#### First Steps Toward a History of Reading

lover should make overtures by means of some words inscribed on tablets delivered to you by a clever servant, meditate on them carefully, weigh his phrases, and try to divine whether his love is only feigned or whether his prayers really come from a heart sincerely in love." The Roman poet might be one of us. He speaks to a problem that could arise in any age, that appears to exist outside of time. In reading about reading in *The Art of Love*, we seem to hear a voice that speaks directly to us across a distance of two thousand years.

But as we listen further, the voice sounds stranger. Ovid goes on to prescribe techniques for communicating with a lover behind a husband's back:

It is consonant with morality and the law that an upright woman should fear her husband and be surrounded by a strict guard. . . . But should you have as many guardians as Argus has eyes, you can dupe them all if your will is firm enough. For example, can anyone stop your servant and accomplice from carrying your notes in her bodice or between her foot and the sole of her sandal? Let us suppose that your guardian can see through all these ruses. Then have your confidante offer her back in place of the tablets and let her body become a living letter. <sup>1</sup>

# FIRST STEPS TOWARD A HISTORY OF READING

The lover is expected to strip the servant girl and read her body—not exactly the kind of communication that we associate with letter writing today. Despite its air of beguiling contemporaneity, The Art of Love catapults us into a world we can barely imagine. To get the message, we must know something about Roman mythology, writing techniques, and domestic life. We must be able to picture ourselves as the wife of a Roman patrician and to appreciate the contrast between formal morality and the ways of a world given over to sophistication and cynicism at a time when the Sermon on the Mount was being preached in a barbarian tongue far beyond the Romans' range of hearing.

To read Ovid is to confront the mystery of reading itself. Both familiar and foreign, it is an activity that we share with our ancestors yet can never be the same as what they experienced. We may enjoy the illusion of stepping outside of time in order to make contact with authors who lived centuries ago. But even if their texts have come down to us unchanged—a virtual impossibility, considering the evolution of layout and of books as physical objects—our relation to those texts cannot be the same as that of readers in the past. Reading has a history. But how can we recover it?

We could begin by searching the record for readers. Carlo Ginzburg found one, a humble miller from sixteenth-century Friulia, in the papers of the Inquisition. Probing for heresy, the inquisitor asked his victim about his reading. Menocchio replied with a string of titles and elaborate comments on each of them. By comparing the texts and the commentary, Ginzburg discovered that Menocchio had read a great deal of biblical stories, chronicles, and travel books of the kind that existed in many patrician libraries. Menocchio did not simply receive messages transmitted down through the social order. He read

disposition into a radically non-Christian view of the world. Whether that view can be traced to an ancient popular tradition, as Ginzburg claims, is a matter of debate; but Ginzburg certainly demonstrated the possibility of studying reading as an activity among the common people four centuries ago.<sup>2</sup>

by revolutionizing reading itself. in his day, Rousseau captivated an entire generation of readers Nouvelle Héloise as his predecessors did two centuries ago. But ern reader can weep his way through the six volumes of La romantic sensibility. That sensibility is now extinct. No modbest seller of the century, the most important single source of worked so well that La Nouvelle Héloise became the greatest a strategy for taking in his novel. The new way of reading read him. He had assigned them roles and provided them with prefaces to his novel. He had instructed his readers how to sponses conformed to those Rousseau had called for in the two responded as Ranson did and, furthermore, that their retidal wave of fan mail in the history of literature, although publication of La Nouvelle Héloïse. It was, I believe, the first received a flood of letters from readers like Ranson after the provincial bourgeoisie under the Old Regime. Rousseau had up business, fell in love, married, and raised his children. incorporated Rousseau's ideas in the fabric of his life as he set seauist. Ranson did not merely read Rousseau and weep: he search on eighteenth-century France. He was a merchant from England. The mail reveals that readers everywhere in France Richardson had already produced some impressive ripples in how Rousseauism became absorbed in the way of life of the letters that Ranson wrote between 1774 and 1785 and show Reading and living run parallel as leitmotifs in a rich series of La Rochelle named Jean Ranson and an impassioned Rous-I ran across a solidly middle-class reader in my own re-

> ing and living, construing texts and making sense of life, were and, when possible, with their behaviour. It was believed that of their experience with the protocols of reading in their books to work through more archives, comparing readers' accounts they are today. But before jumping to conclusions, we need much more closely related in the early modern period than The pre-Raphaelites in England provide similar instances of Germany. Is not the Wertherfieber ripe for fresh examination? respondence of authors and the papers of publishers are ideal actual suicide notes, diaries, and letters to the editor. The corto Madame Bovary and Miss Lonely Hearts. In each case the life imitating art, a theme that can be traced from Don Quixote The Sorrows of Young Werther touched off a wave of suicides in taire and Rousseau and the unpublished papers of Balzac and letters from readers in the published correspondence of Volsources of information about real readers. There are dozens of fiction could be fleshed out and compared with documents-The examples of Menocchio and Ranson suggest that read-

In short, it should be possible to develop a history as well as a theory of reader response. Possible, but not easy; for the documents rarely show readers at work, fashioning meaning from texts, and the documents are texts themselves, which also require interpretation. Few of them are rich enough to provide even indirect access to the cognitive and affective elements of reading, and a few exceptional cases may not be enough for one to reconstruct the inner dimensions of that experience. But historians of the book have already turned up a great deal of information about the external history of reading. Having studied it as a social phenomenon, they can answer many of the "who," "what," "where," and "when" questions, which can be of great help in attacking the more difficult "whys" and "hows."

succession of German book historians since Johann Goldfrieding since the Renaissance; and they have been mined by a source: the catalogues of the Frankfurt and Leipzig book fairs of Descartes and Bougain ville. The Germans have constructed that spread throughout the educated public between the time statistics, there is a great deal of quantitative information in tradition of bibliography has not favored the compilation of evolution of the English book trade. Although the British don began to dominate the printing industry, the papers of the comparable source; but for the period after 1557, when Lonhandels in 1908-09. The English-reading world has no rich published his monumental Geschichte des deutschen Buchtheir drawbacks, they provide a rough index to German readthe Hinrichssche Verzeichnisse.) Although the catalogues have dates from 1594, can be replaced for the period after 1797 by terruption from 1564 to 1749, and the Leipzig catalogue, which century. (The Frankfurt catalogue was published without inwhich extend from the mid-sixteenth to the mid-nineteenth a still longer series of statistics, thanks to a peculiarly rich ate world of nature and the remote worlds of exotic countries the rise of the novel, the general fascination with the immedinomena in the undulations of their graphs: the decline of Latin, Bibliographie de la France. One can see many intriguing phethe dépôt légal, registers of book privileges, and the annua century to the present, using long-term series constructed from have traced the evolution of reading habits from the sixteenth Martin, François Furet, Robert Estivals, and Frédéric Barbier powerful tradition of quantitative social history. Henri-Jean lysis has flourished above all in France, where it feeds on a main types, the macro- and the microanalytical. Macroana-W. W. Greg, and others with plenty of material to trace the London Stationers' Company have provided H. S. Bennett, Studies of who read what at different times fall into two

the short-title catalogues that run from 1475. Giles Barber has drawn some Frenchlike graphs from customs records. And Robert Winans and G. Thomas Tanselle have taken the measure of early American reading by reworking Charles Evans's enormous American Bibliography (eighteen thousand entries for the period 1638–1783, including, unfortunately, an undetermined population of "ghosts").<sup>5</sup>

All this compiling and computing has provided some guidelines to reading habits, but the generalizations sometimes seem too general to be satisfying. The novel, like the bourgeoisie, always seems to be rising; and the graphs drop at the expected points—most notably during the Thirty Years' War at the Leipzig fair, and during World War I in France. Most of the quantifiers sort their statistics into vague categories like "arts and sciences" and "belles-lettres," which are inadequate for identifying particular phenomena like the Succession Controversy, Jansenism, the Enlightenment, or the Gothic Revival—the very subjects that have attracted the most attention among literary scholars and cultural historians. The quantitative history of books will have to refine its categories and sharpen its focus before it can have a major impact on traditional strains of scholarship.

