



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 147 (2000) 303–309

JOURNAL OF
PURE AND
APPLIED ALGEBRA

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

A criterion of decomposability for degree 4 algebras with unitary involution

Nikita Karpenko^{a,1}, Anne Quéguiner^{b,*}

^a *Westfälische, Wilhelms-Universität, Mathematisches Institut, Einsteinstraße 62, D-48149 Münster, Germany*

^b *Laboratoire Analyse, Géométrie, et Applications, UMR No 7539, Institut Galilée, Université Paris Nord, F-93430 Villetaneuse, France*

Communicated by M.-F. Roy; received 4 January 1998; received in revised form 25 May 1998

Abstract

Let A be a degree 4 central simple algebra endowed with a unitary involution σ . We prove that (A, σ) is decomposable if and only if its discriminant (i.e. the Brauer class of its discriminant algebra) is trivial. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 16K20; 16W10; 11E88

0. Introduction

Let K be an arbitrary field. A central simple K -algebra A endowed with an involution σ (not necessarily trivial on K) is said to be decomposable if A is tensor product over K of two proper subalgebras stable under σ .

The study of the decomposability of algebras with involution is a classical question (see e.g. [3, 12]). Let us assume that the algebra A is of degree 4. Rowen [11, Theorem B] proved that in the symplectic case (A, σ) is always decomposable. In the orthogonal case, Knus et al. [7, Theorem 3.1] (see also [6, Corollary 5.4]) gave a

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: queguin@math.univ-paris13.fr (A. Quéguiner)

¹ This work was done while the first named author had a post-doctoral fellowship at the University of Besançon.

criterion of decomposability using the classical notion of *discriminant* of an orthogonal involution (also called *pfaffian discriminant*): (A, σ) is decomposable if and only if the discriminant of σ is trivial.

The purpose of this paper is to give an analogous criterion in the unitary case using the *discriminant algebra* of (A, σ) introduced in [5] (see Theorem 3.1). When the base field is of characteristic different from 2, the criterion can also be formulated in terms of the *determinant class modulo 2*, an invariant of unitary involutions introduced in [10] (see Remark 3.2).

1. Discriminant algebra, discriminant and determinant class modulo 2

Let A be a central simple K -algebra endowed with an involution σ . The involution is said to be of the first kind if it is trivial on K and of the second kind, or of unitary type, otherwise. We refer the reader to [13, Chap. 8] or [5, Chap. 1] for more detailed information on involutions.

To deal with the characteristic 2 case, we need to replace orthogonal involutions by quadratic pairs. One will find in [5, Section 5] an explanation of this fact and the definition of a quadratic pair. In characteristic $\neq 2$, this notion is equivalent to the notion of orthogonal involution.

We assume in this section that A is of even degree $n = 2m$ and that σ is of unitary type. We denote by k the subfield of K fixed by σ . Thus K/k is a separable quadratic extension.

In [5, Section 10], Knus et al. associate to (A, σ) a degree $\binom{n}{m}$ central simple k -algebra $\mathcal{D}(A, \sigma)$ called the *discriminant algebra* of (A, σ) . If m is even, then the discriminant algebra is endowed with a quadratic pair.

The Brauer class $[\mathcal{D}(A, \sigma)]$ of the discriminant algebra will be called the *discriminant* of (A, σ) . This terminology is motivated by the following remark. If the exponent of A divides m , then the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A, \sigma) \otimes_k K$ is split. Indeed, it is isomorphic by [5, Proposition 10.30] to $\lambda^m A$, which is Brauer equivalent to $A^{\otimes m}$. Hence, in this case, the discriminant of (A, σ) is an element of the relative Brauer group $\text{Br}(K/k)$ which is canonically isomorphic to the quotient $k^*/N_{K/k}(K^*)$, where $N_{K/k}$ is the norm homomorphism.

If the base field has characteristic different from 2, the second named author attached to (A, σ) an invariant called *determinant class modulo 2*, which can be defined either using Galois cohomology, or via the trace forms of (A, σ) (see [10] or [9]). This invariant, denoted by $D(A, \sigma)$, takes values in the 2-part $\text{Br}_2(k)$ of the Brauer group of k .

