

MATH 436: Solutions for Problem Set 4

3.3.12: (a) The 3×3 system of pdes is given by

$$\mathbf{u}_y + A\mathbf{u}_x = C\mathbf{u} \text{ where } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } C = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & 7 \\ 1 & -3 & -10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have to reduce this to normal form. The first thing we need to calculate is ω where

$$\begin{aligned} |I - \omega A| = 0 &\iff |A - \omega^{-1}I| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \omega^{-1} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 - \omega^{-1} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 - \omega^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\ &\implies (1 - \omega^{-1})(1 + \omega^{-1})(2 - \omega^{-1}) = 0 \implies \omega = -1, 1/2 \text{ and } 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the ω are real and distinct the system is totally hyperbolic. The characteristic curves are the level surfaces determined by

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \omega,$$

which implies that they are the straight lines $\xi = y + x$, $\eta = y - x/2$ and $\zeta = y - x$ for constant ξ , η and ζ .

(b) To reduce to normal form we need to compute the right eigenvectors associated with each eigenvalue. For $\omega = -1$, we have

$$(A - \omega^{-1}I)\mathbf{r}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 = 0 \implies \mathbf{r}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\omega = 1/2$, we have

$$(A - \omega^{-1}I)\mathbf{r}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_2 = 0 \implies \mathbf{r}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\omega = 1$, we have

$$(A - \omega^{-1}I)\mathbf{r}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_3 = 0 \implies \mathbf{r}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, introducing the matrix R whose columns are the eigenvectors, we have

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \implies R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, introducing the transformation of dependent variables defined by

$$\mathbf{u} = R\mathbf{v},$$

it follows from the pde that

$$(R\mathbf{v})_y + A(R\mathbf{v})_x = CR\mathbf{v} \implies \mathbf{v}_y + R^{-1}AR\mathbf{v}_x = R^{-1}CR\mathbf{v},$$

since R 's elements are all constants. And since

$$R^{-1}AR = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R^{-1}CR = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & 7 \\ 1 & -3 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 7 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{15}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

the normal form for the pde is

$$\mathbf{v}_y + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_x = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 7 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{15}{2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}.$$

3.3.19: (a) The 2×2 system of pdes is given by

$$\mathbf{u}_y + A\mathbf{u}_x = \mathbf{0} \text{ where } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

If $y = h(x)$ are the characteristics, then $h(x)$ must satisfy

$$|I - h'(x)A| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - h' & -2h' \\ -2h' & 1 - h' \end{vmatrix} = (1 - h')^2 - 4(h')^2 = 0$$

$$\implies 1 - h' = \pm 2h' \implies h' = \frac{1}{3} \text{ or } -1,$$

which implies that the characteristic curves are given by $y = x/3 + C$ and $y = -x + \tilde{C}$, respectively. The specific characteristics given in the question have $\tilde{C} = C = 0$. Note that $1/h'$ is an "eigenvalue" of A .

(b) Suppose that $\mathbf{u}(x, h(x)) = \mathbf{f}(x)$, i.e., \mathbf{u} is specified on a characteristic curve, so that this is potentially a *characteristic initial value problem*. It follows that

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}(x, h(x))}{dx} = \mathbf{u}_x + h'\mathbf{u}_y = \mathbf{f}' \implies \mathbf{u}_x = -h'\mathbf{u}_y + \mathbf{f}',$$

which when substituted into (1) leads to

$$(I - h'A)\mathbf{u}_y = -A\mathbf{f}', \quad (2)$$

which is just (3.3.36) in the textbook (for the particular pde in this question). Since the characteristic curves (i.e., $y = h(x)$) have been chosen by demanding that $\det(I - h'A) = 0$, then if we let \mathbf{l} be a *left eigenvector* associated with the eigenvalue $1/h'$, i.e., $\mathbf{l} \cdot (I - h'A) = \mathbf{0}$, it follows from (2) that

$$\mathbf{l}(I - h'A) \mathbf{u}_y = 0 = -\mathbf{l}A\mathbf{f}' \implies \mathbf{l}A\mathbf{f}' = 0,$$

which is a compatibility condition on the allowed initial data $\mathbf{f}(x)$ on the characteristic.