Yet the quantifiers have uncovered some significant statistical patterns, and their achievements would look even more impressive if there were more of an effort to make comparisons from one country to another. For example, the statistics suggest that the cultural revival of Germany in the late eighteenth century was connected with an epidemiclike fever for reading, the so-called *Lesewut* or *Lesesucht*. The Leipzig catalogue did not reach the level it had attained before the Thirty Years' War until 1764, when it included 1,200 titles of newly published books. With the onset of *Sturm und Drang*, it rose to 1,600 titles in 1770; then 2,600 in 1780 and 5,000 in 1800. The

gelehrte Teutschland, listed 3,000 living authors in 1772 and and Schiller were riding a wave of literary creativity that was although the population of the French- and German-speaking standards of measurement could produce different results, and permissions tacites) came to 729 in 1764, 896 in 1770, and only the conventional histories of literature.6 far more powerful than one might think if one considered only While Voltaire and Rousseau were sinking into old age, Goethe littéraire, included 1,187 authors in 1757 and 2,367 in 1769 4,300 in 1776. A comparable French publication, La France areas was roughly the same. A German literary almanac, Das tury of French domination. Germany also had more writers dicate a great leap forward in German literary life after a cen-French books. But whatever their deficiencies, the figures inthe official sources exclude the enormous production of illegal 1800 totaled 700. To be sure, different kinds of documents and 527 in 1780; and the new titles submitted to the dépôt légal in requests for authorization to publish new books (privilèges and crease looks relatively modest. According to Robert Estivals, fifty years, when the German figures soared, the French inwhich coincided with the decline in Germany. But in the next century of great literature, from Corneille to the Encyclopédie, steadily for a century after the Peace of Westphalia (1648)—a French followed a different pattern. Book production grew

Cross-statistical comparisons also provide help in charting cultural currents. After tabulating book privileges throughout the eighteenth century, François Furet found a marked decline in the older branches of learning, especially the humanist and classical Latin literature that had flourished a century earlier according to the statistics of Henri-Jean Martin. Newer genres such as the books classified under the rubric "arts and sciences" prevailed after 1750. Daniel Roche and Michel Marion noticed a similar tendency in surveying Parisian notarial archives.

Novels, travel books, and works on natural history tended to crowd out the classics in the libraries of noblemen and wealthy bourgeois. All the studies point to a significant drop in religious literature during the eighteenth century. They confirm the quantitative research in other areas of social history—Michel Vovelle's on funeral rituals, for example, and Dominique Julia's investigation of clerical ordinations and teaching practices.<sup>7</sup>

death of a traditional Christian culture: "Workmen carried to announce the advent of a new reading public along with the new literary sensitivity, and the last sentences of Werther seemed Pamela in England. All three novels marked the triumph of a response in Germany than La Nouvelle Héloise had in France or den des jungen Werthers produced an even more spectacular especially in the 1770s, the years of the Wertherfieber. Die Leicould be located in the second half of the eighteenth century, gious literature, and in almost every case the turning point formed. The rise of the novel had balanced a decline in relitwo hundred years, the world of reading had been transand less then 1 percent came from religion. In little more than novels); 10 percent came from history, biography, and travel; of the books came from the category of light fiction (mostly [the body.] No priest accompanied it."8 ies had fallen into a strikingly similar pattern: 70-80 percent drop in Latin books and a corresponding increase in novels in of the French. Rudolf Jentzsch and Albert Ward found a strong borrowing patterns in German, English, and American librareenth century, according to Eduard Reyer and Rudolf Schenda, the fair catalogues of Leipzig and Frankfurt. By the late nine-The thematic surveys of German reading complement those

Thus for all their variety and occasional contradictions, the imacroanalytical studies suggest some general conclusions, comething akin to Max Weber's "demystification of the world."

of private libraries has the advantage of linking the "what" to inspect the furnishings of Jefferson's mind.9 And the study purchase. To scan the catalogue of the library in Monticello is books we own and we do read many books that we never serve as a profile of a reader, even though we don't read all the most of us would agree that a catalogue of a private library can Ages to the present, more than anyone can bear to read. Yet have hundreds of lists of books in libraries from the Middle usually goes to the opposite extreme—excessive detail. We who prefer precision may turn to microanalysis, although it That, however, may seem too cosmic for comfort. Those notarial records of books in the estates of the deceased. So they

Paris of Michel Marion—and throughout entire regions—the strata of certain cities-the Caen of Jean-Claude Perrot, the The French scholars have studied reading across the socia cians, burghers, artisans, and even some domestic servants on the libraries of noblemen, magistrates, priests, academivast literature has grown up around it. We now have statistics refutations later, Mornet's work still looks impressive. But a classes of readers (the bourgeoisie). Seventy years and severa ture (the work of the philosophes, for example) with certain they provided no basis for connecting certain kinds of literathe works of authors who had been completely forgotten, and lution, Rousseau's Social Contract. The libraries bulged with copy of the book that was to be the Bible of the French Revohundred eighteenth-century catalogues, he found only one monplaces of literary history. After tabulating titles from five could produce conclusions that challenged some of the comprivées," demonstrated that the study of library catalogues Mornet's essay of 1910, "Les Enseignements des bibliothèques Ventre. For the most part, they rely on inventaires après décès Normandy of Jean Quéniart, the Languedoc of Madeleine The French have taken the lead in this area, too. Danie

> closely on their heels. 10 enough evidence in the archives for the historian to follow and through their lives, but their ways of reading did not leave signs on the streets. Parisians read their way through the city chapbooks, broadsides, posters, personal letters, and even the the form of the books that show up in inventories. It involved sians were readers, Roche concludes, but reading did not take one for every thousand inhabitants, most of them free. Pariin school. Before 1789 Paris had five hundred primary schools, tisans and shopkeepers spent several years of their childhood writing implements and packed with family papers. Most arinventories. A great many owned desks, fully equipped with most all the domestic servants could sign their names on the indications of familiarity with the written word. By 1789 alaround 1780 owned books. But Roche also discovered many ers and domestic servants who appear in the notarial archives common people of Paris: only 35 percent of the salaried workneymen. Daniel Roche found a similar pattern among the 35 percent of the master artisans, and 26 percent of the jour-100 percent of the higher officials, 51 percent of the tradesmen, in Frankfurt am Main. It indicates that books were owned by mann's survey of inventories from the late eighteenth century The most thorough German study is probably Walter Wittquate as a guide to the reading habits of the common people. where Rudolf Schenda considers inventories woefully inadetook in a great deal in France, far more than in Germany, to vague statements like "a pile of books." But the notarial eye neglect books of little commercial value or limit themselves suffer from the bias built into the documents, which generally

with the "who" of reading.

rather wealthy readers. From the late seventeenth to the early lists have been a favorite, though they normally cover only He must therefore search for other sources. Subscription

scription between 1770 and 1810, when the practice reached favor the wealthiest readers and the fanciest books.11 eenth century. But the French lists, like the others, generally publishing by subscription also flourished in the late eightgests that such research may succeed better in France, where subscription-list research. The work of Wallace Kirsop sugastating criticism that Reinhard Wittmann has directed against reading habits of the educated public, according to some devsented the salesmanship of a few entrepreneurs rather than the tioned as patrons instead of as readers, and generally reprethe names of many subscribers, included others who funcdo not provide an accurate view of readership. They left off its peak. But even during their Blütezeit, the subscription lists Perhaps a sixth of new German books were published by submany, especially among scholars of Klopstock and Wicland. sociology of readership. Similar efforts are under way in Gerupon Tyne have used these lists to work toward a historical ers at the Project for Historical Biobibliography at Newcastle tion in Britain and contained lists of the subscribers. Researchnineteenth century, many books were published by subscrip-

The records of lending libraries offer a better opportunity to make connections between literary genres and social classes, but few of them survive. The most remarkable are the registers of borrowings from the ducal library of Wolfenbüttel, which extend from 1666 to 1928. According to Wolfgang Milde, Paul Raabe, and John McCarthy, they show a significant "democratization" of reading in the 1760s: the number of books borrowed doubled; the borrowers came from lower social strata (they included a few porters, lackeys, and lower officers in the army); and the reading matter became lighter, shifting from learned tomes to sentimental novels (imitations of *Robinson Crusoe* went over especially well). Curiously, the

FIRST STEPS TOWARD A HISTORY OF READING

the same number of users at this time—about fifty a year, including one Denis Diderot. The Parisians could not take the books home, but they enjoyed the hospitality of a more leisurely age. Although the librarian opened his doors to them only two mornings a week, he gave them a meal before he turned them out. Conditions are different in the Bibliothèque Nationale today. Librarians have had to accept a basic law of economics: there is no such thing as a free lunch. 12

The microanalysts have come up with many other discoveries—so many, in fact, that they face the same problem as the macroquantifices: how to put it all together? The disparity of the documentation—auction catalogues, notarial records, subscription lists, library registers—does not make the task easier. Differences in conclusions can be attributed to the peculiarities of the sources rather than to the behavior of the readers. And the monographs often cancel each other out: artisans look literate here and unlettered there; travel literature seems to be popular among some groups in some places and unpopular in others. A systematic comparison of genres, milieux, times, and places would look like a conspiracy of exceptions trying to disprove rules.

