

MAXIMIN EFFICIENT BOUNDED BIAS DESIGNS

Douglas P. Wiens¹

August 1, 2018

In the notation of Wiens (2018, “I-Robust and D-Robust Designs on a Finite Design Space,” *Statistics and Computing*, 28, 241-258), suppose that loss in Ψ_τ (defined by $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \chi} \psi^2(\mathbf{x}) \leq \tau^2/n$ and $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \chi} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$) is

$$\mathcal{I}(\psi, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) + \tau^2 \mathbf{c}'_\psi \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{c}_\psi \right\},$$

where none of \mathbf{c}_ψ ($\|\mathbf{c}_\psi\| \leq 1$), $\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$, $\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ depend on τ , and

$$\max_{\Psi_\tau} \mathcal{I}(\psi, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) + \tau^2 ch_{\max} \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right\}.$$

Fix σ_ε and define $V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$. For given τ define $B_\tau(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \max_{\Psi_\tau} \left\{ \tau^2 \mathbf{c}'_\psi \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{c}_\psi \right\} = \tau^2 ch_{\max} \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$. The minimax (over Ψ_τ) design $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau$ minimizes $V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) + B_\tau(\boldsymbol{\xi})$:

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \max_{\Psi_\tau} \mathcal{I}(\psi, \boldsymbol{\xi}). \quad (1)$$

Consider the problem

(B): Minimize $V(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ in the class Ξ_b of designs for which $B_\tau(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \leq b^2$.

Theorem 1 *If there are (unique?) τ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau$ satisfying (1) such that $b^2 = B_\tau(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau)$, then $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau$ is the solution to (B).*

Proof: We have that $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau \in \Xi_b$. For any $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Xi_b = \Xi_{b_\tau}$ we must have that $\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \geq \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau)$, since otherwise

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\Psi_\tau} \mathcal{I}(\psi, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \left\{ \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) + \tau^2 ch_{\max} \mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right\} / n \\ &< \left\{ \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \text{tr} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau) + b^2 \right\} / n \\ &= \left\{ V(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau) + B_\tau(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau) \right\} / n \\ &= \min_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \max_{\Psi_\tau} \mathcal{I}(\psi, \boldsymbol{\xi}), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □

To establish the existence of τ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau$ it suffices to show that $B_\tau(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau)$ ranges over $[0, \infty)$ as τ does. That $B_0(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0) = 0$ and $B_\infty(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\infty) = \infty$ seem pretty obvious, so that if $B_\tau(\boldsymbol{\xi}_\tau)$ is continuous then existence will follow; if it is strictly increasing then uniqueness will follow as well.

¹Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences; University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta; Canada T6G 2G1. e-mail: doug.wiens@ualberta.ca