrum was reconstructed with the MSAR technique (for normalized frequencies in the range 0 to 0.5). The length of the prediction filter is chosen equal to the number of linear events \(L = 3\). The final result is provided in Figure 1d. Both methods were capable of reconstructing the data. However, the high frequencies were better restored via the combined application of MWNI and MSAR. This is emphasized by Figures 2 and 3 where we show the \(f-k\) and \(f-x\) panels of the data portrayed in Figure 1.

In particular, one observes that all the high frequency artifacts generated by MWNI (Figure 2b) were attenuated (Figure 2d).

For completeness, Figures 4a and 4b show the normalized low frequency band in the range 0.035 to 0.075 reconstructed via MWNI. We must reiterate that this is the portion of reconstructed data from where the MSAR technique will extract the prediction filters needed to reconstruct high frequency components. Figure 5 shows the number of prediction filters extracted for each frequency using the MSAR method. Due to lack of information, the very low frequencies were excluded from the reconstruction. Prediction filters for the low frequency end of the data could have been estimated by extrapolation of prediction filters as suggested in Spitz (1991, Appendix B).

**Example 2**

To continue testing our algorithm, we provide a second example (Figure 6) where MWNI was used to reconstruct the normalized frequencies in the range 0.07 to 0.084 (Figures 6b and 6e). We have chosen this particular frequency band to simulate a situation where MSAR will fail in finding enough prediction filters to reconstruct the data. Figure 7 shows the number of prediction filters computed using MSAR for each frequency. The gaps in Figure 7 belong to the frequencies for which the MSAR scheme was not able to find a prediction filter. For a frequency component \(f'\) falling within a gap, the corresponding low frequency component \(f'/\alpha\) falls out of the interval \([f_{\text{min}}, f_{\text{max}}]\); therefore, there is no way of extracting prediction filters for those frequencies. As we have already mentioned, these missing prediction filters can be estimated using extrapolation methods. In this case, the known prediction filters can be used to find the prediction filters in the gaps using the method provided in Appendix B of Spitz (1991).

In summary, by using MSAR plus extrapolation of prediction filters, we have been able to retrieve all the information necessary to reconstruct the data for all the frequencies. The results are shown in Figures 6a-d. The result is quite good considering that the prediction filters in the four gaps in Figure 7 were computed using estimated prediction filters followed by extrapolation. The situation described in this example is very unlikely to happen. We must stress that the combination of MSAR and Spitz’s extrapolation technique, however, do provide a solution to this problem. In addition, it is important to mention that the low

**MSAR**
Figure 1: Synthetic example in $t$-$x$ domain. a) Original data. b) The data with missing traces. c) Reconstructed section using MWNI. d) Reconstructed section using MWNI and MSAR. Notice that MWNI was used to reconstruct data in the normalized frequency band $0.035 - 0.075$. The remaining part of the band ($0.075 - 0.5$) was reconstructed via MSAR.
Figure 2: The $f$-$k$ representation of Figure 1. a) Original data. b) Data with missing traces. c) Reconstructed data via MWNI. d) Reconstructed data via MWNI and MSAR.
Figure 3: The $f$-$x$ representations of Figures 1. a) Original data. b) Data with missing traces. c) Reconstructed data via MWNI. d) Reconstructed data via MWNI and MSAR.
Figure 4: Reconstructed low frequency portion of the data using MWN. Prediction filters required to reconstruct high frequency data components were extracted from this data set (Figure 1d). a) \(t-x\) domain. b) \(f-k\) domain.

Figure 5: The number of prediction filters contributing to each frequency component in the example in Figure 1d. The average filter for any given frequency is used in the reconstruction stage of the algorithm (equation (6)).
frequency end of the data $f_{\text{min}}$ could be high enough to yield this situation if aggressive low pass filtering was used, for instance, to suppress ground roll.

**Real data example**

In order to test the performance of the MSAR reconstruction on a real data set, we apply the technique to the reconstruction of a near offset section from a marine data set from the Gulf of Mexico. Events arising from diffractions on a salt body make the reconstruction difficult for the MWNI method. About 40% of the traces were removed from the original section (Figure 8a) to simulate a section with missing traces (Figure 8b). The section of missing traces is reconstructed using MWNI (Figure 8c) and MSAR (Figure 8d). For this particular test, the length of the prediction filters is set to $L = 4$. From a comparison of these figures (notice in particular the areas indicated by red squares) it is easy to see that the combined application of MWNI and MSAR produce a result where the steeply dipping events are better preserved after reconstruction. In addition, Figure 9 shows the $f$-$k$ representation of the data in Figure 8. The $f$-$k$ domain representation shows that the aliased event is preserved when MSAR is used to reconstruct the high frequencies (Figure 9d). The MSAR method is based on AR modeling of events in the $f$-$x$ domain. In other words, MSAR can optimally model linear events in the $t$-$x$ domain (complex sinusoids in $f$-$x$). The aforementioned assumption can be validated by applying MSAR in small spatio-temporal windows where curved events will appear as linear events. One must realize, however, that the scarcity of data in small windows can lead to suboptimal reconstructions.