So far only one book historian has been hardy enough to propose a general model. Rolf Engelsing has argued that a "reading revolution" (Leserevolution) took place at the end of the eighteenth century. From the Middle Ages until sometime after 1750, according to Engelsing, men read "intensively." They had only a few books—the Bible, an almanac, a devotional work or two—and they read them over and over again, usually aloud and in groups, so that a narrow range of traditional literature became deeply impressed on their consciousness. By 1800 men were reading "extensively." They read all kinds of material, especially periodicals and newspapers, and read it only once, then raced on to the next item. Engelsing

possibilities, not by decreasing intensity but by increasing vanearly universal literacy. All these changes opened up new of machine-made paper, steam-powered presses, linotype, and proportions in the nineteenth century with the development the emergence of a mass readership that would grow to giant matter became available to a wider public, when one can see seem to represent a turning point, a time when more reading more intensive, not less. But the late eighteenth century does of Richardson, Rousseau, and Goethe, the reading became simply to have fun. In many cases, especially among the public seduce their sweethearts, to learn about current events, and souls, to improve their manners, to repair their machinery, to ent eras. Men and women have read in order to save their many different forms among different social groups in differdid not evolve in one direction, extensiveness. It assumed main drawback, as I see it, is its unilinear character. Reading of reading very early and very late in European history. Its plicity, and it provides a handy formula for contrasting modes ers in Bremen. But it has an attractive before-and-after simmost of his research concerns only a small sampling of burghdoes not produce much evidence for his hypothesis. Indeed

I must therefore confess to some skepticism about the "reading revolution." Yet an American historian of the book, David Hall, has described a transformation in the reading habits of New Englanders between 1600 and 1850 in almost exactly the same terms as those used by Engelsing. Before 1800, New Englanders read a small corpus of venerable "steady sellers"—the Bible, almanacs, the New England Primer, Philip Doddridge's Rise and Progress of Religion, Richard Baxter's Call to the Unconverted—and read them over and over again, aloud, in groups, and with exceptional intensity'. After 1800 they were swamped with new kinds of books—novels, newspapers, fresh and sunny varieties of children's literature—and

they read through them ravenously, discarding one thing as soon as they could find another. Although Hall and Engelsing had never heard of one another, they discovered a similar pattern in two quite different areas of the Western world. Perhaps a fundamental shift in the nature of reading took place at the end of the eighteenth century. It may not have been a revolution, but it marked the end of an Old Regime—the reign of Thomas à Kempis, Johann Arndt, and John Bunyan. 14

trenches of World War I, where the young lieutenants from must have seemed out of joint a few generations later in the Rousseau and Heine, he can commune with nature. Nature backpacks books to fields and mountaintops where, like volume in their fingers and have a faraway look in their eye. at the time as liseuses. They usually hold a dainty duodecimo well-padded armchairs with their legs propped on footstools. Fragonard, for example, readers recline in chaises longues or case the pressure on their bodies. Reading cannot have been the cold by thick cloaks and hats, one foot perched on a rail to mined by the rubrics of classical bibliography: Jurisconsulti, think, because placing the reader in his setting can provide Göttingen and Oxford somehow found room for a few slim reading moves from the boudoir to the outdoors. The reader From Fragonard to Monet, who also painted a "Liseuse," They are often women, wearing loose-fitting gowns known comfortable in the age of classical humanism. In pictures done below the shelves. They read standing up, protected against room, reading the books on counters built at shoulder level Medici, Historici, and so on. Students are scattered about the high shelves jutting out from the walls in a sequence deter-1610. It shows the books, heavy folio volumes, chained on Leyden there hangs a print of the university library, dated hints about the nature of his experience. In the University of century and a half later, "La Lecture" and "La Liseuse" by The "where" of reading is more important than one might

Something new out of the newspaper

FIRST STEPS TOWARD A HISTORY OF READING

had audiences rather than readers. They were better heard than may be less of a break with the past than is generally assumed. selves entertained while they worked. Even today many peoand tailors, took turns reading or hired a reader to keep themnineteenth century, groups of artisans, especially cigar makers In any case, for most people throughout most of history, books ple get their news by being read to by a telecaster. Television with phrases such as, "What you are about to hear . . ." In the they were meant to be taken in through the ears by beginning chapbooks. Some of these primitive paperbacks indicated that favorite from the standard repertory of the cheap, popular tures of Les quatre fils Aymon, Till Eulenspiegel, or some other who could decipher a text would regale them with the advenwomen sewed, and men repaired tools, one of the company in France and the Spinnstube in Germany. While children played, the Old Regime was a fireside gathering known as the veillée The most important institution of popular reading under

Reading was a more private experience for the minority of educated persons who could afford to buy books. But many of them joined reading clubs, cabinets littéraires, or Lesegesell-schaften, where they could read almost anything they wanted, in a social atmosphere, for a small monthly payment. Françoise Parent-Lardeur has traced the proliferation of these clubs in Paris under the Restoration, but they went back well into the eighteenth century. Provincial booksellers often turned their stock into a library and charged dues for the right to frequent it. Good light, some comfortable chairs, a few pictures on the wall, and subscriptions to a half-dozen newspa-

volumes of poetry. One of the most precious books in my own small collection is an edition of Hölderlin's *Hymnen an die Ideale der Menschheit*, inscribed "Adolf Noelle, Januar 1916, nord-Frankreich"—a gift from a German friend who was trying to explain Germany. I'm still not sure I understand, but I think the general understanding of reading would be advanced if we thought harder about its iconography and accoutrements, including furniture and dress. <sup>15</sup>

Of course, one cannot take pictures literally, as a depiction of how people actually read. But they can reveal hidden assumptions about what people thought reading should be or the atmosphere in which it should take place. Greuze certainly sentimentalized the collective character of reading in his painting of "A Father Reading the Bible to His Children." Restif de la Bretonne probably did the same in the family Bible readings described in La Vie de mon père: "I cannot recall without tenderness the rapt attention with which that reading was heard and the way it spread a feeling of good-hearted brotherhood throughout the numerous family (and in the family I include the domestic servants). My father would begin with these words: 'Prepare your souls, my children; the Holy Spirit is about to speak.'

But for all their sentimentality, such descriptions proceed from a common assumption: for the common people in early modern Europe, reading was a social activity. It took place in workshops, barns, and taverns. It was almost always oral but not necessarily edifying. Thus the peasant in the country inn described, with some rose tinting around the edges, by Christian Schubart in 1786:

Und bricht die Abendzeit herein, So trink ich halt mein Schöpple Wein; Da liest der Herr Schulmeister mir Was Neues aus der Zeitung für. <sup>16</sup>

Thus the cabinet littéraire advertised by P. J. Bernard, a minor bookseller in Lunéville: "A large, comfortable, well-lit, and well-heated house, which will be open every day from nine in the morning until noon and from one o'clock until ten in the evening, will provide members with two thousand volumes; and the stock will be increased by four hundred each year. . . . A room on the ground floor and another on the second floor will be reserved for conversation; all the others will be placed at the disposition of readers of newspapers and books." By November 1779, the club had two hundred members, mostly officers from the local gendarmerie. For the modest sum of three livres a year, they had access to five thousand books, thirteen journals, and special rooms set aside for socializing. 18

sions, just as they had in London and Amsterdam for more usually on fairly weighty subjects like history and politics. supply of periodicals supplemented by uneven runs of books, able to identify well over four hundred of the clubs and to longed to a Lesegesellschaft by 1800. Marlies Prüsener has been than a century. 19 papers, journals, and endless occasions for political discusthrough Germany from the late seventeenth century. By 1760, house, itself an important institution for reading, which spread form some idea of their reading matter. All of them had a basic that perhaps one of every five hundred adult Germans berate, especially in the northern cities. Martin Welke estimates according to Otto Dann. They sprang up at an astounding distinct variety of bourgeois culture in the eighteenth century, Vienna had at least sixty coffeehouses. They provided news-They seem to have been a more serious version of the coffee-German reading clubs provided the social foundation for a

Thus we already know a good deal about the institutional bases of reading. We have some answers to the "who," "what,"

in reading within our own culture. I would like to suggest hve approaches to the problem. to find a formula that could account for all those variations. vary from culture to culture. It would be extravagant to expect not simply a skill but a way of making meaning, which must in a supermarket? The differences seem endless, for reading is the presence of the Word and for the consumer studying labels to writing only recently by alien scholars? For the holy man in and for American Indians whose languages have been reduced But it should be possible to develop a way to study the changes Asians whose languages lack tenses and order reality spatially who transmit stimuli through their fingers? For Southeast without vowels moving from right to left and for blind people ments and to map the hemispheres of the brain. Is the cogniefforts of psychologists and neurologists to trace eye movefor Westerners, who scan lines? For Israelis who read words tive process different for Chinese, who read pictographs, and do not even understand the way we read ourselves, despite the the inner process by which readers made sense of words. We clude us. We have not yet devised a strategy for understanding "where," and "when" questions. But the "why's" and "how's"