In component form, (2) is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - h' & -2h' \\ -2h' & 1 - h' \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}_y = - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}_x, \quad (3)$$

where we have written $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^\top$ and $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2)^\top$. For $h' = 1/3$, (3) reduces to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}_y = - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}_x, \quad (4)$$

from which we immediately see that

$$(1, 1) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0},$$

i.e., $\mathbf{l} = (1, 1)^\top$, so that it follows from (4) that

$$(1, 1) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = 3(f_1 + f_2)_x = 0 \implies f_2(x) = -f_1(x) + C,$$

where C is an arbitrary constant.

For $h' = -1$, (3) reduces to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}_y = - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}_x, \quad (5)$$

from which we immediately see that

$$(1, -1) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0},$$

i.e., $\mathbf{l} = (1, -1)^\top$, so that it follows from (5) that

$$(1, -1) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = (-f_1 + f_2)_x = 0 \implies f_2(x) = f_1(x) + C,$$

where C is an arbitrary constant.

(c) Perhaps the most direct way to get the general solution is to first reduce the system (1) to normal form. The *right eigenvectors* of A associated with the

eigenvalues $1/h'$, i.e., -1 and 3 , and denoted by \mathbf{r}_{-1} and \mathbf{r}_3 , respectively, are determined by

$$(I + A)\mathbf{r}_{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{-1} = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{r}_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(I - \frac{1}{3}A)\mathbf{r}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{r}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{r}_{-1} \cdot \mathbf{r}_3 = 0$. Thus, introducing the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \equiv R\mathbf{v} &= R \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } R \equiv [\mathbf{r}_{-1} \quad \mathbf{r}_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \implies R^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

into (1), leads to

$$\mathbf{v}_y + D\mathbf{v}_x = \mathbf{0}, \text{ where } D \equiv R^{-1}AR = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

or, in component form,

$$\partial_y v_1 - \partial_x v_1 = 0 \text{ and } \partial_y v_2 + 3\partial_x v_2 = 0.$$

Therefore, using the method of characteristics, the general solution for \mathbf{v} is given by

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} g(x+y) \\ h(x-3y) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g(x+y)$ and $h(x-3y)$ are arbitrary functions of their arguments. Thus, the general solution to (1) is given

$$\mathbf{u} = R\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g(x+y) \\ h(x-3y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h(x-3y) + g(x+y) \\ h(x-3y) - g(x+y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for the problem in which the initial data is specified along the characteristic $y = x/3$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{u}(x, x/3) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x) \\ -f(x) + C \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} g(4x/3) \\ h(0) \end{pmatrix},$$

(as determined in Part (b)), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} g(x) \\ h(0) \end{pmatrix} &= R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f(3x/4) \\ -f(3/4x) + C \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(3x/4) \\ -f(3/4x) + C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(3x/4) - C/2 \\ C/2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude

$$C = 2h(0),$$

$$g(x) = f(3x/4) - h(0).$$

It therefore follows that

$$\mathbf{u}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} h(x - 3y) - h(0) + f(3(x + y)/4) \\ h(x - 3y) + h(0) - f(3(x + y)/4) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h(x - 3y)$ is arbitrary, i.e., the solution is not unique.

Similarly, for the problem in which the initial data is specified along the characteristic $y = -x$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{u}(x, -x) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x) \\ f(x) + C \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} g(0) \\ h(4x) \end{pmatrix},$$

(as determined in Part (b)), that

$$\begin{pmatrix} g(0) \\ h(x) \end{pmatrix} = R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f(x/4) \\ f(x/4) + C \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(x/4) \\ f(x/4) + C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -C/2 \\ f(x/4) + C/2 \end{pmatrix},$$

from which we conclude that

$$C = -2g(0),$$

$$h(x) = f(x/4) - g(0).$$

It therefore follows that

$$\mathbf{u}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} f((x - 3y)/4) + g(x + y) - g(0) \\ f((x - 3y)/4) - g(x + y) - g(0) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g(x + y)$ is arbitrary, i.e., the solution is not unique.

