



The breaking strength of this plastic is important. It is known that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1.0$ psi. From random samples of $n_1 = 10$ and $n_2 = 12$ we obtain $\bar{y}_1 = 162.5$ and $\bar{y}_2 = 155.0$. The company will not adopt plastic 1 unless its breaking strength exceeds that of plastic 2 by at least 10 psi. Based on the sample information, should they use plastic 1? In answering this question, set up and test appropriate hypotheses using $\alpha = 0.01$. Construct a 99 percent confidence interval on the true mean difference in breaking strength.

- 2-11.  The following are the burning times (in minutes) of chemical flares of two different formulations. The design engineers are interested in both the mean and variance of the burning times.

Type 1		Type 2	
65	82	64	56
81	67	71	69
57	59	83	74
66	75	59	82
82	70	65	79

- (a) Test the hypothesis that the two variances are equal. Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
 (b) Using the results of (a), test the hypothesis that the mean burning times are equal. Use $\alpha = 0.05$. What is the P -value for this test?
 (c) Discuss the role of the normality assumption in this problem. Check the assumption of normality for both types of flares.

- 2-12.  An article in *Solid State Technology*, "Orthogonal Design for Process Optimization and Its Application to Plasma Etching" by G. Z. Yin and D. W. Jillie (May 1987) describes an experiment to determine the effect of the C_2F_6 flow rate on the uniformity of the etch on a silicon wafer used in integrated circuit manufacturing. All of the runs were made in random order. Data for two flow rates are as follows:

C_2F_6 Flow (SCCM)	Uniformity Observation					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
125	2.7	4.6	2.6	3.0	3.2	3.8
200	4.6	3.4	2.9	3.5	4.1	5.1

- (a) Does the C_2F_6 flow rate affect average etch uniformity? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
 (b) What is the P -value for the test in part (a)?
 (c) Does the C_2F_6 flow rate affect the wafer-to-wafer variability in etch uniformity? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
 (d) Draw box plots to assist in the interpretation of the data from this experiment.


- 2-13. A new filtering device is installed in a chemical unit. Before its installation, a random sample yielded the following information about the percentage of impurity: $\bar{y}_1 = 12.5$, $S_1^2 = 101.17$, and $n_1 = 8$. After installation, a random sample yielded $\bar{y}_2 = 10.2$, $S_2^2 = 94.73$, $n_2 = 9$.
 (a) Can you conclude that the two variances are equal? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
 (b) Has the filtering device reduced the percentage of impurity significantly? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.

- 2-14. Photoresist is a light-sensitive material applied to semiconductor wafers so that the circuit pattern can be imaged on to the wafer. After application, the coated wafers are baked to remove the solvent in the photoresist mixture and to harden the resist. Here are measurements of photoresist thickness (in μA) for eight wafers baked at two different temperatures. Assume that all of the runs were made in random order.

95 Deg C	100 Deg C
11.176	5.263
7.089	6.748
8.097	7.461
11.739	7.015
11.291	8.133
10.759	7.418
6.467	3.772
8.315	8.963

- (a) Is there evidence to support the claim that the higher baking temperature results in wafers with a lower mean photoresist thickness? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
 (b) What is the P -value for the test conducted in part (a)?
 (c) Find a 95 percent confidence interval on the difference in means. Provide a practical interpretation of this interval.

- (d) Draw dot diagrams to assist in interpreting the results from this experiment.
- (e) Check the assumption of normality of the photoresist thickness.
- (f) Find the power of this test for detecting an actual difference in means of 2.5 kA.
- (g) What sample size would be necessary to detect an actual difference in means of 1.5 kA with a power of at least 0.9?

-  2-15. Front housings for cell phones are manufactured in an injection molding process. The time the part is allowed to cool in the mold before removal is thought to influence the occurrence of a particularly troublesome cosmetic defect, flow lines, in the finished housing. After manufacturing, the housings are inspected visually and assigned a score between 1 and 10 based on their appearance, with 10 corresponding to a perfect part and 1 corresponding to a completely defective part. An experiment was conducted using two cool-down times, 10 seconds and 20 seconds, and 20 housings were evaluated at each level of cool-down time. All 40 observations in this experiment were run in random order. The data are as follows.