First, I think it should be possible to learn more about the ideals and assumptions underlying reading in the past. We could study contemporary depictions of reading in fiction, autobiographics, polemical writings, letters, paintings, and prints in order to uncover some basic notions of what people thought took place when they read. Consider, for example, the great debate about the craze for reading in late eighteenth-century Germany. Those who deplored the *Lesewut* did not simply condemn its effects on morals and politics. They feared it would damage public health. In a tract of 1795, J. G. Heinzmann listed the physical consequences of excessive reading: "susceptibility to colds, headaches, weakening of the eyes, heat rashes, gout, arthritis, hemorrhoids, asthma, apoplexy,

pulmonary disease, indigestion, blocking of the bowels, nervous disorder, migraines, epilepsy, hypochondria, and melancholy." On the positive side of the debate, Johann Adam Bergk accepted the premises of his opponents but disagreed with their conclusions. He took it as established that one should never read immediately after cating or while standing up. But by correct disposition of the body, one could make reading a force for good. The "art of reading" involved washing the face with cold water and taking walks in fresh air as well as concentration and meditation.

No one challenged the notion that there was a physical element in reading, because no one drew a clear distinction between the physical and the moral world. In the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, readers attempted to "digest" books, to absorb them in their whole being, body and soul. A few extremists took to reading-as-digestion literally: thus the case of a woman in Hampshire, England, who "ate a New Testament, day by day and leaf by leaf, between two sides of bread and butter, as a remedy for fits." More often the devouring of books took the form of a spiritual exercise, whose physicality still shows on the surviving pages. The volumes from Samuel Johnson's library, now owned by Mrs. Donald F. Hyde, are bent and battered, as if he had wrestled his way through them.<sup>20</sup>

Reading as a spiritual exercise predominated in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. But how was it performed? One could look for guidance in the manuals of Jesuits and the hermeneutical treatises of Protestants. Family Bible readings took place on both sides of the great religious divide. And as the example of Restif de la Bretonne indicates, the Bible was approached with awe, even among some Catholic peasants. Of course, Boccaccio, Castiglione, Cervantes, Erasmus, and Rabelais had developed other uses of literacy for the elite. But for most people, reading remained a sacred activity. It put you

in the presence of the Word and unlocked holy mysteries. As a working hypothesis, it seems valid to assert that the farther back in time you go the farther away you move from instrumental reading. Not only does the "how-to" book become rarer and the religious book more common, reading itself is different. In the age of Luther and Loyola, it provided access to absolute truth.

msists, for its typography as much as its content: "The text of Commentaires sur la coutume d'Angoumois, an excellent work, he berry Library: a book seller is offering a quarto edition of the spectus taken at random from the rich collection in the Newbe traced through advertisements and prospectuses for books quality of books. Buyers and sellers alike shared a typographadvertisers assumed that their clients cared about the physical type in advertising a law book today. In the eighteenth century lême."21 No publisher would dream of mentioning paper and made from very beautiful paper manufactured in Angoumentaries are printed in Saint-Augustin. The whole work is precede the commentaries are printed in cicero; and the comthe Contume is printed in gros-romain type; the summaries that Thus some typical remarks from an eighteenth-century proical consciousness that is now nearly extinct On a more mundane level, assumptions about reading could

The reports of censors also can be revealing, at least in the case of books from early modern France, where censorship was highly developed if not enormously effective. A typical travel book, *Nouveau voyage aux isles de l'Amérique* (Paris, 1722) by J.-B. Labat, contains four "approbations" printed out in full next to the *privilège*. One censor explains that the manuscript piqued his curiosity: "It is difficult to begin reading it without feeling that mild but avid curiosity that impels us to read further." Another recommends it for its "simple and concase style" and also for its utility: "Nothing in my opinion is

tend to assume in looking back through time across the Inquidid not simply hound out heretics and revolutionaries, as we reading it. It contains a hultitude of curious things." Censors third simply found it a good read: "I had great pleasure in tradesmen, and to those who study natural history." And a so useful to travelers, b the inhabitants of that country, to

campaign had taken place in homes, without the aid of protesand only a tiny fraction had ever gone to school. A vast literacy meaning of religious texts. Yet only 20 percent could write read and respond satisfactorily when interrogated about the records show that 80-95 percent of the population could both hansson, Swedish society was almost fully literate. Church to provide reliable statistics. By 1770, according to Egil Jomore sharply in Sweden, where the archives are rich enough included a great many people who could not sign their names write may be much too low, and the reading public may have writing began. So litetacy estimates based on the ability to the work force before the age of seven, when instruction in ning of their education as they do today. They often joined write instead of acquiring the two skills together at the beginschool, English children learned to read before they learned to where laborers taught themselves and one another. Inside the went on outside the schoolroom, in workshops and fields, Margaret Spufford discovered that a great deal of learning learned. In studying literacy in seventeenth-century England, for attacking that problem concerns the ways reading was dard against which ordinary readings might be measured. how it might be read. Their values constituted an official stanapproval to a work, and in doing so they provided clues as to sition and the Enlightchment. They gave the royal stamp of sional teachers, in response to a church law of 1686, which The disparity between reading and writing stands out even But how did ordinary readers read? My second suggestion

> own eyes [i.e., be able to understand] what God bids and and domestic servants, should "learn to read and see with their commands in His Holy Word."22 required that everyone, and especially children, farm hands,

alphabet to simple syllables and then to the Pater Noster, Ave not begin to read in French. They passed directly from the system was build on the premise that French children should stimulus to trigger the memory of a text that had already been did not really matter, because the letters were meant as a visual pater sounded like "ehp-ah-cht". But the phonetic fuzziness did not link together phonetically in combinations that could rather than "pé," as it is today. When said aloud, the letters vowel before each consonant, so that p came out as "eh-p" the letters had different sounds. The pupil pronounced a flat primers-ABCs like the Croix de Jésus and the Croix de par in sequence: first reading, then writing, then arithmetic. Their Protestant North from what it had become in the Catholic quite different from what it means today, and it differed in the text in a language they could understand that is, to participate in its rituals. But they had never read a word to fulfill the functions expected of them by the Churchleft school. They had acquired enough mastery of the printed printed in standard chapbooks. At this point many of them common prayers, they worked through liturgical responses Maria, Credo, and Benedicite. Having learned to recognize these learned by heart—and the text was always in Latin. The whole be recognized by the car as syllables of a word. Thus p-a-t in Dieu-began as modern manuals do, with the alphabet. But South. Children in early modern France learned their three R's Of course, "reading" for such people meant something

nority in the seventeenth century and a majority in the eightcenth—remained in school long enough to learn to read in Some children—we don't know how many, perhaps a mipictely escape us. 23 religious, usually catechisms and pious textbooks like the Esrun by the Church, and nearly all of the schoolbooks were chism as well as their adventure stories in ways that comoirs that have survived from that cra. We don't know what pedagogy by reading the few primers and the still fewer memproposition. We can only guess at the nature of early modern cuit, exactly where the post-Tridentine Church wanted to mon with reading in the classroom: it was a recital of a text bleue. So reading around the fireside had something in comtheir hands on while their classmates struggled with a motley ter and reciting passages from whatever text they could get most children still learned to read by standing before the masexample had spread to some progressive primary schools. But and the bureau typographique of Louis Dumas. By 1789 their dio-visual aids like the pictorial flash cards of the abbé Berthaud rectly in French. They developed phonetic techniques and aututors in aristocratic households began to teach reading dicome the rule a hundred years later. At the same time, a few first step toward standardized instruction, which was to becole paroissiale by Jacques de Batencour. In the early eighteenth of acquiring new knowledge. Nearly all of the schools were recognizing something already known rather than a process French. Even then, however, reading was often a matter of the peasant reader-listeners may have construed their catereally happened in the classroom. And whatever happened keep it. "Probably," however, is the governing word in that vistas of new ideas, it probably remained within a closed cirthat everyone already knew. Instead of opening up limitless because they were popular best sellers from the bibliothèque "schoolbooks" would reappear in the evening at the veillée, collection of booklets on the back benches. Some of these the same text to several pupils and teach them as a group—a century the Frères des Ecoles Chrétiennes began to provide