3.3.20: Euler's equations for isentropic (i.e., constant entropy) flow are given by

$$u_t + u u_x + (c^2/\rho) \rho_x = 0,$$

$$\rho_t + u \rho_x + \rho u_x = 0,$$

where u and ρ are the velocity and density, respectively. This pair of equations may be written as the 2×2 system

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & c^2/\rho \\ \rho & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_x + \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which we have written in the form of (3.3.73) in the textbook.

(a) To reduce these equations to characteristic normal form we need to solve the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & c^2/\rho \\ \rho & u \end{bmatrix}^\top \mathbf{r} = \lambda \mathbf{r} \implies \begin{vmatrix} u - \lambda & \rho \\ c^2/\rho & u - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \implies \lambda = u \pm c.$$

The corresponding right eigenvectors are determined as follows. For $\lambda = u + c$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} -c & \rho \\ c^2/\rho & -c \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_+ = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{r}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ c \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\lambda = u - c$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & \rho \\ c^2/\rho & c \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_- = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{r}_- = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ -c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we left-multiply the original system by the transposed right eigenvectors. For \mathbf{r}_+ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u & c^2/\rho \\ \rho & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_x + \begin{pmatrix} \rho & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & c \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_t + (u + c) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_x \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus to

$$\rho [u_t + (u + c) u_x] + c [\rho_t + (u + c) \rho_x] = 0, \quad (1)$$

from which we conclude that

$$\rho \frac{du}{dt} + c \frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0 \text{ on } \frac{dx}{dt} = u + c. \quad (2)$$

For \mathbf{r}_- , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & -c \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u & c^2/\rho \\ \rho & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_x + \begin{pmatrix} \rho & -c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho & -c \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_t + (u - c) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}_x \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus to

$$\rho [u_t + (u - c) u_x] - c [\rho_t + (u - c) \rho_x], \quad (3)$$

from we conclude that

$$\rho \frac{du}{dt} - c \frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0 \text{ on } \frac{dx}{dt} = u - c. \quad (4)$$

Equations (1) and (3) or equations (2) and (4) are, equivalently, the characteristic normal form for isentropic flow. Equations (2) and (4) may be summarized in the form

$$\rho \frac{du}{dt} \pm c \frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0 \text{ on } \frac{dx}{dt} = u \pm c.$$

Dividing through by $\rho > 0$ and assuming c is constant allows us to write

$$\frac{d}{dt} (u \pm c \ln \rho) = 0 \text{ on } \frac{dx}{dt} = u \pm c,$$

which can be integrated to yield the Riemann invariants

$$u \pm c \ln \rho = \text{constant, on } \frac{dx}{dt} = u \pm c$$

3.4.7: The backward heat equation is

$$u_t = -\rho u_{xx}, \quad 0 < x < \pi, \quad t > 0.$$

Let us consider the solution

$$u(x, t) = \frac{\exp(\rho n^2 t) \sin(nx)}{n}, \quad n > 0.$$

Direct substitution verifies that this is a solution. Clearly,

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{\sin(nx)}{n} \implies |u(x, 0)| \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

can be made as “small” as we want by allowing n to be arbitrarily large. However, *for all* $t > 0$, $u(x, t)$ will, generically, be exponentially large when n is arbitrarily large. Thus “small” initial data does not necessarily lead to “small” solutions. This violates Hadamard’s third condition. So the pde is not well-posed.

3.5.4: The pde (1.1.15) is given by

$$v_t + cv_x = rv_{xx} \text{ (where } r \equiv D/2 > 0\text{)}. \quad (1)$$

We must compute the stability index. Assuming a normal mode solution in the form

$$v = a \exp(ikx + \lambda t) + c.c.,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ (the wave number), $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (the complex-valued “growth rate”) and a is the amplitude coefficient, leads to the relation

$$\lambda + ick = -rk^2 \implies \lambda = -ick - rk^2.$$

Thus,

$$\text{Re}[\lambda(k)] = -rk^2 \text{ and } \Omega = \text{lub}\{\text{Re}[\lambda(k)]\} = \text{lub}\{-rk^2\} = 0.$$

Since $\text{Re}[\lambda(k)] \leq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Re}[\lambda(k)] = 0$ only for $k = 0$, it follows that the pde (1) is dissipative.