

STATISTICS 575 SAMPLE MIDTERM EXAM

Instructions: Answer all four equally weighted questions.

Time: 80 minutes.

Some possibly useful formulae:

1. Under the usual normality assumptions,

$$T^2 = (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \left(\widehat{\text{cov}}[\bar{\mathbf{x}}] \right)^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim df S \frac{df1}{df2} F_{df1}^{df2},$$

where the Wishart distribution associated with the (unbiased) covariance estimate is $W_{df1}(df S, \cdot)$ and $df1 + df2 = df S + 1$.

2. Using the usual notation and assumptions, the conditional mean and covariance matrix in the distribution of \mathbf{x}_1 given \mathbf{x}_2 are $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}$.
3. The characteristic function of the $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ distribution is

$$E[e^{it^T \mathbf{x}}] = \exp\left\{it^T \boldsymbol{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}t^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} t\right\}.$$

4. In the notation introduced in class, the likelihood function for a single $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ sample is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2} \left[tr \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{S}_n + (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right]\right\}.$$

1. (a) Define ‘multivariate normal distribution’.
(b) Let (X_1, X_2, X_3) be multivariate normal. Suppose that X_1 and X_2 are independent. Does it follow that X_1 and X_2 are conditionally independent, given X_3 ? Give a brief proof or counterexample.
(c) Let \mathbf{y} be a p -dimensional random vector with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Let \mathbf{A} be a fixed matrix with p columns. State the definition of ‘covariance matrix’ in the form $E[\cdot \cdot \cdot]$, and then derive an expression for the covariance matrix of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}$. Is it necessary to assume that \mathbf{y} is multivariate normal?
(d) Referring to (c), suppose that $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$ and that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ has rank p . Derive the distribution of $\mathbf{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$. Is it necessary to assume that \mathbf{y} is multivariate normal?

.....over

2. Measurements of $X_1 =$ petal length (mm) and $X_2 =$ petal width (mm) were obtained for samples from three species of a flower. The following summary statistics were calculated:

	species 1	species 2	species 3
sample size	10	8	16
sample mean	$\begin{pmatrix} 41 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 50 \\ 21 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 20 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$
sample covariance	$\begin{bmatrix} 20 & 7 \\ 7 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 30 & 9 \\ 9 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 6 \\ 6 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$

- (a) Introduce appropriate notation, and then formulate the null hypothesis of no difference between the three species.
- (b) Describe in detail how you would carry out the likelihood ratio test of the hypothesis in a) using the given summary statistics. Your answer should include *i*) a description of how any relevant quantities would be calculated (actual calculations not necessary) and *ii*) a description of the manner in which a large-sample approximation to the p -value would be calculated.
3. For the same situation and data as in the preceding question, consider the null hypothesis of no difference between the *first two* species. Describe in detail a standard test statistic for this null hypothesis. Your test should incorporate information from the covariance matrix from the third sample. Describe how the p -value can be calculated from F -tables.
4. Consider the growth curve model, in which the sample data are

$$\{\mathbf{x}_{lj} \mid j = 1, \dots, n_l; l = 1, \dots, g\},$$

with $\mathbf{x}_{lj} \sim N_p(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\beta}_l, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ (all independently distributed). We wish to compare the curves in varying groups ($l = 1, \dots, g$). Show that the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}_l$ are

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_l = \left(\mathbf{B}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \mathbf{B}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_l,$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ is the mle for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ (which you are NOT being asked to derive).