

QA reading group
4/6/2022

[FFRS, §7] Correspondences of tensor categories (by Harshit Yadav)

What is correspondence?

- Consider a class of mathematical objects for which cartesian product is defined.

Example: Groups

- Given two such objects X, Y , a correspondence is a subobject R of the product $X \times Y$.

Example: Take groups G_1, G_2

Correspondence = subgroup $R \leq G_1 \times G_2$

Subexample: For every group homomorphism
 $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$,

we get a correspondence

$$\Gamma_f = \{(g_1, f(g_1)) \mid g_1 \in G_1\} \leq G_1 \times G_2$$

Hence, we can think of correspondence as generalizing the notion of morphisms between two mathematical objects.

GOAL

get info about Y using X and R

↓ explicit example

describe the category $\text{Rep}(G_2)$ in terms of

$\text{Rep}(G_1)$ and $\text{Rep}(R)$

Since we are interested in application to quantum groups, vertex algs., QFT, etc., we rephrase the goal in tensor categorical language

$$\text{Rep}(G_1)$$

$$\text{Rep}(G_2)$$

$$\text{Rep}(G_1 \times G_2) \cong \text{Rep}(G_1) \boxtimes \text{Rep}(G_2)$$

$$\text{Rep}(R) \subseteq \text{Rep}(G_1 \times G_2)$$

For a group G , there is a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{subgroups} \\ \text{of } H \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{commutative} \\ \text{algebras in} \\ \text{Rep}(G) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$H \longmapsto \mathbb{C}[G/H]$$

$$\text{Rep}(G)_A \longleftarrow A$$

Thus

$$R \longleftrightarrow A_R \text{ commutative alg in } \text{Rep}(G_1 \times G_2)$$

$$\mathcal{E} \text{ braided } \boxtimes \text{ cat}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \text{ braided } \boxtimes \text{ cat}$$

$$\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$$

$$\text{commutative alg } A_R \in \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_{A_R}$$

GOAL: • Given \mathcal{E} , A_R a comm. alg. in $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$
 Find \mathcal{E} in terms of \mathcal{D} and A_R , that is,
 • construct a (braided) tensor cat. \mathcal{E}
 • construct $B \in \text{ComAlg}(\mathcal{E})$
 such that \mathcal{E} is of the form $\mathcal{E}_B^{\text{loc}}$

This paper achieves this goal for ribbon categories.

Take \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D} ribbon categories with \mathcal{D} modular and A a nice comm. alg. in $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$. Set $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_A^{\text{loc}}$
 Then under nice conditions,
 \exists a nice comm. alg $B \in \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{E} \cong (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_B^{\text{loc}}$$

The precise result is the following:

[THEOREM 7.6, FFRS] (simplified version)

Suppose that we have the following data

- \mathcal{C} ribbon category (assume Karoubian)
- \mathcal{D} modular tensor category

($\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is trivializable, i.e., $(\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_T^{\text{loc}} \cong \text{Vec}_T$

with $T = \bigoplus_{i \in I} U_i \boxtimes \bar{U}_i$

where $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ are simples in \mathcal{D}

- A commutative symmetric special Frobenius algebra in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$

such that

(i) $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}}$ is separable, and

(i.e. p idempotent + $\text{tr}(p) = 0 \Rightarrow p = 0$)

(ii) A is \mathcal{C} -haploid.

(i.e. only retract of A of the form $U \boxtimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$)

set $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_A^{\text{loc}}$ and $B := \text{l-Ind}_{A \times \mathbb{1}_{\bar{\mathcal{D}}}}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \times T)$

then $\mathcal{C} \cong (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_B^{\text{loc}}$

PROOF SKETCH:

STEP 1

$$\mathcal{C} \cong (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \times T}^{\text{loc}}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{Vec}_T$$

(Lemma 6.7 + \mathcal{C} Karoubi closed)

$$\cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes (\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_T^{\text{loc}}$$

(since \mathcal{D} is a MTC)

$$\cong \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}}^{\text{loc}} \boxtimes (\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_T^{\text{loc}}$$

($\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{unit} \Rightarrow$ every object is local module over it)

$$\cong (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \times T}^{\text{loc}}$$

(Prop 6.11)

STEP 2

Take $F = (1_e \times T) \otimes (A \times 1_{\bar{D}}) \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}}$

$1_e \times T$ and $A \times 1_{\bar{D}}$ are commutative algebras

$$\Rightarrow C_e(F) \cong E_{1_e \times T}(A \times 1_{\bar{D}}) \quad \& \quad C_r(F) \cong E_{A \times 1_{\bar{D}}}(1_e \times T)$$

(by Proposition 3.14)

$$\text{Also, } (e \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_{C_e(F)}^{\text{loc}} \cong (e \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_{C_r(A)}^{\text{loc}}$$

(by Theorem 5.20)

STEP 3

$$E_{1_e \times T}(A \times 1_{\bar{D}}) \cong 1_e \times T$$

(a) (b)

Both these objects are defined as retracts of certain idempotents.

To show that (a) \cong (b), we show that the two idempotents are the same

(see details on pages 319, 320)

STEP 4

$$(e \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_{C_e(F)}^{\text{loc}} \cong e$$

$$(e \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_{C_e(F)}^{\text{loc}} \cong (e \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_{E_{1_e \times T}(A \times 1_{\bar{D}})}^{\text{loc}} \quad (\text{step 2})$$

$$\cong (e \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{D}})_{1_e \times T}^{\text{loc}} \quad (\text{step 3})$$

$$\cong e \quad (\text{step 1})$$

STEP 5

$$(e \otimes D \otimes \bar{D})_{C_r(F)}^{\text{loc}} \cong (e \otimes D)_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{loc}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (e \otimes D \otimes \bar{D})_{C_r(F)}^{\text{loc}} &\cong (e \otimes D \otimes \bar{D})_{E_{A \times 1_{\mathcal{B}}}(1_{e \times T})}^{\text{loc}} && \text{(step 2)} \\ &\cong \left[(e \otimes D) \otimes \bar{D} \right]_{A \times 1_{\mathcal{B}}}^{\text{loc}} \Big|_{\mathcal{E}\text{-Ind}_{A \times 1_{\mathcal{B}}}(1_{e \times T})}^{\text{loc}} && \text{(Prop. 4.16)} \\ &\cong \left[(e \otimes D)_A^{\text{loc}} \otimes \bar{D} \right]_{\mathcal{E}\text{-Ind}_{A \times 1_{\mathcal{B}}}(1_{e \times T})}^{\text{loc}} && \text{(Prop. 6.11)} \\ &\cong (e \otimes \bar{D})_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{loc}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, by steps 4, 5 the claim follows. ■