Islet Encapsulation: Strategies to Enhance Islet Cell Functions
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ABSTRACT

Diabetes is one of the most prevalent, costly, and debilitating diseases in the world. Although traditional insulin therapy has alleviated the short-term effects, long-term complications are ubiquitous and harmful. For these reasons, alternative treatment options are being developed. This review investigates one appealing area: cell replacement using encapsulated islets. Encapsulation materials, encapsulation methods, and cell sources are presented and discussed. In addition, the major factors that currently limit cell viability and functionality are reviewed, and strategies to overcome these limitations are examined. This review is designed to introduce the reader to cell replacement therapy and cell and tissue encapsulation, especially as it applies to diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

DIABETES MELLITUS, one of the most prevalent and destructive diseases in the world, affects more than 150 million individuals. It is the sixth leading cause of death in the United States, contributing to more than 200,000 deaths each year. In addition to the fatality rate, the cost associated with this disease is in excess of $105 billion annually in the United States, and one in four Medicare dollars goes toward diabetes and its associated complications.1 Diabetes is also becoming more prevalent; the incidence of diabetes has increased 61% since 1991. The increasing prevalence, as seen in Figure 1, and the tremendous cost of diabetes are driving innovative research at the frontiers of medicine and bioengineering, warranting a review of the history, current status, and near-term outlook for diabetes treatment.

In 1921, when Fredrick Banting and Charles Best discovered insulin, many believed that the deleterious effects of diabetes would be eliminated. Unfortunately, the availability of insulin was not sufficient to meet the demand, but as production of insulin increased, there was renewed optimism that diabetes could be curtailed.2 Although insulin therapy has significantly reduced the immediate risks of diabetes, the chronic effects of diabetes are increasingly problematic. With the use of insulin therapy, diabetic patients can live longer, but chronic complications prevail as the primary cause of morbidity and mortality. These complications include cardiovascular diseases, renal failure, amputations, and blindness. Studies have shown that intensive control of hyperglycemia, through strict dietary adherence and precise insulin therapy, can reduce the occurrence or progression of diabetic complications;3 however, tight control of blood glucose levels using existing treatments is difficult.4 Consequently, tremendous resources are being directed toward developing improved treatment options for individuals with diabetes.

Current therapies for diabetic patients at early stages include insulin injections, dietary restrictions, and exercise, whereas therapies for diabetic patients with severe symptoms involve transplantation of the entire pancreas (organ transplantation) or of purified islets (cell transplantation). The complications associated with transplantation, such as surgical morbidity and chronic immunosuppression, however, must be considered and compared with the potential benefit of improved glucose metabolism. Several advanced
technologies, such as enclosed insulin-delivery systems and gene therapy, have also been developed to more effectively treat diabetes, offering alternatives to the traditional treatments of insulin injections and diet. The reader is referred to several recent review papers on diabetes treatment through gene therapy and closed-loop insulin-delivery systems, allowing this review to focus primarily on a promising bioengineering approach, islet encapsulation, for restoring normoglycemia through islet transplantation.

**ISLET TRANSPLANTATION**

Islet transplantation involves the transfer of healthy islet cells from a donor to the diabetic patient. The advantages of islet transplantation over whole-organ, or pancreatic, transplantation are the elimination of major surgery, the reduced mass at transplantation time (beta-cells are about 1% of the total pancreas weight), and the potential storage of donor cells by cryopreservation. Although islet transplantation has been shown to control glucose levels successfully, there are several drawbacks involved with this procedure. The major obstacles to islet transplantation are the availability of islets and the maintenance of islet functions such as cell growth and survival. For instance, islet cells, unlike other cell types, cannot be expanded in vitro to provide sufficient cells for transplantation. Islet cells also tend to clump together, causing the core cells to die because of the limitation of nutrient transport to the aggregate center, which subsequently reduces cell functional replacement. Another obstacle to islet transplantation is the host rejection of implanted islets. Thus, patients are required to take lifelong immuno-suppressive drugs to overcome the rejection of transplanted islet cells. This raises the question of whether islet transplantation is preferable to continuous insulin treatment.

One approach to overcome these obstacles is islet encapsulation. Islet encapsulation uses an immuno-protective biomaterial to create a permselective membrane around a group of islet cells. A device of this type is often referred to as a bioartificial pancreas. The membrane allows the islets to regulate blood glucose levels through insulin release while excluding, based on size, the larger proteins and cells of the immune system. Thus, encapsulation is designed to limit, and ideally eliminate, an immunological response to the non-host islet cells. Isolation of the islet cells from the human immune system may also make xeno-transplants possible, eliminating the supply problem that exists. This article will present the materials and cells used, methods that have been employed, and prospects for future developments with regard to islet cell encapsulation.

**ENCAPSULATION MATERIAL**

The encapsulation material must perform two vital functions—it must isolate the encapsulated islet cells from the immune system, and it must allow the transport of small molecules such as glucose and nutrients into the islets—in addition to permitting diffusion of insulin and waste products. The purpose of encapsulation is to reduce rejection of the insulin-producing cells by the immune system. However, if immuno-isolation is achieved at the cost of critically hindered mass transport of insulin, glucose, oxygen, and other necessary molecules, then cell death will occur, and the device will fail. Even if the molecular-weight cutoff is appropriate for these first two critical parameters, host protein adsorption and fibrous encapsulation could cause failure of the device. There are several important constraints on the material properties of the encapsulating matrix.