Figure 10 shows the part of data reconstructed using MWNI. Figure 11 portrays the number of prediction filters contributing to the reconstruction of each frequency. It must be noted that because there was no signal at normalized frequencies larger than 0.22, the MSAR reconstruction was only performed for the normalized frequencies smaller than 0.22.

It should be noticed that we have not yet removed the alias from the data. At this stage we have reconstructed the data (obtained the missing traces). An interpolation technique for regularly sampled data can now be used to de-alias the reconstructed data. Figures 12a and 12b show the result of first order interpolation of the final reconstructed section (Figure 8d) using Gulunay’s $f$-$k$ interpolation method (Gulunay, 2003) and MSAR, respectively. In the MSAR de-aliasing case we have considered that every second trace is missing. Figures 12c and 12d show the $f$-$k$ representation of the Figures 12a and 12b, respectively. The aliasing of the diffraction event is removed to a high extent. Gulunay’s interpolation shows some low frequency artifacts which could be a by-product of the mask required by the method to annihilate the aliased part of the signals. In our implementation, MSAR de-alias uses the average of prediction filters. This is the main difference with Spitz (1991) where only one prediction filter for each frequency component is utilized.

**DISCUSSION**

The MSAR method could have potential problems in finding the required low frequency components needed to extract the prediction filter associated to the reconstruction of a given high frequency component. In this case, one can alleviate the problem by zero padding the
Figure 6: A synthetic example with three linear events. a) Data with missing traces. b) Reconstructed data using MWNI. c) Reconstructed section using MWNI and MSAR with extrapolation of prediction filters to avoid gaps in Figure 7. d) The $f$-$k$ domain of (a). e) The $f$-$k$ domain of (b). f) The $f$-$k$ domain of (c).

Figure 7: The number of prediction filters contributing to each frequency component in Figure 6c.
Figure 8: Reconstruction of a near offset section. a) Original section. b) Section with missing traces. c) Reconstructed section using MWNL. d) Reconstructed section using MSAR. Regions indicated by red squares show the advantages of using MSAR.
Figure 9: The $f-k$ domain representation of Figure 8. a) Original data. b) The section of missing traces. c) Reconstructed section using MWNI. d) Reconstructed section using MWNI and MSAR.
Figure 10: The reconstructed part of data using MWNI used to compute prediction filters for Figure 8d. a) The data in $t$-$x$ domain. b) The data in $f$-$k$ domain.

Figure 11: The number of prediction filters contributing to each frequency in the reconstruction portrayed in Figure 8d.
Figure 12: First order interpolation of the final reconstructed section in Figure 8d. a) Gulunay’s f-k interpolation b) MSAR method. c) f-k panel of a. d) f-k panel of b.
data before applying the DFT. This reduces the frequency sampling interval; therefore, making it easier for the algorithm to find the required low frequencies. Alternatively, one can use the Wrapped Discrete Fourier Transform (WDFT) to densely sample some specific band of frequencies (Franz et al., 2003; Makur and Mitra, 2001).

It is clear that any method based on the assumption of linear events will have problems at the time of modeling events with curvature. This facet does not escape our technique. In fact, departure from the linear event model will introduce non-stationarity in the prediction problem and, consequently, the multi-step algorithm will produce different prediction filters at each step. Claerbout and Fomel (2006) discusses this problem using expanding anti-alias operators in the $t-x$ domain. As it has been mentioned by Spitz (1991), one can use small windows as a mean to validate the assumption of linear events. It is well-known that in most geophysical problems there is no free lunch; to solve a problem we require assumptions, in general these assumptions have to be partially violated in order to process real data. A good interpolation method should be robust when the assumptions under which it was designed are not completely honored. Our algorithm is a good example of the latter; it can tolerate mild curvature in the waveforms as portrayed by our real data examples.

Modifying MSAR for multi-dimensional data reconstruction is straightforward. In higher dimensions, the reconstruction of low frequencies using MWTI should be more stable, and as a result the prediction filters can be calculated with high precision, leading to a better reconstruction of high frequencies. Spitz (1990) and Wang (2002) introduced a way to use 2D AR operators to interpolate the regularly sampled data in the $f-x-y$ domain. The same style of AR operators can be used to extend the MSAR method to the 2D case.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this article a method for spatial reconstruction of high frequency data components was introduced. The method entails the cooperative application of a Fourier-based technique (MWTI) to reconstruct the unaliased part of the data and a Multi-Step Auto-Regressive (MSAR) algorithm to reconstruct the high frequency and potentially aliased part of the data. The MSAR algorithm relies on extracting information from low frequencies (reconstructed via MWTI) to reconstruct high frequencies. Since the prediction filter of a given high frequency can be computed from more than one reconstructed low frequency, more than one prediction filter can be extracted for a given high frequency. In this case, an average of prediction filters is used to reconstruct the data. This averaging scheme helps eliminate any potential noise contamination from corrupted frequency components.