It follows from [5, Section 11] that (in the characteristic $\neq 2$ case) the Brauer class of $\mathcal{D}(A, \sigma)$ is $D(A, \sigma) + m[(-1, \alpha)]$, where α is an element of k^* such that $K = k(\sqrt{\alpha})$ and where $[(-1, \alpha)]$ is the Brauer class of the quaternion k -algebra $(-1, \alpha)$. In particular, if the degree of A is divisible by 4, then the determinant class modulo 2 coincides with the discriminant of (A, σ) .

2. Clifford algebra

Let k be a field (of arbitrary characteristic) and let (V, q) be a non-degenerate quadratic space over k (see [4, Definition 1.5 of Chap. I] or [13, Definition 6.9 of Chap. 1]). We recall that the *Clifford algebra* $C(q)$ of the quadratic space (V, q) is by definition the tensor algebra of the vector space V modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the products $v \otimes v - q(v)$, where v ranges over V . For the properties of the Clifford algebra listed below we refer to [13, Chap. 9], [4, Chap. 2], and [5, Section 8.A].

First of all $C(q)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded algebra; its 0th component is a subalgebra called *even Clifford algebra* and denoted by $C_0(q)$. The dimension of $C(q)$ over k equals 2^n and the dimension of $C_0(q)$ is 2^{n-1} , where $n = \dim_k V$.

We can consider any vector $v \in V$ as an element of $C(q)$. Clearly, the algebra $C(q)$ is generated by these elements v ($v \in V$), while $C_0(q)$ is the subalgebra generated by the products $v \cdot v'$ ($v, v' \in V$). Moreover, if $e_1, \dots, e_n \in V$ is a basis of the vector space V , then the elements e_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) generate $C(q)$ while the products $e_i \cdot e_j$ generate $C_0(q)$. The generators $e_i \in C(q)$ are subject to the relations $e_i^2 = q(e_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $e_i e_j + e_j e_i = b_q(e_i, e_j)$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$), where b_q is the bilinear form associated to q (see [4, Definition 1.5 of Chap. I]). If $\text{char } k \neq 2$, then there exists an orthogonal basis e_1, \dots, e_n of (V, q) and the previous relations become $e_i^2 = q(e_i)$ and $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$.

There is a unique involution on $C(q)$ which leaves all the elements v invariant. It is called the *canonical involution* on the Clifford algebra.

The definition of the *even* Clifford algebra for a quadratic space can be generalized to the case of a central simple algebra B endowed with a quadratic pair τ (see [5, Section 8.B] for the definition). What is obtained in this way is denoted by $C(B, \tau)$ and called the *Clifford algebra* of (B, τ) . Note that $C(B, \tau)$ is an algebra endowed with an involution. It generalizes the classical notion of even Clifford algebra in the following sense:

Proposition 2.1 (Knus et al. [5, Proposition 8.8]). *Let (V, q) be a quadratic space over k (of even dimension if $\text{char } k = 2$). Let $B = \text{End}_k(V)$ and let τ be the quadratic pair associated to q . Then there is an isomorphism of algebras with involutions $C(B, \tau) \simeq C_0(q)$.*

Denote by \mathcal{B} the set of isomorphism classes of degree 6 central simple k -algebras endowed with a quadratic pair. Let \mathcal{A}_1 be the set of isomorphism classes of central simple k -algebras of degree 4 over a quadratic field extension of k endowed with a unitary involution trivial on k . Let \mathcal{A}_2 be the set of isomorphism classes of algebras $A_2 \times A_2^{op}$ endowed with the exchange involution, where A_2 is a central simple k -algebra of degree 4 and A_2^{op} its opposite algebra. We put $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$. A proof of the following theorem in the characteristic $\neq 2$ case is given also in [8, Proposition 4].

