## FOIF

## 1. DAWKINS VIDEO ON LAMOUREUX

NO NAMES PLEASE
Yes No Uncertain

If you had the opportunity to talk with Richard Dawkins about this video, what are **3 points** you would make?

#### **COMMENTS ON THE BACK**

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

### **3. RELIGIOUS BELIEF**

NO NAMES PLEASE
Yes No Uncertain

#### A. Category of Belief:

\_\_\_\_ Theism

belief in a personal God

\_\_\_\_ Deisn

belief in an impersonal God

Paganism

belief in a Force/s that control/s the universe

Pantheism

belief everything is God

\_\_\_ Atheism

belief that God does not exist

Agnosticism

belief that there is no knowledge regarding the existence or non-existence of God

**B. Strongest Argument for Your Belief** 

Explain on the back

C. Greatest Fear/Weakness for Your Belief

Explain on the back

**COMMENTS ON THE BACK** 

Any stories?

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

## FOIP

### 2. PRELIMINARY SCIENCE & RELIGION RELATIONSHIP

NO NAMES PLEASE Yes No Uncertain

	162 140	Uncertain	
I accept:			
	entism Julian Huxley evolution ex	y xplains away religion & C	God
	damentalis Henry Morris Bible reveal		
	•	calization ats entering this course ever communicate with eac	ch othe
Bool	<b>k of Script</b> u g F. Bacon, Po	oks Relationship: ure & Book of Natu ope JP II & B. Graham Religion complement & ch other	
_	TS ON TH	IE BACK  ny comments used pub	olicly
<b>9</b>	NO NAMES	ACTION S PLEASE Uncertain	
A. PERSC	NAL		
		nism (Dramatic) ing a dead person to life	
	Providentiali eg, God worl	ism (Subtle) king through "co-incidence:	s"
B. COSMO	DLOGICAL	L	
		ism in Origins (Past) ating in 6 literal days	
		ism in Operations (Presing planets to move off the	,

#### **COMMENTS ON THE BACK**

For those who have experienced Divine action: What is your most <u>dramatic</u> experience?

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

Providentialism in Origins (Past)

eg, God upholding the solar system

ordained & sustained natural processes

ordained & sustained natural processes

Providentialism in Operations (Present)

eg, God creating thru evolution

5. EPISTEMOLOGY NO NAMES PLEASE	6. ORIGINS (Prelim.) NO NAMES PLEASE Yes No Uncertain	
T Yes No Uncertain I accept:		
Foundational Epistemological Categories:	I accept:	
Correspondence knowledge matches external reality	Young Earth Creation  God created the universe & life in six 24-hour days about 6000 yrs ago	
Coherence  knowledge internally is consistent & without contradictions	Progressive Creation  God created life intermittently (progressively) at different	
Consilience  knowledge interconnects & fits tightly into one encompassing theory	points over billions of yrs   Evolutionary Creation  Personal God created universe & life thru evolution	
Religious Epistemological Categories:		
Divine Foundation of Knowledge knowledge is ultimately rooted in God	Deistic Evolution  Impersonal God created universe & life thru evolution	
Mystery  knowledge that is beyond the human ability to know	Universe & life evolved without any ultimate plan, purpose or final goal  Natural processes are run by nothing but blind chance	
Impact of Sin  knowledge is influenced by the spiritual state of a person. EG, does our relationship with God (or lack of) affect our ability to know?	Another View  eg Pagan Evolution. Plse explain on the back.	
COMMENTS ON THE BACK	COMMENTS ON THE BACK	
☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly	☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly	
7. EVOLUTION  NO NAMES PLEASE  Yes No Uncertain	8. GOD & EVOLUTION NO NAMES PLEASE Yes No Uncertain	
I accept:	I believe:	
A. Geological Evolution  Fossil pattern in the geological column fish→ amphibians→ reptiles→ mammals→ humans	There is no God and evolution is a dysteleological natural process	
Radiometric dating the earth is 4.5 billion yrs old	The God-of-the-Gaps in Evolution	
Breakup of Pangea into continental plates	God miraculous intervenes at different places in the natural world	
B. Biological Evolution	The God-of-the-Gaps is due to gaps	
Transitional Fossils eg Tiktaalik, Probainognathus, Archeopteryx	in knowledge, not gaps in nature eg Newton's Rewinding of the Universe Theory	
Genetic similarities indicate living organisms they are evolutionary relatives eg body plan genes, pseudo-genes	The God-of-the-Self-Assembling Creation	
C. Cosmological Evolution	Beginning with the Big Bang, God used	
The Big Bang the universe is 14 billion yrs old	cosmological, geological & biological evolution for the world to Self-Assemble	
COMMENTS ON THE BACK	COMMENTS ON THE BACK	