FIRST STEPS TOWARD A HISTORY OF READING

culture of the common man. 24 derot, and Marmontel-Ménétra never won a place in the Republic of Letters. He showed that letters had a place in the like other plebeian authors-Restif, Mercier, Rousseau, Dithe stylized braggadocio of male bull sessions) with genres of picaresque fashion, combining oral tradition (folk tales and ccremonies and farces that he staged with the other workers. popular literature (the novelettes of the bibliothèque bleue). Un-When he told the story of his life, he organized his narrative in public executions and even composed doggerel verse for the and sweethearts. He squandered a few sous on broadsides at Ménétra constantly exchanged letters with fellow travelers he did not carry many books in the sack slung over his back, tra, who read his way around a typical tour de France. Although covered an eighteenth-century glazier, Jacques-Louis Ménéthrough the ranks of the Old Regime, and Daniel Roche dis-J.-M. Goulemot has used the autobiography of Jamerey-Duval to show how a peasant could read and write his way up Stendhal, for example—and move on to less familiar sources. gustine, Saint Theresa of Avila, Montaigne, Rousseau, and who left a record of it. A third approach could begin with the best-known autobiographical accounts—those of Saint Aucapture something of what reading meant for the few persons the range of historical research, historians should be able to If the experience of the great mass of readers lies beyond

rronic distance by masterful deployment of footnotes. A careand paratext at the bottom or side of the page? Gibbon created lootnote. How did the reader follow the play between text texts. In the eighteenth century the gloss gave way to the form of glosses, which steered the reader through humanist the sixteenth century marginal notes appeared in print in the selves provide clues to the experience of ordinary readers. In ful study of annotated eighteenth-century copies of The De-That place may have been marginal, but margins them-

equality, one can see how radical Enlightenment philosophy through his copy of Rousseau's Discourse on the Origin of Indistance was perceived by Gibbon's contemporaries. John cline and Fall of the Roman Empire might reveal the way that Adams covered his books with scribbling. By following him Robespierre. 25

There was no kind of moral relation between men in this state [the state of nature]; they could not be either good or bad, and had neither vices nor virtues. It is proper, therefore, to suspend judgment about their situation . . . until we have examined whether there are more virtues or vices among civilized men.

Massachusetts. Thus Rousseau, in the first English edition:

looked to a retired revolutionary in the sober climate of Quincy,

#### And Adams, in the margin:

Wonders upon wonders. Paradox upon paradox. What astonishing sagacity had Mr. Rousseau! Yet this eloquent coxcomb has with his affectation of singularity made men discontented with superstition and tyranny.

Christiane Berkvens-Stevelinck has found an excellent site for mapping the Republic of Letters in the marginalia of Prosper Marchand, the bibliophile of eighteenth-century Leyden. Other scholars have charted the currents of literary history by trying to reread great books as great writers have read them, using the annotations in collectors' items such as Diderot's copy of the Encyclopédie and Melville's copy of Emerson's essays. But the inquiry needn't be limited to great books or to books at all. Peter Burke is currently studying the graffiti of Renaissance Italy. When scribbled on the door of an enemy, they often functioned as ritual insults, which defined the lines of social conflict dividing neighborhoods and clans. When attached to the famous statue of Pasquino in Rome, this public scribbling set the tone of a rich and intensely political street culture. A history of reading might be able to advance by great

leaps from the Pasquinade and the Commedia dell'Arte to Molière, from Molière to Rousseau, and from Rousseau to Robespierre. 25

My fourth suggestion concerns literary theory. It can, I agree, look daunting, especially to the outsider. It comes wrapped in imposing labels—structuralism, deconstruction, hermeneutics, semiotics, phenomenology—and it goes as rapidly as it comes, for the trends displace one another with bewildering speed. Through them all, however, runs a concern that could lead to some collaboration between literary critics and historians of the book—the concern for reading. Whether they unearth deep structures or tear down systems of signs, critics have increasingly treated literature as an activity rather than an established body of texts. They insist that a book's meaning is not fixed on its pages; it is construed by its readers. So reader response has become the key point around which literary analysis turns.

In Germany, this approach has led to a revival of literary history as *Rezeptionsästhetik* under the leadership of Hans Robert Jauss and Wolfgang Iser. In France, it has taken a philosophical turn in the work of Roland Barthes, Paul Ricœur, Tzvetan Todorov, and Georges Poulet. In the United States, it is still in the melting-pot stage. Wayne Booth, Paul de Man, Jonathan Culler, Geoffrey Hartman, J. Hillis Miller, and Stanley Fish have supplied ingredients for a general theory, but no consensus has emerged from their debates. Nonetheless, all this critical activity points toward a new textology, and all the critics share a way of working when they interpret specific texts. <sup>26</sup>

Consider, for example, Walter Ong's analysis of the first sentences of A Farewell to Arms:

In the late summer of that year we lived in a house in a village that looked across the river and the plain to the mountains. In the

bed of the river there were pebbles and boulders, dry and white in the sun, and the water was clear and swiftly moving and blue in the channels.

that the reader does not need a detailed description of the of "a river"—and sparse deployment of adjectives, he implies By unorthodox use of the definite article—"the river" instead able to "get" the book. But if he accepts the role imposed on and I don't know anything about rivers in Italy"—he won't be many responses such as, "I am a sixty-year-old grandmother coarse taste of the wine, and the stench of the dead in World minded in order to recollect the hard glint of the sun, the confidant and fellow traveler who merely needs to be reto have been there already. He is addressed as if he were a scene. A reminder will be enough, because the reader is deemed What year? What river? Ong asks. Hemingway does not say. narrative as the author's companion in arms. 27 mensions of the Hemingway hero; and he can go through the him by the rhetoric, his fictionalized self can swell to the di-War I Italy. Should the reader object—and one can imagine

Earlier rhetoric usually operated in the opposite manner. It assumed that the reader knew nothing about the story and needed to be oriented by rich descriptive passages or introductory observations. Thus the opening of *Pride and Prejudice*:

It is a truth universally acknowledged, that a single man in possession of a good fortune must be in want of a wife.

However little known the feelings or views of such a man may be on his first entering a neighbourhood, this truth is so well fixed in the minds of the surrounding families that he is considered as the rightful property of some one or other of their daughters.

"My dear Mr Bennet," said his lady to him one day, "have you heard that Netherfield Park is let at last?"

This kind of narrative moves from the general to the particular, like a lens zooming in from a wide-angle shot to a close-up. It places the indefinite article first and helps the reader get

his bearing by degrees. But it always keeps him at a distance, because he is presumed to enter the story as an outsider and to be reading for instruction, amusement, or some high moral purpose. As in the case of the Hemingway novel, he must play his role for the rhetoric to work; but the role is completely different.

Writers have devised many other ways to initiate readers into stories. A vast distance separates Melville's "Call me Ishmael" from Milton's prayer for help to "justify the ways of God to men." But every narrative presupposes a reader, and every reading begins from a protocol inscribed within the text. The text may undercut itself, and the reader may work against the grain or wring new meaning from familiar words: hence the endless possibilities of interpretation proposed by the deconstructionists and the original readings that have shaped cultural history—Rousseau's reading of Le Misanthrope, for example, or Kierkegaard's reading of Genesis 22. But whatever one makes of it, reading has reemerged as the central fact of literature.

theory and the history of books. The theory can reveal the range in potential responses to a text—that is, to the rhetorical constraints that direct reading without determining it. The history can show what readings actually took place—that is, within the limits of an imperfect body of evidence. By paying heed to history, literary critics may avoid the danger of anachronism; for they sometimes seem to assume that seventeenth-century Englishmen read Milton and Bunyan as if they were twentieth-century college professors. By taking account of rhetoric, historians may find clues to behavior that would otherwise be baffling, such as the passions aroused from Clarissa to La Nouvelle Héloïse and from Werther to René. I would therefore argue for a dual strategy, which would combine textual analysis with empirical research. In this way it should

Such a history could be reinforced by a fifth mode of analysis, one based on analytical bibliography. By studying books as physical objects, bibliographers have demonstrated that the typographical disposition of a text can to a considerable extent determine the way it was read. The most striking instances of linkage between typography and meaning occur in baroque poems such as the following, from Gottfried Kleiner's *Garten-Lust im Winter* (1732):

Bruchee
und der von
gruchee
und der von
hin ach seis,
Dis ich bei den,
Dias mich grün,
Dias mich blübn,
Dias mich ett gurt.
Die deine Liede such,
Die mihm mich mich die Juck,
Du mihm mich mir, und zied de Jiet
Abe nihm mich mir, und zied wich Diet
Ales, dert, und Niemand werden,
Mein Ales, dort, und diet auf Erden,
Diet in in Gerten GOTTEGG. Lamin/
Diet Stein Gerten Studm/
Diet Diennets Bräutsgam/
Diet Stein Gert,
Mein Ihrein Ihreil,
Diet Juckin Steil,
Diet Juckin Steil
Diet Juck