Theorem 2.2 (Knus et al. [5, Section 15.D]). *The map $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by $(B, \tau) \mapsto C(B, \tau)$ is a bijection. The inverse map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is given by $(A, \sigma) \mapsto \mathcal{D}(A, \sigma)$ (see [5, Section 10] for a definition of \mathcal{D} on \mathcal{A}_2).*

3. The criterion

Let K be a field, and let A be a central simple algebra of degree 4 over K endowed with an involution σ of unitary type. Let $k \subset K$ be the subfield of σ -invariant elements of K . Here is the criterion:

Theorem 3.1. *The algebra with involution (A, σ) is decomposable if and only if its discriminant is trivial.*

Remark 3.2. Assume that $\text{char } K \neq 2$. As we already noticed it in Section 1, the discriminant of (A, σ) coincides with $D(A, \sigma)$. Hence, (A, σ) is decomposable if and only if its determinant class modulo 2 is trivial.

Remark 3.3. If the exponent of A is equal to 4, then the algebra itself cannot be decomposed into a tensor product of two proper subalgebras over K . Hence, we may assume that the exponent of A divides 2. As mentioned in Section 1, the discriminant of (A, σ) is then represented by an element of k^* , determined modulo $N_{K/k}(K^*)$.

Remark 3.4. The criterion is also true for the algebra $A = A_2 \times A_2^{op}$ with the exchange involution, where A_2 is a central simple k -algebra of degree 4. In this situation, it is equivalent to a theorem of Albert [1, p. 369] (see also [5, Section 16.1]).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let K, A, σ , and k be as introduced in the beginning of this section. We reproduce here a proof of the “only if” part which is already contained in [10, 9] but slightly modified so that it covers the characteristic 2 case. It relies on the following lemma due to Albert:

Lemma 3.5 (Albert, see [2, Theorem 10.21] or Knus et al. [5, Proposition 2.22]). *Let Q be a quaternion algebra over K , endowed with a unitary involution σ_Q trivial on k . Then there exists a quaternion k -algebra Q_0 such that $Q = Q_0 \otimes_k K$ and $\sigma_Q = \tau \otimes \bar{}$, where τ is the canonical involution on Q_0 and $\bar{}$ the non-trivial automorphism of K/k .*

Let us assume that the algebra with involution (A, σ) is decomposable. Since A is of degree 4, it actually decomposes as a tensor product of two quaternion algebras. Hence, because of Albert’s lemma, there exist two quaternion algebras Q_1 and Q_2 over k such that $A = (Q_1 \otimes_k Q_2) \otimes_k K$, and $\sigma = (\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2) \otimes \bar{}$, where τ_i is the canonical involution on Q_i . Therefore, it follows from [5, Proposition 10.33] that the discriminant of (A, σ) , which lies in $k^*/N_{K/k}(K^*)$ (see Remark 3.3), is given by the discriminant of the orthogonal involution $\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2$ (see also [9, Proposition 11]). By the decomposability

criterion of Knus–Parimala–Sridharan, the involution $\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2$ has trivial discriminant and we get $[\mathcal{D}(A, \sigma)] = 0$.

Before proving the converse, let us prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Let (V, q) be a six-dimensional quadratic k -space. There is an isomorphism of algebras with involutions $C_0(q) \simeq Q_1 \otimes_k Q_2 \otimes_k K$ where Q_1 and Q_2 are quaternion k -algebras, K is a quadratic étale k -algebra, and all three are endowed with their canonical involution.*

Proof. We break up the proof into two cases: $\text{char } k = 2$ and $\text{char } k \neq 2$. The proof we give in characteristic 2 was suggested by Wadsworth. Let us assume first that $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Let e_1, \dots, e_6 be any orthogonal basis of V , and let $a_i = q(e_i)$. As explained in Section 2, the Clifford algebra $C = C(q)$ is generated by the elements e_1, e_2, \dots, e_6 , which are subject to the relations $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$ and $e_i^2 = a_i$ for all i, j with $i \neq j$. The canonical involution on C , which we denote by τ , is determined by $\tau(e_i) = e_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, 6$). The even Clifford algebra $C_0 = C_0(q)$ is the subalgebra of C generated by the pairwise products of e_1, \dots, e_6 ; the canonical involution τ_0 on C_0 is the restriction of τ to C_0 . In particular, we have $\tau_0(e_i e_j) = -e_i e_j$ (for $i \neq j$).