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

NO NAMES PLEASE Yes No Uncertain	NO NAMES PLEASE  Yes No Uncertain
A. Metaphysics-Physics Principle	A. I accept Sci & Rel Relationships:
I accept this principle	S Warfare:
Metaphysical (religious & philosophical) beliefs appear in science  Downward Arrows  EG belief in realisma real world "out there"	Scientism  R Warfare: Fundamentalism
Scientific concepts appear in religion & philosophy  Upward Arrows  EG fine-tuning in the laws of nature support the Strong Anthropic Principle & belief in an Intelligent Designer  B. I believe:  Everyone views nature through a set of "metaphysical glasses" Teleological or Dysteleological  Science enriches and magnifies the Doctrine of Creation Science improves hermeneutics  COMMENTS ON THE BACK  I DO NOT want my comments used publicly	S R Boundary or Border  S R Complementary  B. Your Thesis Statment: In about 20 words or less on the back  COMMENTS ON THE BACK Another diagram?  I DO NOT want my comments used publicly
11. INTELLIGENT DESIGN  NO NAMES PLEASE  Yes No Uncertain	12. HERMENEUTICS I  NO NAMES PLEASE Yes No Uncertain
A. Ontological Parameter of ID Circle One	l accept:
Character Artistic Engineered 1 2 3 4 5	Hermeneutical Commandment #1 Thou shall not believe that the Bible is 100% literal!!!  Literary Genre Identifying the type of literature is a KEY to herm.
Integrity ID is REAL	Accommodation
	God descended to the level of ancient humans & used their ancient ideas to reveal himself
B. Epistemological Parameter of ID  Character	The Bible has:
Proof Argument Suggestive Consistent Inert 1 2 3 4 5	Ancient Phenomenological Perspective a view of the world from unaided natural senses
Epistemological Impact of Sin	Ancient Geography
the relationship (or lack of relationship) with God impacts the mind with regard to the belief in ID (Commandment #1 Problem)	Ancient Astronomy solid domed firmament holding up a heavenly sea sun, moon & stars set in the firmament
C. Draw Intersection of ID Parameters Please draw it on the back	De Novo Creation of a 3-Tier Universe  Divine creative action accommodated through an an view of origins (quick & complete creation)
COMMENTS ON THE BACK	

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

lacksquare I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

# 13. BELIEFS OF GALILEO NO NAMES PLEASE Yes No Uncertain

#### I accept Galileo's statement:

"For the Holy Scripture and nature derive equally from the Godhead, the former as the dictation of the Holy Spirit & the latter as the most obedient executrix of God's orders"
 "[A]fter becoming certain of some physical conclusions, we should use these as very appropriate aids to the correct interpretation of Scripture" Q6
"I do not think one has to believe that the same God who has given us our senses, language, and intellect would want us to set aside the use of these" Q8
 "When one is in possession of this [scientific information] it too is a gift from God" Q8
 The Scripture "speak[s] <b>incidentally</b> of the earth, water, sun, or other created thing" <b>Q12</b>
"Propositions dictated by the Holy Spirit were expressed by the sacred writers in such a way as to <b>accommodate</b> the capacities of the very unrefined & undisciplined masses"
 "The intention of the Holy Spirit is to teach us how one goes to heaven, and not how heaven goes" Q16

#### **COMMENTS ON THE BACK**

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

### 15. BELIEFS OF DARWIN

NO NAMES PLEASE
Yes No Uncertain

#### I accept Darwins's statement:

"No one can stand unmoved in these solitudes [a forest],
without feeling that there is more in man than the mere
breath of his body" Q5

- Embryology-Evolution Analogy: "To my mind it accords better with what we know of the laws impressed on matter by the Creator" **Q7**
- "There is grandeur in this [evolutionary] view of life...from so simple a beginning endless forms most beautiful and most wonderful have been, and are being, evolved." Q8
- "The mystery of the beginning of all things is insoluble by us; and I for one must be content to remain an Agnostic" Q9
- "But then arises the horrid doubt—can the mind of man, which has, as I fully believe, been developed from a mind as low as that possessed by the lowest animal, be trusted when it draws such grand conclusions?" Q13
- "It seems to me absurd to doubt that a man may be an ardent theist & an evolutionist" Q14
- ID "often comes over me with overwhelming force" Q14

#### COMMENTS ON THE BACK

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

### 14. GALILEO FOR TODAY NO NAMES PLEASE

You have a friend who is a young earth creationist. Use Galileo's views on science & religion to show him or her that it is possible to accept biological evolution and Christian faith. Give **3 reasons** to support your position.