[Literal translation: "My tree, / My space, / My bough, / My path, / My salvation, / My share, / My refuge, / My port, / My property / My soul's fame / My most beautiful, celestial bridegroom / My elected lamb of GOD / My all, there and here on earth, / Let no one be and no one come into being / But thou, my JESUS, my adornment! / Oh! take me from me and give me to thee! / Thou treasure of the soul, prepare / A place for yourself in my heart, / And plant in me the fruit, / That seeks your love. / Your mild blood / Waters well. / Oh, let me blossom, / Oh, make me green, / Until 1 go / From hence, / And stand there / Full of / Fruit.]

that same critical line, where the reader is exposed to the Word a series of clauses culminating with the end of the sentence in and of the grammar, which sweeps the reader upward through of the meter, which rises to a crescendo at "Jesus" in line 15, other and are reinforced in turn through the combined effect climbing, growing, and sexual fecundation reinforce one anthe end it helps him to ascend into paradise. Metaphors of etrated by the love of Christ. It grows within him like a seed has read himself into a position where he imagines being penspeaks for him and he can identify with the poet's ecstasy. He of the tree, the reader encounters the word "Jesus." By then reverse his normal mode of scanning and to read from the It makes his life flower and bear fruit in good works, and in he has become so absorbed in the rhetoric that the poet's voice bottom up, as if he were climbing toward heaven. At the heart Through its shape as a tree, the poem invites the reader to

Print does not often embody poetry so completely, but every text has typographical properties that guide the reader's response. The design of a book can be crucial to its meaning. In a remarkable study of Congreve, D. F. McKenzie has shown that the bawdy, neo-Elizabethan playwright known to us from

established between the second states of the second second

Mein Baum,

the quarto editions of the late seventeenth century underwent a typographical rebirth in his old age and emerged as the stately, neoclassical author of the three-volume octavo *Works* published in 1710. Individual words rarely changed from one edition to another, but a transformation in the design of the books gave the plays an entirely new flavor. By adding scene divisions, grouping characters, relocating lines, and bringing out *liaisons des scènes*, Congreve fit his old texts into the new classical model derived from the French stage. To go from the quarto to the octavo volumes is to move from Elizabethan to Georgian England.<sup>29</sup>

down the social ladder of the Old Regime and into the world that would be recognized in the nineteenth century as "le grand

than a continuous story, and it could be put together by each ment because they provided just enough material to fill a veilto be linked by complex subthemes and character developtive. The short episodes were autonomous. They did not need the facility and the time to take in lengthy stretches of narrakind of reading and a new public: humble people who lacked of chapters. The new typographical structure implied a new tences, subdividing paragraphs, and multiplying the number livre." They broke the story into simple units, shortening senprimarily on book design, what Chartier calls the "mise en not hesitate to tinker with the text, but they concentrated thèque bleue for two hundred years. The popular publishers did made it a staple of the popular literature known as the bibliobegan to publish a series of cheap paperback editions, which seventeenth century the Oudot and Garnier houses of Troyes came out in an elegant translation in 1633. But in the midwhere it was first published in 1626 and in France where it originally intended for a sophisticated public, both in Spain la vida del Buscón by Francisco de Quevedo. The novel was reader-listener in his own way. Just how this "appropriation" lée. So the book itself became a collection of fragments rather cations in the metamorphoses of a Spanish classic, Historia de Roger Chartier found similar but more sociological impli-

a kind of textual archeology. If we cannot know precisely how something about the remote history of reading by practicing surrounding social environment. So it may be possible to learn tion of the book. They argue that readers respond more diinscriptions, the verse contained no punctuation, paragraphrectly to the physical organization of texts than to their have begun to speculate about long-term trends in the evoluing, or spaces between words. The units of sound and meanthe Romans read Ovid, we can assume that, like most Roman rience. 31 perhaps in some monasteries in the seventh century and cerexperience, performed in public. At an indeterminate point, acquired their modern form, reading continued to be an oral ward through books, and texts became divided into segments scroll (volumen), readers could easily move backward and forbook to read it. Once gathered pages (the codex) replaced the page. The page itself as a unit of the book dates only from the typographical units—the ens, words, and lines—of the printed ing probably were closer to the rhythms of speech than to the printed text, for it made reading an individual, interior expehave involved a greater mental adjustment than the shift to the to read silently and alone. The shift to silent reading might tainly in the universities of the thirteenth century, men began that could be marked off and indexed. Yet long after books third or fourth century A.D. Before then, one had to unroll a A few adventuresome bibliographers and book historians

Printing made a difference, of course, but it probably was

less revolutionary than is commonly believed. Some books had title pages, tables of contents, indexes, pagination, and publishers who produced multiple copies from scriptoria for a large reading public before the invention of movable type. For the first half century of its existence, the printed book continued to be an imitation of the manuscript book. No doubt it was read by the same public in the same way. But after 1500 the printed book, pamphlet, broadside, map, and poster reached new kinds of readers and stimulated new kinds of reading. Increasingly standardized in its design, cheaper in its price, and widespread in its distribution, the new book transformed the world. It did not simply supply more information. It provided a mode of understanding, a basic metaphor of making sense of life.

So it was that in the sixteenth century men took possession of the Word; in the seventeenth century they began to decode the "book of nature"; and in the eighteenth century they learned to read themselves. With the help of books, Locke and Condillac studied the mind as a tabula rasa, and Franklin formulated an epitaph for himself:<sup>32</sup>

The Body of
B. Franklin, Printer,
Like the cover of an old Book,
Its Contents torn out,
And stript of its Lettering & Gilding
Lies here, Food for Worms.
But the Work shall not be lost;
For it will, as he believ'd,
Appear once more
In a new and more elegant Edition
Corrected and improved
By the Author.

I don't want to make too much of the metaphor, since Franklin has already flogged it to death, but rather to return to

> shifting texts? the heart of the matter: how did changing readerships construe should go beyond them to confront the relational element at should not be reduced to a chronology of those variations. It that information must be sifted, sorted, and interpreted. Inthink of it as a straightforward process of lifting information cial and technological circumstances, the history of reading text. Although readers and texts have varied according to sopeculiar relation—on the one hand the reader, on the other the that can be tracked through time. It is an activity involving a ing is not a distinct thing, like a constitution or a social order, its core. There are no direct routes or shortcuts because readcling around the problem indefinitely without penetrating to suggested here may lead in disparate directions or set us cirthinking. It could be so complex, in fact, that the five steps the history of reading could be as complex as the history of different mental worlds, they must have read differently, and have varied enormously over time. As our ancestors lived in terpretive schemes belong to cultural configurations, which from a page; but if we considered it further, we would agree history. It was not always and everywhere the same. We may a point so simple that it may escape our notice. Reading has a

The question sounds abstruse, but a great deal hangs on it. Think how often reading has changed the course of history—Luther's reading of Paul, Marx's reading of Hegel, Mao's reading of Marx. Those points stand out in a deeper, vaster process—man's unending effort to find meaning in the world around him and within himself. If we could understand how he has read, we could come closer to understanding how he made sense of life; and in that way, the historical way, we might even satisfy some of our own craving for meaning.

23. Ibid., January 5, 1773, January 2, 1774, and October 13, 1774.

24. Rocques to STN, July 24, 1779 (on Pascot); Batilliot to STN, January ibid., October 28, 1778 (on Planquais); Grand Lefebvre to STN, June 4 serand); and Perrenod to STN, April 21, 1783 (on Jarfaut). to STN, May 31, 1777; Chatelus to STN, February 20, 1781 (on Bois 1781 (on Blondel); Veuve Reguilliat to STN, July 5, 1771; Boisserand 26, 1781 (on Brotes); Favarger to STN, August 15, 1778 (on Boyer);

25. Revol to STN, February 16, 1782, reporting the disappearance of a

bookseller from Falaise named Gaillard

### First Steps Toward a History of Reading

This essay was first published in the Australian Journal of French Studies

1. Ovid, Ars Amatoria, Book III, lines 469-72, 613-26. I have followed the de Guerle, L'Art d'aimer (Paris, 1963). All other translations in this essay translation by J. H. Mozley in The Art of Love and Other Poems (London, 1929), modifying it in places with the more modern version by Héguin

Carlo Ginzburg, The Cheese and the Worms: The Cosmos of a Sixteenth Century Miller, translated by Anne and John Tedeschi (Baltimore, 1980)

Robert Darnton, "Readers Respond to Rousseau: The Fabrication of Episodes of French Cultural History (New York, 1984), pp. 215-56. Romantic Sensitivity," in Darnton, The Great Cat Massacre and Other