Consider the étale k -algebra $K = k[t]/(t^2 + a_1 \dots a_6)$ and the quaternion k -algebras $Q_1 = (-a_1 a_2, -a_1 a_3)$, $Q_2 = (-a_4 a_5, -a_4 a_6)$. By definition, Q_1 is generated by elements i_1 and j_1 subject to the relations $i_1^2 = -a_1 a_2$, $j_1^2 = -a_1 a_3$, and $i_1 j_1 = -j_1 i_1$. The canonical involution on Q_1 is determined by $i_1 \mapsto -i_1$, $j_1 \mapsto -j_1$. Analogously, Q_2 is generated by i_2 and j_2 subject to the relations $i_2^2 = -a_4 a_5$, $j_2^2 = -a_4 a_6$, and $i_2 j_2 = -j_2 i_2$. The canonical involution on Q_2 is determined by $i_2 \mapsto -i_2$, $j_2 \mapsto -j_2$. We consider the k -algebra K with the involution $t \mapsto -t$. One can easily check that the following three homomorphisms of k -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{lll} Q_1 \rightarrow C_0, & Q_2 \rightarrow C_0, & K \rightarrow C_0 \\ i_1 \mapsto e_1 e_2 & i_2 \mapsto e_4 e_5 & t \mapsto e_1 \dots e_6 \\ j_1 \mapsto e_1 e_3 & j_2 \mapsto e_4 e_6 & \end{array}$$

are well-defined homomorphisms of algebras with involutions and that their images commute. Hence, they induce a homomorphism

$$\psi : Q_1 \otimes_k Q_2 \otimes_k K \rightarrow C_0.$$

If $K \neq k \times k$, the algebra $Q_1 \otimes_k Q_2 \otimes_k K$ is simple. If $K = k \times k$, it is not simple anymore, but one can easily check it is indeed simple as an algebra with involution, in the sense it has no non-trivial σ -stable ideal. Since ψ is a homomorphism of algebras with involution, this proves it is injective in both cases. By a dimension argument, we get that ψ is an isomorphism.

Assume now that $\text{char } k = 2$. The quadratic space (V, q) can be decomposed into an orthogonal sum $(V, q) = [a_1, a'_1] \perp [a_2, a'_2] \perp [a_3, a'_3]$, where $a_i, a'_i \in k^*$ and $[a, a']$ denotes the quadratic form $ax^2 + xy + a'y^2$ (see [4, Proposition 3.4 of Chap. I] or [12, Section 4

of Chap. 9]). Let $e_1, e'_1, e_2, e'_2, e_3, e'_3$ be the corresponding basis of V . As explained in Section 2, the Clifford algebra $C = C(q)$ is generated by the elements $e_1, e'_1, e_2, e'_2, e_3, e'_3$, which are subject to the relations $e_i e_j + e_j e_i = 0$, $e_i e'_j + e'_j e_i = 0$, $e'_i e'_j + e'_j e'_i = 0$, $e_i e'_i + e'_i e_i = 1$, $e_i^2 = a_i$, and $e_i'^2 = a'_i$ for all i, j with $i \neq j$. The canonical involution on C , which we denote by τ , is determined by $\tau(e_i) = e_i$ and $\tau(e'_i) = e'_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). The even Clifford algebra $C_0 = C_0(q)$ is the subalgebra of C generated by the pairwise products of $e_1, e'_1, e_2, e'_2, e_3, e'_3$; the canonical involution τ_0 on C_0 is the restriction of τ to C_0 .