	10 Seconds		20 Seconds	
1	3	7	6	
2	6	8	9	
1	5	5	5	
3	3	9	7	
5	2	5	4	
1	1	8	6	
5	6	6	8	
2	8	4	5	
3	2	6	8	
5	3	7	7	

- (a) Is there evidence to support the claim that the longer cool-down time results in fewer appearance defects? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
- (b) What is the P -value for the test conducted in part (a)?
- (c) Find a 95 percent confidence interval on the difference in means. Provide a practical interpretation of this interval.

- (d) Draw dot diagrams to assist in interpreting the results from this experiment.
- (e) Check the assumption of normality for the data from this experiment.

- 2-16. Twenty observations on etch uniformity on silicon wafers are taken during a qualification experiment for a plasma etcher. The data are as follows:

5.34	6.65	4.76	5.98	7.25
6.00	7.55	5.54	5.62	6.21
5.97	7.35	5.44	4.39	4.98
5.25	6.35	4.61	6.00	5.32

- (a) Construct a 95 percent confidence interval estimate of σ^2 .
- (b) Test the hypothesis that $\sigma^2 = 1.0$. Use $\alpha = 0.05$. What are your conclusions?
- (c) Discuss the normality assumption and its role in this problem.
- (d) Check normality by constructing a normal probability plot. What are your conclusions?


- 2-17. The diameter of a ball bearing was measured by 12 inspectors, each using two different kinds of calipers. The results were


Inspector	Caliper 1	Caliper 2
1	0.265	0.264
2	0.265	0.265
3	0.266	0.264
4	0.267	0.266
5	0.267	0.267
6	0.265	0.268
7	0.267	0.264
8	0.267	0.265
9	0.265	0.265
10	0.268	0.267
11	0.268	0.268
12	0.265	0.269

- (a) Is there a significant difference between the means of the population of measurements from which the two samples were selected? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
- (b) Find the P -value for the test in part (a).
- (c) Construct a 95 percent confidence interval on the difference in mean diameter measurements for the two types of calipers.

- 3-2. (a) Rework part (b) of Problem 3-1 using Tukey's test with $\alpha = 0.05$. Do you get the same conclusions from Tukey's test that you did from the graphical procedure and/or the Fisher LSD method?


(b) Explain the difference between the Tukey and Fisher procedures.

-  3-3. Reconsider the experiment in Problem 3-1. Find a 95 percent confidence interval on the mean tensile strength of the portland cement produced by each of the four mixing techniques. Also find a 95 percent confidence interval on the difference in means for techniques 1 and 3. Does this aid you in interpreting the results of the experiment?

-  3-4. A product developer is investigating the tensile strength of a new synthetic fiber that will be used to make cloth for men's shirts. Strength is usually affected by the percentage of cotton used in the blend of materials for the fiber. The engineer conducts a completely randomized experiment with five levels of cotton content and replicates the experiment five times. The data are shown in the following table.

Cotton Weight Percent	Observations				
15	7	7	15	11	9
20	12	17	12	18	18
25	14	19	19	18	18
30	19	25	22	19	23
35	7	10	11	15	11

- (a) Is there evidence to support the claim that cotton content affects the mean tensile strength? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
- (b) Use the Fisher LSD method to make comparisons between the pairs of means. What conclusions can you draw?
- (c) Analyze the residuals from this experiment and comment on model adequacy.
- 3-5. Reconsider the experiment described in Problem 3-4. Suppose that 30 percent cotton content is a control. Use Dunnett's test with $\alpha = 0.05$ to compare all of the other means with the control.

- 3-6. A pharmaceutical manufacturer wants to investigate the bioactivity of a new drug. A completely randomized single-factor experiment was conducted with three dosage levels, and the following results were obtained. 


