

ON UNIMODULAR MODULE CATEGORIES

Harshit Yadav (25 min)

@ CRM, Montreal, 20221019

§1 MOTIVATION

a) TQFT
is a symm. monoidal
functor $F: n\text{Cob} \rightarrow \text{Vect}$

$\{2D\text{-TQFT}\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{commutative} \\ \text{Frobenius} \\ \text{algebras} \end{array} \right\}$

(Story about how I got interested)
....

$\{3D\text{-TQFTs}\} \leftarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{modular tensor} \\ \text{categories} \end{array} \right\}$

including non-
semisimple ones

yield invariants
of mfd's. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{very important} \\ \bullet \text{ Jones polynomial} \\ \bullet \text{ Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants} \\ \dots \end{array} \right.$

Hence we want more TQFT

\Rightarrow want more MTCs

There are many ways of producing new MTC

Common Sources of MTCs

- Reps of Quantum groups, VOAs
- Drinfeld centers of spherical categories

Processes of getting new MTCs from old ones

- Deligne product $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$
- graded extension
- Zesting
- category of local modules \mathcal{C}_A^o
where $A = \text{nice Frobenius algebra}$

\rightarrow My talk focuses on
Drinfeld centers

+ local modules using nice
Frobenius algebras in $\mathbb{Z}(e)$

From CFT perspective, MTCs encode the chiral data of some CFT

→ In the formulation of Fuchs-Runkel-Schweigert,
 Frob algs $A \leftrightarrow$ boundary fields
 $A-A'$ bimodules \leftrightarrow defects

∴ From the TQFT + CFT motivations, it is important to understand Frobenius algebras in MTCs

PROBLEM: Construct Frobenius algebras (functorially) in the Drinfeld center.

STRATEGY: Construct Frobenius monoidal functors
 $\square \longrightarrow Z(\mathcal{C})$
 ↓ preserve Frobenius algebras

RESULTS

① Consider a strong monoidal functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that

- $F + F^{ra}$ is a coloop adjunction
- F^{ra} is exact + faithful

then get that

$$F^{ra} \text{ is } \iff F^{ra}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \text{ is}$$

- | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| (known) | • Frob. monoidal | Frob. algebra |
| | • separable Frob. mon | separable Frob |
| | • pivotal | symmetric Frob. |
| | ⋮ | ⋮ |

② Apply ① to $\psi: Z(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Func}(\mathcal{M})$
 $(c, \sigma) \mapsto (c \triangleright -, \sigma)$

category of right exact \mathcal{C} -module endofunctors of a module category \mathcal{M} .

Get that

$\psi^{ra}: \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is $\Leftrightarrow \psi^{ra}(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is

- Frobenius monoidal
- pivotal

- Frobenius alg
- symm. Frob. alg.

③ Defn: Exact left \mathcal{C} -module category is called unimodular if $\text{Rex}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is unimodular finite tensor category (i.e. $\mathbb{D} \cong \mathbb{1}$).

Thm: The following are equivalent

- \mathcal{M} is unimodular module cat.
- $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ as \mathcal{C} -module functors
- $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is unimodular FTC

- μ indec. {
- $\psi^{ra}(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is Frob. algebra in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$
 - ψ^{ra} is a Frob. monoidal functor.

also able to reprove result of Fuchs-Schweigerl.

Thm: Let \mathcal{C} be pivotal, \mathcal{M} unimodular + pivotal
Then $\psi^{ra}(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is symm. Frobenius
 $\Rightarrow \psi^{ra}$ is pivotal Frob. mon. functor.

④ Classify unimodular module categories over $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H)$.

\rightarrow Module categories are of the form $\mathcal{M} = \text{Rep}(L)$ where L is a left H -comodule algebra.

Thm: $\mathcal{M} \underset{\text{Rep}(L)}{\text{is unimodular}} \Leftrightarrow L \text{ admits a unimodular element}$

- some invertible element of L
- answers Q of Shimizu