Consider the étale k -algebra $K = k[t]/(t^2 + t + a_1 a'_1 + a_2 a'_2 + a_3 a'_3)$ and the quaternion k -algebras $Q_1 = [a_1 a'_1, a_1 a_3]$, $Q_2 = [a_2 a'_2, a_2 a_3]$. By definition (see [13, Section 11 of Chap. 8] or [5, Section 2.C]), Q_1 is generated by elements u_1 and v_1 subject to the relations $u_1^2 + u_1 = a_1 a'_1$, $v_1^2 = a_1 a_3$, and $u_1 v_1 = v_1 u_1 + v_1$. The canonical involution on Q_1 is determined by $u_1 \mapsto u_1 + 1$, $v_1 \mapsto v_1$. Analogously, Q_2 is generated by u_2 and v_2 subject to the relations $u_2^2 + u_2 = a_2 a'_2$, $v_2^2 = a_2 a_3$, and $u_2 v_2 = v_2 u_2 + v_2$. The canonical involution on Q_2 is determined by $u_2 \mapsto u_2 + 1$, $v_2 \mapsto v_2$. We consider the k -algebra K with the involution $t \mapsto t + 1$. A straightforward computation shows that the homomorphisms of k -algebras

$$\begin{array}{lll} Q_1 \rightarrow C_0, & Q_2 \rightarrow C_0, & K \rightarrow C_0 \\ u_1 \mapsto e_1 e'_1 & u_2 \mapsto e_2 e'_2 & t \mapsto e_1 e'_1 + e_2 e'_2 + e_3 e'_3 \\ v_1 \mapsto e_1 e_3 & v_2 \mapsto e_2 e_3 & \end{array}$$

are well-defined homomorphisms of algebras with involutions and that their images commute. Hence, they induce a homomorphism

$$\psi : Q_1 \otimes_k Q_2 \otimes_k K \rightarrow C_0.$$

By the same argument as above, this homomorphism ψ is an isomorphism. \square

Let us now end the proof of Theorem 3.1. Since the degree of A is 4 (in particular, divisible by 4), the algebra $\mathcal{D}(A, \sigma)$ is endowed with a quadratic pair τ . We assume that the discriminant algebra of (A, σ) is split, i.e. isomorphic to $\text{End}_k(V)$ for some six-dimensional k -vector space V . Thus τ is the quadratic pair associated to some quadratic form q on V (see [13, Theorem 7.4 of Chap. 8] for the $\text{char } k \neq 2$ case and [5, Proposition 5.11] for the $\text{char } k = 2$ case). By Theorem 2.2, the algebra with involution (A, σ) is isomorphic to the Clifford algebra $C(\text{End}_k(V), \tau_q)$; the latter is isomorphic to $C_0(q)$ by Proposition 2.1. By Lemma 3.6, the algebra with involution $C_0(q)$ is decomposable. \square

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to A. Wadsworth for his comments on the characteristic 2 case (including the proof of Lemma 3.6).

References

- [1] A.A. Albert, Normal division algebras of degree four over an algebraic field, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 34 (1932) 363–372.
- [2] A.A. Albert, *Structure of Algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 24, Providence, RI, 1961.
- [3] S.A. Amitsur, L.H. Rowen, J.-P. Tignol, Division algebras of degree 4 and 8 with involution, *Israel J. Math.* 33 (1979) 133–148.
- [4] R. Baeza, *Quadratic Forms Over Semilocal Rings*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 655, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [5] M.-A. Knus, A.S. Merkurjev, M. Rost, J.-P. Tignol, *The Book of Involutions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 44, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [6] M.-A. Knus, R. Parimala, R. Sridharan, Pfaffians, central simple algebras and similitudes, *Math. Z.* 206 (1991) 589–604.
- [7] M.-A. Knus, R. Parimala, R. Sridharan, On the discriminant of an involution, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique, série A* 43 (1991) 89–98.
- [8] A.S. Merkurjev, Involution and algebraic groups, *Recherches de Math.* 36, Inst. Math. UCL, Louvain-la-Neuve, 1993.
- [9] A. Quéguiner, Cohomological invariants of algebras with involution, *J. Algebra* 194 (1997) 299–330.
- [10] A. Quéguiner, *Invariants d’algèbres à involution*, Thèse de Doctorat, 1996.
- [11] L.H. Rowen, Central simple algebras, *Israel J. Math.* 29 (1978) 285–301.
- [12] W. Scharlau, Zur Existenz von Involutionsen auf einfachen Algebren II, *Math. Z.* 176 (1981) 399–404.
- [13] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian Forms*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 270, Springer, Berlin, 1985.