The results of synthetic data reconstruction, and also the real data example show that MSAR is capable of eliminating high frequencies artifacts often encountered when MWTI is used to reconstruct the complete seismic band. MSAR can be applied to regularly sampled sections as well. A real data example of interpolation of a regularly sampled section shows that MSAR can effectively be adopted to de-alias seismic data.
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APPENDIX A

MINIMUM WEIGHTED NORM INTERPOLATION (MWNI)

Interpolation of band-limited data with missing samples can be summarized in the following inversion scheme:

\[
\text{Minimize } \|x\|_W^2 \quad \text{Subject to } Gx = y
\]

(A-1)

where \(\|.\|_W^2\) indicates a specific weighted norm and \(G\) is the sampling matrix which maps desired data samples \(x\) to available samples \(y\). Its transpose, \(G^T\), fills the position of missing samples with zeros. A regularization norm can be selected in the wave-number domain as follows:

\[
\|x\|_W^2 = \sum_{k \in K} X_k^* X_k W_k^2,
\]

(A-2)

where \(X_k\) indicates the coefficients of the Fourier transform of the vector of spatial data \(x\). The values of \(W_k\) determine the type of interpolation. For Band-limited Minimum Weighted Norm Interpolation a diagonal matrix is defined as:

\[
\Upsilon_k = \begin{cases} 
W_k^2 & k \in K \\
0 & k \notin K 
\end{cases}
\]

(A-3)

where \(K\) indicates the region of support of the Fourier transform. The pseudoinverse of \(\Upsilon\) is defined as:

\[
\Upsilon_k^+ = \begin{cases} 
W_k^{-2} & k \in K \\
0 & k \notin K 
\end{cases}
\]

(A-4)

For Band-limited Minimum Norm Interpolation, the values of \(W_k\) are equal to one, while for Minimum Weighted Norm Interpolation, their values must be iteratively updated to find an optimal reconstruction. The minimizer of the cost function (A-1) is given by

\[
\hat{x} = F^H \Upsilon F G^T (GF^H \Upsilon FG^T + \alpha I)^{-1} y
\]

(A-5)

where \(F\) is the Fourier matrix, \(\alpha\) is trade-off parameter, \(I\) is the identity matrix, \(T\) and \(H\) stand for transpose and Hermitian transpose operators, respectively. For further details see Liu (2004) and Liu and Sacchi (2004).

APPENDIX B

MULTI-STEP AUTOREGRESSIVE OPERATOR

In this appendix we provide a proof for the MSAR theory. A seismic section with linear events can be represented in the \(f-x\) domain as:

\[
S(m\Delta x, n\Delta f) = \sum_{k=1}^L A_k e^{-i2\pi(n\Delta f)(m\Delta x)p_k},
\]

(B-1)
where $\Delta f$ and $\Delta x$ are frequency and spatial sampling intervals, respectively. In addition, $p_k$ and $A_k$ are the slope and amplitude of each linear event, respectively. This means that each linear event, for a monochromatic frequency component $f$, can be represented as complex harmonic in the $f$-$x$ domain. Now consider the case with $\Delta x' = \alpha \Delta x$ and $\Delta f' = \frac{\Delta f}{\alpha}$. In this case it is easy to show that

$$S(m\Delta x', n\Delta f') = S(m\alpha \Delta x, n\frac{\Delta f}{\alpha}) = S(m\Delta x, n\Delta f). \quad (B-2)$$

In addition, one can show that a superposition of $L$ harmonics can be represented by an autoregressive (AR) model of the form:

$$S(m\Delta x, n\Delta f) = \sum_{j=1}^{L} P(j, n\Delta f) S((m - j)\Delta x, n\Delta f). \quad (B-3)$$

Similarly if we use $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta x'$, we obtain:

$$S(m\Delta x', n\Delta f') = \sum_{j=1}^{L} P'(j, n\frac{\Delta f}{\alpha}) S((m - j)\alpha \Delta x, n\frac{\Delta f}{\alpha}). \quad (B-4)$$

A comparison of expressions (B-2), (B-3) and (B-4) leads to the following expression

$$P'(j, n\frac{\Delta f}{\alpha}) = P(j, n\Delta f), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, L. \quad (B-5)$$

which is the basis for the MSAR reconstruction method. Interested readers can find the basic definition and properties of prediction filters in Spitz (1991).

It can also be shown that there exist predictability properties for each component of the prediction filter on the frequency axis. This means that if the prediction filters are known for some frequencies, one can find the prediction filter for other frequencies by applying prediction operators to the prediction filter components. More succinctly, one can find the prediction filters of prediction filters. For further details see Spitz (1991, Appendix B).
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