Dosage	Observations			
20 g	24	28	37	30
30 g	37	44	31	35
40 g	42	47	52	38

- (a) Is there evidence to indicate that dosage level affects bioactivity? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
- (b) If it is appropriate to do so, make comparisons between the pairs of means. What conclusions can you draw?
- (c) Analyze the residuals from this experiment and comment on model adequacy.

- 3-7. A rental car company wants to investigate whether the type of car rented affects the length of the rental period. An experiment is run for one week at a particular location, and 10 rental contracts are selected at random for each car type. The results are shown in the following table.

Type of Car	Observations									
Sub-compact	3	5	3	7	6	5	3	2	1	6
Compact	1	3	4	7	5	6	3	2	1	7
Midsized	4	1	3	5	7	1	2	4	2	7
Full size	3	5	7	5	10	3	4	7	2	7


- (a) Is there evidence to support a claim that the type of car rented affects the length of the rental contract? Use $\alpha = 0.05$. If so, which types of cars are responsible for the difference?
- (b) Analyze the residuals from this experiment and comment on model adequacy.
- (c) Notice that the response variable in this experiment is a count. Should this cause any potential concerns about the validity of the analysis of variance?

- 3-8. I belong to a golf club in my neighborhood. I divide the year into three golf seasons; summer (June–September), winter (November–March), and shoulder 

- 3-14. An article in the *ACI Materials Journal* (Vol. 84, 1987, pp. 213–216) describes several experiments investigating the rodding of concrete to remove entrapped air. A 3-inch \times 6-inch cylinder was used, and the number of times this rod was used is the design variable. The resulting compressive strength of the concrete specimen is the response. The data are shown in the following table:

Rodding Level	Compressive Strength		
10	1530	1530	1440
15	1610	1650	1500
20	1560	1730	1530
25	1500	1490	1510

- (a) Is there any difference in compressive strength due to the rodding level? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.
- (b) Find the P -value for the F statistic in part (a).
- (c) Analyze the residuals from this experiment. What conclusions can you draw about the underlying model assumptions?
- (d) Construct a **graphical display** to compare the treatment means as described in Section 3-5.3.

- 3-15.  An article in *Environment International* (Vol. 18, No. 4, 1992) describes an experiment in which the amount of radon released in showers was investigated. Radon-enriched water was used in the experiment, and six different orifice diameters were tested in shower heads. The data from the experiment are shown in the following table:

Orifice Diameter	Radon Released (%)			
0.37	80	83	83	85
0.51	75	75	79	79
0.71	74	73	76	77
1.02	67	72	74	74
1.40	62	62	67	69
1.99	60	61	64	66


- (a) Does the size of the orifice affect the mean percentage of radon released? Use $\alpha = 0.05$.

- (b) Find the P -value for the F statistic in part (a).
- (c) Analyze the residuals from this experiment.
- (d) Find a 95 percent confidence interval on the mean percent of radon released when the orifice diameter is 1.40.
- (e) Construct a graphical display to compare the treatment means as described in Section 3-5.3. What conclusions can you draw?

- 3-16. The response time in milliseconds was determined for three different types of circuits that could be used in an automatic valve shutoff mechanism. The results from a completely randomized experiment are shown in the following table:

Circuit Type	Response Time				
1	9	12	10	8	15
2	20	21	23	17	30
3	6	5	8	16	7

- (a) Test the hypothesis that the three circuit types have the same response time. Use $\alpha = 0.01$.
- (b) Use Tukey's test to compare pairs of treatment means. Use $\alpha = 0.01$.
- (c) Use the graphical procedure in Section 3-5.3 to compare the treatment means. What conclusions can you draw? How do they compare with the conclusions from part (b)?
- (d) Construct a set of orthogonal contrasts, assuming that at the outset of the experiment you suspected the response time of circuit type 2 to be different from the other two.
- (e) If you were the design engineer and you wished to minimize the response time, which circuit type would you select?
- (f) Analyze the residuals from this experiment. Are the basic analysis of variance assumptions satisfied?

- 3-17.  The effective life of insulating fluids at an accelerated load of 35 kV is being studied. Test data have been obtained for four types of fluids. The results from