MARKING COMPOSITION: DESIGNING RUBRICS THAT WORK
Roger Graves
Professor, EFS
Director, Writing Across the Curriculum
Rubrics defined

Rubrics describe your criteria for evaluating student performances

As promised! Feedback from your session.

Do you VALUE having access to learning opportunities such as this?
This workshop assisted in two ways:
- it make me think about my written communication in more focused way AND
- it made me aware of more of the resources available to assist me with my writing.
Very much!
vertly focused and manageable within other time constraints- thank you!
I would like to see more workshops focusing on writing skills.

What additional topics would you be interested in?
1. More specific writing topics - grant proposals, letters, etiquette reminders...
2. Converting technical writing/jargon into layman's language would be helpful.
3. Grammer
KINDS OF RUBRICS

Holistic
  Descriptions of overall achievement and effect
  Faster to use

Analytic
  Separate scores for each criterion
  Precise

or

General description
  - General criteria applicable to all assignments

Primary trait scoring
  - Criteria specific to an assignment
## Type A: Holistic Scales

### Writing Program General Grading Rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Ranges</th>
<th>Below 50</th>
<th>50-60</th>
<th>60-70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grades in this range identify assignments with problems sufficiently severe and/or pervasive that they significantly compromise the document’s ability to communicate.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades in this range generally indicate ONE or more of the following characteristics:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Plagiarism.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Failure to follow the assigned topic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Severe systematic problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grades in this range identify assignments that, while generally acceptable, have AT LEAST ONE of the following characteristics:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ a significant global deficiency (mistaken audience, inconsistency in purpose)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ several major problems (see list below)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ numerous minor problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grades in this range tend to be fairly common in writing courses.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These grades identify a generally good performance on the criteria listed below with minor problems of various kinds throughout the assignment or in more than one category.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that these descriptions are guidelines only, and do not cover all situations.
**TYPE A: HOLISTIC SCALE**

Holistic Grading Rubric for Writing Assessment (GERM 111/112) A

“A” DEMONSTRATES HIGH PROFICIENCY

**Excellent command of the language:**
Addressed the topic; appropriate to the writing prompt (also in format, e.g. a letter requires greeting and conclusion); all expected elements are included; text flows; comprehensible; writing is appropriate to current level; length is appropriate

Word choice is appropriate and varied; sentence structure shows variety if possible on this level of writing (e.g. sub- and coordinating sentences, not only S-V-O structure; use of transitions);

Some errors which do not interfere with comprehension (i.e. word order is correct most of the time; subject-verb agreement is accurate most of the time, minor slips; spelling and punctuation are mostly accurate); learner demonstrated control of the forms focused on in this exam with very few mistakes
**Type B: Analytic Scales**

### Oral Presentation Grading Sheet

**Visuals**
- Size
- Brightness
- Informative titles
- Appropriateness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Presentation Skills**
- Face audience
- Speak clearly
- Clear view of visuals
- Elaborated on visuals
- No notes offered
- Handling of questions

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
111/112 RUBRICS

- See handout
- The original is holistic
- The revised one is analytic

---

**DEMONSTRATES HIGH PROFICIENCY**

Excellent command of the language:
- Addressed the topic; appropriate to the writing prompt (greeting and conclusion); all expected elements are included.
- Writing is appropriate to current level; length is appropriate.
- Word choice is appropriate and varied; sentence struc
ture suitable for the level of writing (e.g., sub- and coordinating sentence transitions).
- Some errors which do not interfere with comprehensibility (time; subject-verb agreement is accurate most of the time; punctuation are mostly accurate); learner demonstrates facility with a few mistakes.

**CLEARLY DEMONSTRATES PROFICIENCY**

Good command of the language:
- Generally addressed the topic; generally appropriate.

---

**Analytic Rubric for 111/112**

1. Answered the question/addressed the topic
   - 1: Inappropriate
   - 2: Few errors in format
   - 3: Mostly clear

2. Format appropriate and correct
   - 1: Inappropriate
   - 2: Few errors in format
   - 3: Mostly clear
RUBRICS IN ACTION

Writing in the sciences:
Writing for non-scientific audiences

Rubric
Student essay

1. Read the essay
2. Grade it using the rubric
3. Compare your scores to others in your group
YOUR RUBRICS

1. Get out your rubrics
2. Share them with the other people near you
3. Discuss how differences/similarities
4. Do these criteria accurately represent your expectations for students?
WEIGHTING THE RUBRIC

Which categories are more important to the overall grade?

This is another way of asking what are the most important factors for you when you evaluate a student’s assignment.

Not all categories have to be or should be evenly weighted.

Rubrics should be different from first year to fourth year as expectations change.