

Solutions for Math 436 2017 Midterm

Question 1: The pde is given by

$$\sin(x) u_x + y \cos(x) u_y = -\cos(x) u^2.$$

Part (a). The characteristics are the level curves associated with the solution to the ode

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{y \cos x}{\sin x} \implies \int \frac{dy}{y} = \int \frac{\cos x}{\sin x} dx \\ \implies \ln y + \ln \xi &= \ln(\sin x) \implies \xi = \frac{\sin x}{y}, \end{aligned}$$

for constant ξ .

Part (b). To find the general solution we transform from (x, y) to (ξ, η) variables where ξ is the characteristic variable and η is any other independent variable, say,

$$\xi = \frac{\sin x}{y} \text{ and } \eta = x.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= u_\xi \xi_x + u_\eta \eta_x = \frac{\cos x}{y} u_\xi + u_\eta, \\ u_y &= u_\xi \xi_y + u_\eta \eta_y = -\frac{\sin x}{y^2} u_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Substitution into the pde yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin(x) \cos(x)}{y} u_\xi + \sin(x) u_\eta - \frac{\sin(x) \cos(x)}{y} u_\xi &= \sin(x) u_\eta = -\cos(x) u^2 \\ \iff u_\eta &= -\frac{\cos \eta}{\sin \eta} u^2 \implies -\int \frac{du}{u^2} = \int \frac{\cos \eta}{\sin \eta} d\eta \implies \frac{1}{u} = \ln(\sin \eta) + \phi(\xi) \\ \iff u &= \frac{1}{\ln(\sin \eta) + \phi(\xi)} \implies u(x, y) = \frac{1}{\ln(\sin x) + \phi\left(\frac{\sin x}{y}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(\xi)$ is an arbitrary function of its argument.

Part (c). If $u(x, 1) = \csc x$, it follows from the general solution that

$$\begin{aligned} \csc x &= \frac{1}{\ln(\sin x) + \phi(\sin x)} \implies \sin x = \ln(\sin x) + \phi(\sin x) \\ \implies \phi(*) &= * - \ln(*), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{\ln(\sin x) + \frac{\sin x}{y} - \ln\left(\frac{\sin x}{y}\right)} = \frac{1}{\frac{\sin x}{y} + \ln y} = \frac{y}{y \ln y + \sin x}.$$

Question 2: The pde and initial condition are given by

$$a(x, y) u_x + b(x, y) u_y = c(x, y) u + d(x, y),$$

$$u(x, h(x)) = f(x),$$

where $y = h(x)$ is a characteristic, where a, b, c and d are smooth functions. Since $y = h(x)$ is a characteristic, it follows that

$$\frac{dh(x)}{dx} = \frac{b(x, h)}{a(x, h)}.$$

Differentiating the initial condition with respect to x leads to

$$\frac{df}{dx} = u_x(x, h(x)) + u_y(x, h(x)) \frac{dh}{dx},$$

but we also have, from the pde itself, that on $y = h(x)$

$$a(x, h) u_x(x, h(x)) + b(x, h) u_y(x, h(x)) = c(x, h) f(x) + d(x, h).$$

These two equations can be written as the 2×2 system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & dh/dx \\ a(x, h) & b(x, h) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_x(x, h(x)) \\ u_y(x, h(x)) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} df/dx \\ c(x, h) f(x) + d(x, h) \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $u_x(x, h(x))$ and $u_y(x, h(x))$ were *uniquely determined*, assuming a solution exists at all, it would imply that the coefficient matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & dh/dx \\ a(x, h) & b(x, h) \end{bmatrix},$$

was invertible. But

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & dh/dx \\ a(x, h) & b(x, h) \end{vmatrix} = b(x, h) - a(x, h) \frac{dh}{dx} = 0,$$

so the inverse of the coefficient matrix doesn't exist implying that $u_x(x, h(x))$ and $u_y(x, h(x))$ *cannot be uniquely determined*.

Question 3: The pde and (general) initial condition are given by

$$u_t + u^2 u_x = 0 \text{ for } -\infty < x < \infty, t > 0,$$

$$u(x, 0) = f(x) \text{ for } -\infty < x < \infty.$$

Part (a). The initial data curve may be written parametrically as

$$x = \tau \text{ with } \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } t = 0.$$

Thus, the characteristic equations can be written as

$$\frac{du}{ds} = 0 \text{ with } u|_{s=0} = f(\tau),$$

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = 1 \text{ with } t|_{s=0} = 0,$$

$$\frac{dx}{ds} = u^2 \text{ with } x|_{s=0} = \tau,$$

where s determines the parametric dependence along the characteristics. The characteristic equations for u and t can be immediately integrated with respect to s to yield

$$u = f(\tau) \text{ and } t = s,$$

which if substituted into the characteristic equation for x implies

$$\frac{dx}{ds} = [f(\tau)]^2 \text{ with } x|_{s=0} = \tau,$$

and since s and τ are independent variables, this can be integrated with respect to s to yield

$$x = s [f(\tau)]^2 + \tau = t [f(\tau)]^2 + \tau.$$

Thus, the solution for $u(x, t)$ can be written in the implicit coupled form

$$u = f(\tau),$$

$$x = t [f(\tau)]^2 + \tau.$$

Part (b). A shock will form when $|u_x| \rightarrow \infty$. From the solution we compute

$$u_x = f'(\tau) \tau_x,$$

$$1 = [2t f(\tau) f'(\tau) + 1] \tau_x \implies \tau_x = \frac{1}{1 + 2t f(\tau) f'(\tau)}$$

$$\implies u_x = \frac{f'(\tau)}{1 + 2t f(\tau) f'(\tau)},$$

from which we conclude that

$$|u_x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } t = -\frac{1}{2 f(\tau) f'(\tau)},$$

so that the *first time that a shock can form*, denoted as t_s , will be given by

$$t_s = \min_{\tau} \left[-\frac{1}{2 f(\tau) f'(\tau)} \right] \text{ where } t_s \geq 0.$$