#### COMMENTS ON THE BACK

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

### FOF

#### **16. DARWIN FOR TODAY**

#### **NO NAMES PLEASE**

Richard Dawkins claims, "Darwin made it possible to be an intellectually fulfilled atheist." Do you agree or disagree? Using Darwin's actually religious beliefs, give **3 reasons** to support your position.

#### COMMENTS ON THE BACK

#### **17. GEN 1-3: FEATURES**

**NO NAMES PLEASE U**ncertain

acce	pt	
acce	pt:	

Gen 1 has a pair of poetic Parallel Panels eg, filling days 1-3 align with forming days 4-6
Gen 1 has Stylistic Numbers eg, 5s & 7s
Gen 2-3 has Allegorical Characteristics eg, talking snake, two mystical trees, cherubim
Gen 2-3 features a Story with Archetypes eg, Adam & Eve representing all sinful humans
The Cosmic Fall in Gen 3 is a REAL event eg, suffering & death enters the world in judgment for the sin of Adam
Conflicts exist between events in Gen 1 & 2 eg, order of creation events
Stylistic differences exist between Gen 1 & 2-3 eg, Gen 1 is poetic & Gen 2-3 is allegorical
Gen 1-3 is made up of two main sources eg, Gen 1 is Priestly & Gen 2-3 Jahwist
COMMENTS ON THE BACK

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

**NO NAMES PLEASE U**ncertain

19. HUMAN EVOLUTION

· dooopt.
The fact that 98% of scientists accept human evolution is evidence human evolution is true
Similar homologous bones between humans & living apes are evidence human evolution is true
The 6000+ hominin fossils & their respective dates are evidence human evolution is true
Transitional hominin fossils exist & are evidence human evolution is true eg Australopithecus afarensis ("Lucy")
The hominin fossil record features a pattern with the first hominins looking ape-like and over time looking more & more human-like  eg increasing skull volume shortening hips and arms & lengthening legs
Genetic similarities between humans & living apes are evidence human evolution is true chimp 99.0%, gorilla 98.4%, orangutan 97.4%, gibbon 97.1%
Nearly 10,000 identical pseudo-genes in humans & chimps

#### **COMMENTS ON THE BACK**

left Africa about 60,000 yrs ago

The Out-of-Africa Theory

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

2A & 2B are evidence human evolution is true

and similarities between human Chrom. 2 & chimp Chrom.

Mitochondrial DNA & Y-Chromosome DNA indicate humans

#### **18. GEN 1-3 & ORIGINS NO NAMES PLEASE**

**U**ncertain

A. Message-Incident Principle:		
I accept this principle		
B. I accept:		
De Novo Creation of the world in Gen 1 & 2 is an ancient understanding of origins		
Anti-evolutionisms are based on Concordism Young Earth Creation & Progressive Creation assume De Novo creative events in Gen 1 & 2 really happened		
Principle of Accommodation solves conflicts between Gen 1-3 & Evolutionary Sciences		
Genre of Gen 1-3: Ancient Origins Account		
Gen 1-3 Hermeneutics NOT applicable to NT (New Testament		
C. The purpose of Gen 1-3 is reveal:		
scientific facts about origins		
Messages of Faith		
COMMENTS ON THE BACK		

### 20. HUMAN EVOLUTION & GOD

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

**NO NAMES PLEASE** Yes No **U**ncertain

#### I believe:

In the creation of Adam, God re-cycled 10,000 chimpanzee pseudo-genes & fused two chimp chromosomes to form human Chromosome 2
 In the creation of Eve from the side of Adam,
God removed all the Y-chromosomes in each cell of Adam's tissue and replace them with X-chromosomes
 It is possible to fit Adam & Eve into the
evolutionary tree that includes inter-breeding between Humans, Neanderthals & Denisovans  If you say "yes" please explain on back
 A Non-Concordist Hermeneutic of Adam & Eve