As instances of these themes, see Kurt Rothmann, Erläuterungen und talité in Modern Popular Fiction," History and Theory 22 (1983): 233-52 Obkumente: Johann Wolfgang Goethe: Die Lieden des jungen Werthers (Stuttgart, 1974); and James Smith Allen, "History and the Novel: Men-

5. As examples of this literature, which is too vast to cite in detail here, see 1603 (Cambridge, 1965); Bennett, English Books and Readers 1601 to 1640 (Cambridge, 1970); Giles Barber, "Books from the Old World des deutschen Buchhandels (Leipzig, 1886–1913), 4 volumes; Rudoll Jentzsche, Der deutsch-lateinische Büchermarkt nach den Leipziger Oster graphique de la France sous la monarchie au XVIIIe siècle (Paris and The messkatalogen von 1740, 1770 und 1800 in seiner Gliederung und Wandlung York and London, 1983), pp. 199-230; Johann Goldfriedrich, Geschichte Manuscripts Preconference, 24-28 June, 1980, Boston, Massachusetts (New Papers of the Association of College and Research Libraries Rare Books and Output in Nineteenth-Century France," in Books and Society in History Hague, 1965); Frédéric Barbier, "The Publishing Industry and Printed Henri-Jean Martin, Livre, pouvoirs et société à Paris au XVII's siècles (1598: (Cambridge, 1952); Bennett, English Books and Readers 1558 to (Lcipzig, 1912); H. S. Bennett, English Books and Readers 1475 to 155 1701) (Geneva, 1969), 2 volumes; Robert Estivals, La Statistique biblio

## NOTES AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Hench (Boston, 1981), pp. 315-64. Press and the American Revolution, edited by Bernard Bailyn and John B. Tanselle, "Some Statistics on American Printing, 1764-1783," in The and John B. Hench (Worcester, Mass., 1983), pp. 174-85; and G. Thomas 185-224; Robert B. Winans, "Bibliography and the Cultural Historian Notes on the Eighteenth-Century Novel," in Printing and Society in Early eenth Century," Studies on Voltaire and the Eighteenth Century 151 (1976) America, edited by William L. Joyce, David D. Hall, Richard D. Brown, and for the New: The British International Trade in Books in the Light

6. Estivals, La Statistique bibliographique, p. 309; Paul Raabe, "Buchproduktion und Lesepublikum in Deutschland 1770-1780," Philobiblion. Eine Vierteljahrsschrift für Buch- und Graphiksammler 21 (1977): 2-16. The

comparative statistics on writers are based on my own calculations.

7. François Furet, "La 'Librairie' du royaume de France au 18e siècle," in

1978); Michel Vovelle, Piété baroque et déchristianisation en Provence au bibliothèques privées à Paris au milieu du XVIIIe siècle (1750-1759) (Paris, (Paris, 1973). XVIII' siècle: Les Attitudes devant la mort d'après les clauses des testaments Gesamthochschule Wuppertal Universität Münster vom 26.-28. September 3-32; Daniel Roche, "Noblesses et culture dans la France du XVIII". Les 1977 (Heidelberg, 1979), pp. 9-27; Michel Marion, Recherches sur les Bibliotheken im 18. Jahrhundert. Colloquium der Arbeitsstelle 18. Jahrhundert Lectures de la noblesse, " in Buch und Sammler: Private und öffentliche Furct et al., Livre et société dans la France du XVIII siècle (Paris, 1965), pp.

8. Jentzsch, Der deutsch-lateinische Büchermarkt; Albert Ward, Book Production, Fiction, and the German Reading Public 1740-1800 (Oxford, 1974); Rudolf Schenda, Volk ohne Buch: Studien zur Sozialgeschichte der populären Lesestoffe 1700-1910 (Frankfurt am Main, 1970), p. 467.

9. For Jefferson's model of a minimal library for an educated but not especially scholarly gentleman, see Arthur Pierce Middleton, A Virginia

Gentleman's Library (Williamsburg, Va., 1952).

10. Daniel Mornet, "Les Enseignements des bibliothèques privées (1750populaire au XVIIIe siècle (Paris, 1981), pp. 204-41. common reader, see Daniel Roche, Le Peuple de Paris: Essai sur la culture discussed in Schenda, Volk ohne Buch, pp. 461-67. On the Parisian to 1830, have appeared. Walter Wittmann's study and related works are overview of the French literature with bibliographical references, see (Paris, 1982-), of which the first two volumes, covering the period up Henri-Jean Martin and Roger Chartier, eds., Histoire de l'édition française 1780)," Revue d'histoire littéraire de la France 17 (1910): 449-96. For an

11. Reinhard Wittmann, Buchmarkt und Lektüre im 18. und 19. Jahrhundert. Beiträge zum literarischen Leben 1750-1880 (Tübingen, 1982), pp. 46-68. Wallace Kirsop, "Les Mécanismes éditoriaux," in Martin and Chartier,

eds., Histoire de l'édition française, II:31-32.

12. John A. McCarthy, "Lekture und Lesertypologie im 18. Jahrhundert (1730-1770). Ein Beitrag zur Lesergeschichte am Beispiel Wolfenbüt-

13. Rolf Engelsing, "Die Perioden der Lesergeschichte in der Neuzeit: Das statistische Ausmass und die soziokulturelle Bedeutung der Lektüre," Engelsing, Der Bürger als Leser: Lesergeschichte in Deutschland 1500-1800 (Stuttgart, 1974). Archiv für Geschichte des Buchwesens 10 (1969): cols. 944-1002; and

14. David Hall, "The Uses of Literacy in New England, 1600-1850," in Joyce, Hall, Brown, and Hench, eds., Printing and Society in Early America, pp. 1-47.

15. For similar observations on the setting of the reading, see Roger Chartier and Daniel Roche, "Les Pratiques urbaines de l'imprimé," in Histoire de l'édition française, II: 403-29.

16. Restif de la Bretonne, La Vie de mon père (Ottawa, 1949), pp. 216-17

Schubart's poem is quoted in Schenda, Volk ohne Buch, p. 465.

17. On chapbooks and their public in France, see Charles Nisard, Histoire de Seventy Years of Life and Labor: An Autobiography (New York, 1925), pp thèque bleue de Troyes (Paris, 1964); and for examples of more recent scholarship, the series "Bibliothèque bleue" edited by Daniel Roche and published by Editions Montalba. The best account of popular literature As an example of workers reading to each other, see Samuel Gompers, gert, Aufklärung und Volkslektüre exemplarisch dargestellt an Rudolph Zacharias has been challenged by some more recent work, notably Reinhart Sie-Becker und seinem "Noth- und Hülfsbüchlein" (Frankfurt am Main, 1978). in Germany is still Schenda, Volk ohne Buch, although its interpretation livres populaires ou de la littérature du colportage (Paris, 1854), 2 volumes; Robert Mandrou, De la culture populaire aux 17e et 18e siècles: La Biblio-

18. Françoisc Parent-Lardeur, Les Cabinets de lecture: La Lecture publique à typographique de Neuchâtel, Bibliothèque publique et universitaire, cabinet littéraire comes from his dossier in the papers of the Société Paris sous la Restauration (Paris, 1982). The description of Bernard's

Neuchâtel, Switzerland

19. The studies by Dann, Welke, and Prüsener, along with other interesting Emanzipation: ein europäischer Vergleich (Munich, 1981). research, are collected in Otto Dann, ed., Lesegesellschaften und bürgerliche

20. Heinzmann's remarks are quoted in Helmut Kreuzer, "Gefährliche Lecating the New Testament and other ritualistic uses of books, see David importance of "digesting" books: see its title page and page 302. On observations are scattered throughout his treatise, Die Kunst Bücher zu England," The Journal of Library History 21 (1986): 99 Cressy, "Books as Totems in Seventeenth-Century England and New Lesen (Jena, 1799), which also contains some typical remarks about the Oktober 1975, edited by Rainer Gruenter (Heidelberg, 1977). Bergk's beitsstelle Achtzehntes Jahrhundert Gesamthochschule Wuppertal, 24.-26 Jahrhundert," in Leser und Lesen im 18. Jahrhundert. Colloquium der Arsesucht? Bemerkungen zu politischer Lektürekritik im ausgehenden 18.

