Solutions for Math 436 2018 Final

Question 1a: If y = h(x) are the characteristics, then h(x) must satisfy

$$|I - h'(x) A| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - h' & -2h' \\ -2h' & 1 - h' \end{vmatrix} = (1 - h')^2 - 4(h')^2 = 0$$
$$\implies 1 - h' = \pm 2h' \implies h' = \frac{1}{3} \text{ or } -1.$$

Since all of the h' are real and distinct, the system is strictly or totally hyperbolic. Question 1b: To reduce to canonical form we first need to compute the right eigenvectors associated with h' computed in part a. The right eigenvectors of A associated with the eigenvalues 1/h', i.e., -1 and 3, and denoted by \mathbf{r}_{-1} and \mathbf{r}_{3} , respectively, are determined by

$$(I+A)\mathbf{r}_{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{-1} = \mathbf{0} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{r}_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$(I-\frac{1}{3}A)\mathbf{r}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{2} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{3} = \mathbf{0} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{r}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{r}_{-1} \cdot \mathbf{r}_3 = 0$. Thus, introducing the transformation

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv R\mathbf{v} = R \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $R \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{-1} & \mathbf{r}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\Longrightarrow R^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

into the pde, leads to

$$\mathbf{v}_y + D\mathbf{v}_x = \mathbf{0}$$
, where $D \equiv R^{-1}AR = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$,

or, in component form,

$$\partial_{u}v_{1} - \partial_{x}v_{1} = 0$$
 and $\partial_{u}v_{2} + 3\partial_{x}v_{2} = 0$.

Question 2: The pde is

$$u_t - u_{xx} - u_x + au = 0.$$

To compute the stability index we assume a plane wave solution in the form

$$u = A \exp(ikx + \lambda t) + c.c.$$

Substitution into the pde yields

$$\lambda = -k^2 - a + ik \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = -k^2 - a.$$

Thus,

$$\Omega = lub_k \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\lambda \right) \right] = -a.$$

Hence, if a > 0, the pde is *strictly stable*, if a = 0, the pde is *neutrally stable* and if a < 0, the pde is *unstable*.

Question 3a: Let f(x) and g(x) be smooth square-integrable functions that satisfy the boundary condition associated with the differential operator \mathcal{L} , then \mathcal{L} is said to be self-adjoint if

$$(f, \mathcal{L}g) = (g, \mathcal{L}f).$$

To show $\frac{1}{\rho}L$ is self-adjoint, we will show that

$$\left(f,\,\frac{1}{\rho}Lg\right)-\left(g,\,\frac{1}{\rho}Lf\right)=0.$$

We have

We
$$\left(f, \frac{1}{\rho} Lg \right) - \left(g, \frac{1}{\rho} Lf \right) = \int_{G} f Lg - g Lf \ dx$$

$$= \int_{G} f \left[-\nabla \cdot (p \nabla g) + qg \right] - g \left[-\nabla \cdot (p \nabla f) + qf \right] \ dx$$

$$= \int_{G} g \nabla \cdot (p \nabla f) - f \nabla \cdot (p \nabla g) \ dx$$

$$= \int_{\partial G} g \mathbf{n} \cdot (p \nabla f) - f \mathbf{n} \cdot (p \nabla g) \ dx + \int_{G} p \left[\nabla f \cdot \nabla g - \nabla g \cdot \nabla f \right] \ dx$$

$$= \int_{\partial G} p \left(g \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} - f \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} \right) dx.$$

If $\beta = 0$, then f = g = 0 for $x \in \partial G$ so that

$$\left(g\frac{\partial f}{\partial n} - f\frac{\partial g}{\partial n}\right)_{\partial C} = 0.$$

If $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} &= -\frac{\alpha f}{\beta} \text{ and } \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} = -\frac{\alpha g}{\beta} \text{ for } x \in \partial G \\ \Longrightarrow \left(g \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} - f \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} \right)_{\partial G} &= \left(\frac{\alpha g f}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha g f}{\beta} \right)_{\partial G} = 0. \end{split}$$

Thus, regardless of whether β is zero or not for $x \in \partial G$, we have shown

$$\left(g\frac{\partial f}{\partial n}-f\frac{\partial g}{\partial n}\right)_{\partial G}=0\Longrightarrow \left(f,\,\frac{1}{\rho}Lg\right)=\left(g,\,\frac{1}{\rho}Lf\right).$$