#### **COMMENTS ON THE BACK**

3 items above

21	NO	NAME	IS (FINAL) S PLEASE Uncertain	FOIP
ассер	t:			
	Young Ea God create about 6000	ed the unive	eation erse & life in six 24-hour days	_
	Progress God create points over	ed life inter	mittently (progressively) at different	_
	Evolution Personal G	•	eation d universe and life thru evolution	
	Deistic E		<b>n</b> ed universe and life thru evolution	_
	Universe & or final goal	life evolve	Evolution d without any ultimate plan, purpose run by nothing but blind chance	_
	Another ' Explain on t	_	EG Pagan Evolution	
ave yo	_	vs "evo   No	olved" in this course?  Please explain on the back	
Ye	es 🗌 Ents oi	No N THE	olved" in this course?  Please explain on the back	_
OMME	ENTS OF WORLD	No N THE ant my	olved" in this course?  Please explain on the back  BACK	FOIP La
OMME I I DO 23 ccept:	ENTS OF NOT w  NOT w  B. He  Not Yes  torical Criticat Near eg, De Nove	NONTHE ant my Conticism Eastern lip or creation	Please explain on the back  BACK comments used publicly  Please explain on the back  BACK comments used publicly	<b>FOIP</b> 1 a
OMME I I DO  23  ccept:  History Ar	ENTS OF NOT we have a control of the West	NONTHE ant my  ONAM NO  ticism Eastern lice creation forld by M gnitive C	Please explain on the back  BACK comments used publicly  Please explain on the back  BACK comments used publicly  Please Explain  Iterature sheds light on the Bible in Mesopotamian "Creation	<b>FOIP</b> 1 a
OMMED 1 DO	ENTS OF NOT we have a set or ical Critical Criti	NONTHE ant my  IMA O NAM NO  ticism Eastern lice creation orld by M gnitive Cowith ancies of Bible &	Please explain on the back  BACK comments used publicly  Please explain on the back  BACK comments used publicly  Please explain on the Bible Iterature sheds light on the Bible In Mesopotamian "Creation Iterature in Mesopotamian Iterature in Mesopotamian "Creation Iterature in Mesopotamian Ite	FOIP I a

eg, mustard seed smallest of all seeds, bats are birds,

1-seed reproduction theory (preformatism),

Divine creative action accommodated through an

an view of origins (quick & complete creation)

immutablity of plants & animals

COMMENTS ON THE BACK

De Novo Creation of Plants & Animals

☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

Ancient Biology

#### 22. HUMAN ORIGINS **NO NAMES PLEASE** Yes No **U**ncertain I accept: Adam was a real person in history De Novo Creation (Traditional Monogeneism) Adam & Eve created as stated in Gen 2 Adam from dust of ground & Eve from Adam's side **Evolutionary Monogenism** Adam & Eve appear at the end of evolution one pre-human male & one pre-human female given spiritual realities at one point in time Evolutionary Punctiliar Polygenism Many Adams & many Eves appear at the end of evolution one group of pre-human males & one group of pre-human females given spiritual realities **Evolutionary Gradual Polygenism** No Adam/s & No Eve/s over many generations spiritual realities manifest slowly & Mysteriously **Deistic Human Evolution Dysteleological Human Evolution Another View** eg Pagan Human Evolution. Plse explain on the back COMMENTS ON THE BACK ☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly 24. POSSIBLE PEACE? **NO NAMES PLEASE** Yes No **U**ncertain accept the statements: **Richard Dawkins** "Illogical thinking is the only thing Joining science and religion together" Pope John Paul II "Sacred scripture...expresses itself in the terms of the cosmology [science] in use at the time of the writer" Reverend Billy Graham "We have misinterpreted the Scriptures many times...we have made a mistake by thinking the Bible is a scientific book" Sir Francis Bacon "Let no one...think or maintain, that anyone can search too far or be too well studied in the Book of God's Words, or in the Book of God's Works...but rather let everyone endeavour an endless progress or proficiency in both" I believe: The Two Foundational Principles of Sci & Rel contribute to Peace between Sci & Rel Metaphysics-Physics Principle & Message-Incident Principle Peace is possible between Science & Religion COMMENTS ON THE BACK ☐ I DO NOT want my comments used publicly

## FOIP

# 25. YOUR FINAL SCIENCE & RELIGION RELATIONSHIP

NO NAMES PLEASE Yes No Uncertain

I accept	:
S	<b>cientism</b> Science & evolution explain away Religion & God
Fi	Indamentalism Bible is a book of science The evolutionary sciences are false
Co	Ompartmentalization Science & Religion are in separate boxes & they never communicate with each other
c	omplementary Relationship within Evolutionary Creation

The evolutionary sciences & a non-concordist reading of the Bible allows Science & Religion to complement & enrich each other

#### **COMMENTS ON THE BACK**