NOTES AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

21. Newberry Library, Case Wing Z 45.18 ser. 1a, no. 31.
22. Margaret Spufford, "First Steps in Literacy: The Reading and Writing edited by Gerd Baumann, (Oxford, 1986), pp. 97-131. On popular Experiences of the Humblest Seventeenth-Century Autobiographers, (Umca, Sweden: 1973). Historical Perspective—a Conference Report," Educational Reports: Umea Sweden, 1977), quotation from p. 11; and "Literacy and Society in a parison with Some Other Countries," Educational Reports: Umea (Umea. his remarkable research in "The History of Literacy in Sweden in Com-1800-1900 (Chicago, 1957). Egil Johansson has summarized much of The English Common Reader: A Social History of the Mass Reading Public The British Working Class Reader (London, 1955); and Richard D. Altick, reading in mineteenth- and twentieth-century England, see R. K. Webb, Early Modern England," in The Written Word: Literacy in Transition, the eighteenth century, see Keith Thomas, "The Meaning of Literacy in (Athena, Ga., 1981). On reading in England from the sixteenth through Histories: Popular Fiction and Its Readership in Seventeenth-Century England Social History 4 (1979): 407-35; and Spufford, Small Books and Pleasant

This discussion is based on the work of Dominique Julia, notably his "livres de classe et usages pédagogiques," in Histoire de l'édition française, II.468-97. See also Jean Hébrard, "Didactique de la lettre et soumission au sens: Note sur l'histoire des pédagogies de la lecture," Les Textes du Centre Alfred Binet: L'Enfant et l'écrit 3 (1983): 15-30.

24. Valentin Jamerey-Duval, Mémoires: Enfance et éducation d'un paysan au cd., Journal de ma vie: Jacques-Louis Menétra compagnon vitrier au 18e siècle XVIII's siècle, edited by Jean-Marie Goulemot (Paris, 1981); Daniel Roche,

Adams's margin notes are quoted in Zoltan Haraszti, John Adams and footnotes, see Lawrence Lipking, "The Marginal Gloss," Critical Inquiry 3 (1977): 620–31; and G. W. Bowersock, "The Art of the Footnote," and "Prosper Marchand, 'trait d'union' entre auteur et éditeur," De "L'Apport de Prosper Marchand au 'système des libraires de Paris' " The American Scholar 53 (1983-84): 54-62. On the Prosper Marchand Gulden Passer 56 (1978): 21-63, 65-99. manuscripts, see the two articles by Christiane Berkvens-Stevelinek, the Prophets of Progress (Cambridge, Mass., 1952), p. 85. On glosses and

26. For surveys and bibliographics of reader-response criticism, see Susan in Prose Fiction from Bunyan to Beckett (Baltimore, 1974). (Baltimore, 1980). One of the most influential works from this strain of Audience and Interpretation (Princeton, N.J., 1980); and Jane P. Tompcriticism is Wolfgang Iscr, The Implied Reader: Patterns of Communication kins, cd., Reader-Response Criticism: From Formalism to Post-Structuralism R. Sulciman and Ingo Crosman, cds., The Reader in the Text: Essays on

27. Walter J. Ong, "The Writer's Audience Is Always a Fiction," PMLA 90

(1975): 9-21.

28. Gottfried Kleiner as quoted in Ulrich Ernst, "Lesen als Rezeptionsakt. Textpräsentation und Textverständnis in der manieristischen Barock-

issue of Zeitschrift für Literaturwissenschaft und Linguistik 15 (1985): 72. lyrik," in Lesen-historisch, edited by Brigitte Schieben-Lange, a specia

29. D. F. McKenzie, "Typography and Meaning: The Case of William edited by Giles Barber and Bernhard Fabian (Hamburg, 1981). pp. 81-126. See also McKenzie, Bibliography and the Sociology of Texts (London Congreve," in Buch und Buchhandel in Europa im achtzehnten Jahrhundert

30. Roger Chartier, Figures de la gueuserie (Paris, 1982). See also the collecof essays, Lectures et lecteurs dans la France d'Ancien Régime (Paris, 1987) Lange, Lesen-historisch. and Spanish literature, notably in studies of Don Quixote, see Schieben-For examples of similar trends in research now underway in German tive volumes edited by Chartier-Pratiques de la lecture (Paris, 1985) and Les Usages de l'imprimé (Paris, 1987)—as well as Chartier's own volume

31. Paul Saenger, "Manières de lire médiévales," Histoire de l'édition française uals who read silently long before the seventh century, the most famous 1: 131-41; and Saenger, "From Oral Reading to Silent Reading," Viator d'histoire du livre, new series, no. 16 (1977): 583-610. Henri-Jean Martin, "Pour une histoire de la lecture," Revue française For further discussion of reading and the early history of the book, see being Saint Ambrose as described in the Confessions of Saint Augustine 13 (1982): 367-414. Of course, one can find exceptional cases of individ-

32. On the long-term history of the notion of the world as a book to be probably wrote it in 1728, when he was a young printer and a wit in the Junto Club: see *The Papers of Benjamin Franklin*, edited by Leonard W. read, see Hans Blumenberg, Die Lesbarkeit der Welt (Frankfurt am Main each of the three autograph texts Labaree (New Haven, 1959-) I: 109-11. The phrasing differs slightly in 1981). Franklin's epitaph does not actually appear on his gravestone. He

### Intellectual and Cultural History

in the United States during the 1970s. For that reason, it has little to say 327-54, was written in response to a request by the American Historical Contemporary Historical Writing in the United States (Ithaca, N.Y., 1980) This essay, published in Michael Kammen, ed., The Past Before Us have become more important in the 1980s. about history writing outside this country or about a few trends that Association for a report on intellectual and cultural history as practised

1. The Dictionary of Ideas, edited by Philip P. Wiener et al. (New York nal of the History of Ideas: F.E.L. Priestley, "Mapping the World of Ideas," Journal of the History of Ideas 35 (1974): 527-37. Although the reviewer observed in another monumental Lovejoy enterprise, the Jour-Dictionary represents different varieties of intellectual history, it gener-1973), 4 volumes, can be considered "a monument to Lovejoy," as a

> traced through time and across space. Compare the preface of the Dictionary with Lovejoy's prefatory article in the first issue of the Journal: ally treats ideas in the Lovejoy fashion, as concrete entities that can be in New Directions in American Intellectual History, edited by John Higham "Reflections on the History of Ideas," Journal of the History of Ideas 1 (1940): 3-23. See also George Boas, The History of Ideas: An Introduction (New York, 1969); and Rush Welter, "On Studying the National Mind,"

and Paul K. Conkin (Baltimore, 1979), pp. 64-82. Murray G. Murphey, "The Place of Beliefs in Modern Culture," in

Higham and Conkin, eds., New Directions, p. 151.

3. "The Future of European Intellectual History," circular (Spring 1979).

4. John Higham, "Introduction," in Higham and Conkin, eds., New Directions, pp. x1-xv11.

5. The proceedings of the Rome conference were published in Felix Gilbert and Stephen Graubard, eds., Historical Studies Today (New York, 1972), after appearing in issues of Daedalus. See especially the papers by Felix Schwartz, "A Brief Defense of Political and Intellectual History. Gilbert, "Intellectual History: Its Aims and Methods," and Benjamin I.

The convention at San Francisco in 1973 precipitated a great deal of stocktaking among intellectual historians and contributed to the formation of an Intellectual History Group, which issued its first newsletter

in the spring of 1979.

7. As examples of strong views on the crisis, see Paul K. Conkin, "Intellectual History: Past, Present, and Future," in The Future of History, siècle: Réflexions de méthode," Niveaux de culture et groupes sociaux: Actes of Intellectual History," Journal of the History of Ideas 34 (1973): 499-516; 55. For more moderate reactions, see Leonard Krieger, "The Autonomy "The Contemporary Crisis in Intellectual History Studies," Clio 5 (1975): edited by Charles F. Delzell (Nashville, 1977), p. 111; and Gene Wise, du colloque réuni du 7 au 9 mai 1966 à l'Ecole normale supérieure (Paris and developed similar views of a crisis within their own tradition. See Jean and David Potter, "History and the Social Sciences," in History and The Hague, 1967), pp. 171-88. Ehrard et al., "Histoire des idées et histoire sociale en France au XVIII° bacher (New York, 1973), pp. 40-47. Some French historians have American Society: Essays of David M. Potter, edited by Don E. Fehren-

8. Much of the following historiographical sketch is based on Robert 1966), and especially the work of John Higham: "The Rise of American Intellectual History," *American Historical Review* 56 (1951): 453–71; "American Intellectual History: A Critical Appraisal," *American Quar*terly 13 (1961): 219-33; (with the collaboration of Leonard Krieger and Skotheim, American Intellectual Histories and Historians (Princeton, N.J., Felix Gilbert) History (Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965); and Writing American History: Essays on Modern Scholarship (Bloomington, Ind., 1970).

9. William Hesseltine, quoted in Skotheim, American Intellectual Histories