Question 3b: Let f(x) be a smooth square-integrable function that satisfies the boundary condition associated with the differential operator \mathcal{L} , then \mathcal{L} is said to be positive if

$$(f, \mathcal{L}f) \geq 0.$$

To show $\frac{1}{\rho}L$ is positive, we proceed directly:

$$\left(f, \frac{1}{\rho}Lf\right) = \int_{G} f Lf \, dx = \int_{G} f \left[-\nabla \cdot (p\nabla f) + qf\right] \, dx$$
$$= -\int_{\partial G} pf \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} \, dx + \int_{G} p \, \nabla f \cdot \nabla f + q \, f^{2} \, dx.$$

If $\beta = 0$, then f = 0 for $x \in \partial G$ so that

$$\left(f, \frac{1}{\rho} L f\right) = \int_{G} p \nabla f \cdot \nabla f + q f^{2} dx \ge 0,$$

since p > 0 and $q \ge 0$. If $\beta \ne 0$, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial n} = -\frac{\alpha f}{\beta} \text{ for } x \in \partial G$$

$$\Longrightarrow \left(f, \frac{1}{\rho} L f \right) = \int_{\partial G} \frac{\alpha p f^2}{\beta} dx + \int_{G} p \nabla f \cdot \nabla f + q f^2 dx \ge 0,$$

since p > 0, $q \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

Question 3c: The eigenvalue problem is given by

$$\frac{1}{\rho}Lu = \lambda u, \, x \in G,$$

with the boundary condition

$$\alpha(x) u + \beta(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial G.$$

Since $\frac{1}{\rho}L$ is a positive operator

$$0 \le \left(u, \frac{1}{\rho} L u\right) = \lambda \left(u, u\right) = \lambda \left\|u\right\|^2 \Longrightarrow \lambda \ge 0.$$

Question 4a: The Fourier Series is defined as

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varphi, \varphi_k) \varphi_k(x).$$

Question 4b: The n^{th} partial sum is given by

$$\psi_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\varphi, \varphi_k) \varphi_k(x).$$

To show Bessel's Inequality, we begin with

$$0 \leq \|\varphi(x) - \psi_n(x)\|^2 = (\varphi - \psi_n, \varphi - \psi_n) = (\varphi, \varphi) - 2(\varphi, \psi_n) + (\psi_n, \psi_n)$$

$$= (\varphi, \varphi) - 2\left(\varphi, \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_k) \varphi_k(x)\right) + \left(\sum_{m=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_m) \varphi_m(x), \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_k) \varphi_k(x)\right)$$

$$= (\varphi, \varphi) - 2\sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_k) (\varphi, \varphi_k) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_k) (\varphi, \varphi_m) (\varphi_m, \varphi_k)$$

$$= (\varphi, \varphi) - 2\sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_k)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_k)^2 = (\varphi, \varphi) - \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_k)^2$$

$$\implies \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi, \varphi_k)^2 \leq (\varphi, \varphi).$$

Since the right-hand-side of this expression is independent of n, this inequality must hold for all n regardless of large it is, and thus in the limit $n \to \infty$, it follows

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varphi, \varphi_k)^2 \le (\varphi, \varphi).$$

Question 4c: Mean square convergence is defined as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\varphi(x) - \psi_n(x)\| = 0.$$

Question 4d: We must show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\varphi(x) - \psi_n(x)\| = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varphi, \varphi_k)^2 = (\varphi, \varphi).$$

From Question 4b, we have

$$\left\|\varphi\left(x\right)-\psi_{n}\left(x\right)\right\|^{2}=\left(\varphi,\varphi\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\varphi,\varphi_{k}\right)^{2}.$$

Thus, provided the limit exists,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \varphi\left(x\right) - \psi_n\left(x\right) \right\|^2 = \left(\varphi, \varphi\right) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\varphi, \varphi_k\right)^2.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \varphi \left(x \right) - \psi_n \left(x \right) \right\|^2 = 0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\varphi, \varphi_k \right)^2 = \left(\varphi, \varphi \right),$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varphi, \varphi_k)^2 = (\varphi, \varphi) \Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\varphi(x) - \psi_n(x)\|^2 = 0.$$