Part (c). For

$$f(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \tau \leq -1, \\ 1 + \tau & \text{for } -1 < \tau \leq 0, \\ 1 - \tau & \text{for } 0 < \tau \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \tau > 1, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$f'(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \tau < -1, \\ 1 & \text{for } -1 < \tau < 0, \\ -1 & \text{for } 0 < \tau < 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \tau > 1. \end{cases}$$

We see that $f(\tau) \geq 0$ so in order to have $t_s \geq 0$ we will require $f'(\tau) < 0$. But $f'(\tau) < 0$ only for $0 < \tau < 1$ where $f(\tau) = 1 - \tau$. Hence

$$t_s = \min_{0 < \tau < 1} \left[\frac{1}{2(1-\tau)} \right] \Rightarrow \tau_{\min} = 0^+,$$

where τ_{\min} is the minimizer, which in turn implies

$$t_s = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow x_s = t_s [f(\tau_{\min})]^2 + \tau_{\min} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Question 4: The pde is given by

$$u_{xx} - 2x u_{xy} - (1 + 2x) u_{yy} = 0.$$

Part (a). To classify we consider the roots of

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2 - 2x\omega - (1 + 2x) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \omega^{+,-} &= \frac{2x \pm \sqrt{4x^2 + 4(1 + 2x)}}{2} = x \pm \sqrt{(1 + x)^2} \\ &= x \pm |1 + x| = x \pm (1 + x), \end{aligned}$$

without loss of generality. There are two real distinct roots if $x \neq -1$ and one double real root if $x = -1$. Hence the pde is *hyperbolic* if $x \neq -1$ and *parabolic* if $x = -1$.

Part (b). In the hyperbolic case where $x \neq -1$, the characteristic variables, denoted by ξ and η , are determined by, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dy}{dx} \right)_{\xi} &= -\omega^+ = -1 - 2x \Rightarrow y = -x - x^2 + \xi \Rightarrow \xi = y + x + x^2, \\ \left(\frac{dy}{dx} \right)_{\eta} &= -\omega^- = 1 \Rightarrow y = x - \eta \Rightarrow \eta = x - y, \end{aligned}$$

where, as it turns out, it is convenient to write the integration constant η with a minus sign.

Part (c). To derive the $H1$ canonical form of the pde in the hyperbolic case where $x \neq -1$, we introduce the characteristic variables

$$\xi = y + x + x^2 \text{ and } \eta = x - y.$$

It follows that

$$u_x = u_{\xi} \xi_x + u_{\eta} \eta_x = (1 + 2x) u_{\xi} + u_{\eta},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{xx} &= (1+2x)u_{\xi x} + u_{\eta x} + 2u_{\xi} \\
&= (1+2x)[(1+2x)u_{\xi\xi} + u_{\eta\xi}] + (1+2x)u_{\xi\eta} + u_{\eta\eta} + 2u_{\xi} \\
&= (1+2x)^2 u_{\xi\xi} + 2(1+2x)u_{\xi\eta} + u_{\eta\eta} + 2u_{\xi}, \\
u_y &= u_{\xi}\xi_y + u_{\eta}\eta_y = u_{\xi} - u_{\eta}, \\
u_{yy} &= u_{\xi\xi} - 2u_{\xi\eta} + u_{\eta\eta}, \\
u_{xy} &= u_{\xi x} - u_{\eta x} = (1+2x)u_{\xi\xi} + u_{\xi\eta} - (1+2x)u_{\xi\eta} - u_{\eta\eta} \\
&= (1+2x)u_{\xi\xi} - 2xu_{\xi\eta} - u_{\eta\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution into the pde yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+2x)^2 u_{\xi\xi} + 2(1+2x)u_{\xi\eta} + u_{\eta\eta} + 2u_{\xi} \\
&\quad - 2x[(1+2x)u_{\xi\xi} - 2xu_{\xi\eta} - u_{\eta\eta}] \\
&\quad - (1+2x)[u_{\xi\xi} - 2u_{\xi\eta} + u_{\eta\eta}] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
&4(1+2x+x^2)u_{\xi\eta} + 2u_{\xi} = 0 \\
&\implies u_{\xi\eta} + \frac{1}{2(1+\xi+\eta)}u_{\xi} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since $x^2 + 2x = \xi + \eta$. This is the $H1$ canonical form of the pde.

Part (d). It is possible to introduce an integrating factor with respect to η differentiation that allows one to obtain a general solution. The integrating factor is given by

$$\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d\eta}{1+\xi+\eta}\right] = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\xi+\eta)\right] = \exp\ln\sqrt{1+\xi+\eta} = \sqrt{1+\xi+\eta}.$$

Multiplication of the $H1$ canonical form through by the integrating factor leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{1+\xi+\eta}u_{\xi\eta} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+\xi+\eta}}u_{\xi} = 0 \\
&\implies \left(\sqrt{1+\xi+\eta}u_{\xi}\right)_{\eta} = 0 \\
&\implies \sqrt{1+\xi+\eta}u_{\xi} = \phi(\xi) \\
&\implies u = \psi(\eta) + \int^{\xi}\frac{\phi(s)}{\sqrt{1+\eta+s}}ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where ψ and ϕ are arbitrary functions of their arguments. Hence it follows that

$$u(x, y) = \psi(x-y) + \int^{y+x+x^2}\frac{\phi(s)}{\sqrt{1+x-y+s}}ds.$$