Several materials, including alginate and polysulphone (PS), have shown promise in sequestering the insulin-producing cells from immune-effector cells, the complement system, and immunoglobulins. Of these materials, alginate, a natural material derived from kelp, has been the most widely used, and islet capsules produced from this material are in clinical trials. Furthermore, various materials such as poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) and poly-L-lysine (PLL) have been incorporated into alginate to reduce plasma adsorption and to form semi-permeable membranes that permit nutrient and oxygen transport but limit immunogenic reactions. For example, Cui et al. demonstrated that grafting PEG chains onto alginate capsules increased in vivo viability of islet cells. PLL and poly-L-ornithine (PLO) have also been used to coat alginate islet beads to improve islet survival and to allow rapid removal of the systems.

In addition to alginate, PS has also been pursued as a possible encapsulation material. PS has a long history of use in renal dialysis and is readily fabricated as hollow fibers with a tight molecular-weight cutoff. Because PS is hydrophobic and adsorbs large amounts of insulin, work has been done to modify PS to render it more hydrophilic, allowing better insulin diffusion. However, blending PS with polyvinylpyrrolidone or sodium-dodecyl-sulfate interfered with proper islet function such as glucose-induced insulin release. On the other hand, hydroxy-methylated PS shows considerable promise as an encapsulation material because it does not limit the diffusion of insulin or alter insulin secretion of macroencapsulated islets. A combined “macroencapsulation” approach of filling PS hollow fibers with islet cells in an alginate matrix has shown promising results in diabetic rats up to 20 days.

In addition to alginate and PS, other materials such as PEG, dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate–methyl methacrylate copolymer, and poly(vinyl alcohol) have been used for islet encapsulation. Some of these materials, and the methods associated with their formation, are less than ideal because of reduced viability and functionality of the islet cells due to polymer biodegradation, permeability of the capsules, fragility, and limited surface area. Additionally, a few hydrogels use photo-initiation in the formation of the hydrogel, which may damage the encapsulated cells.
more, amniotic membranes, nano-porous micro-systems, and silica have been evaluated as possible materials for encapsulation.\textsuperscript{31–33} It has also been proposed that a refillable synthetic extracellular matrix (ECM) could be constructed using a copolymer of poly(N-isopropyl-acrylamide) and acrylic acid.\textsuperscript{34} A bioartificial pancreas of this type would allow for infusion of additional islet cells if necessary. Several materials have produced positive results, which illustrates the great promise of islet encapsulation. However, the properties and manufacturing methods of some materials may limit their use in the future. One limitation of all of these materials is their inability to prevent cytokine transfer across the membrane.\textsuperscript{32} This may not be crucial in autografts or allografts but would be an essential characteristic of an encapsulation material for use in xenografts. Selection of encapsulation material is vital, and as aforementioned, there are numerous materials being evaluated to determine the optimal materials and processing methods for islet encapsulation.

CELLS USED FOR ENCAPSULATION

The Islets of Langerhans are groups of cells in the pancreas that comprise four different cell types that produce the following hormones: glucagons ($\alpha$ cells), insulin ($\beta$ cells), somatostatin ($\delta$ cells), and pancreatic polypeptide ($\gamma$ cells). Like many terminally differentiated cells, pancreatic cells, especially $\beta$ cells, cannot be grown \textit{in vitro} to provide sufficient cell mass for cell replacement. As a result, current islet transplants are dependent on allograft donors.\textsuperscript{8,18,35,36} Encapsulation of allograft islet cells must increase their survivability and functionality as well as reduce the need for immune suppression. In addition to improving allograft treatments, islet encapsulation presents the possibility of increasing the availability of donor cells by making xenografts and other cellular transplants possible.

Although there are several viable cell sources for islet encapsulation, human islet cells are an ideal choice for islet transplants. Recently, the first successful living-donor islet transplantation took place in Japan.\textsuperscript{37} Unfortunately, even with the advent of living-donor transplantations, the demand will still be far greater than the supply for the same reasons that the demand for kidney transplants is still much higher than the supply. To overcome the limited supply of $\beta$ cells, various sources for new $\beta$ cells have been investigated, including embryonic stem cells, adult stem cells, immortal islet cell lines, and xenografts.\textsuperscript{38}

\textit{Embryonic stem cells}

Because the mature islet cells do not readily divide, there is great interest in differentiating embryonic and adult stem, or precursor, cells into insulin-producing cells.\textsuperscript{39–44} Recently, studies have succeeded in coaxing embryonic stem cells to produce insulin.\textsuperscript{45,46} The cells were able to assemble into 3-dimensional clusters, similar to those \textit{in vivo}, and maintained pancreatic function, including glucose-induced insulin release. Factors used for stem cell differentiation include signals from blood vessels such as vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) and fetal soluble factors, which play an important role in the pancreatic differentiation of embryonic stem cells.\textsuperscript{36–50} Additionally, undifferentiated embryonic stem cells are genetically engineered with $\beta$ cell genes such as Nkx6.1 to obtain insulin-secreting cells.\textsuperscript{51,52}
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\caption{Prevalence of diabetes in the United States; 1990, 1995, 2001.}
\end{figure}
**Tissue progenitor/stem cells**

Insulin-producing cells can also be generated from adult stem cells as well as from embryonic stem cells. For instance, neural stem cells have demonstrated the ability to differentiate into cell clusters and to release insulin in response to glucose, similar to islets. Adult spleen cells also have been found to restore normoglycemia in diabetic mice. Although the adult stem cells lack the proliferative capabilities of embryonic stem cells, they may be safer because they would reduce the risk of uncontrolled proliferation in vivo, which might lead to cancer later. In addition, adult stem cells may make autologous cell transplants possible.

**Islet cell lines**

Because of the limited availability, difficulty, and expense of the isolation and differentiation of stem cells, islet cell lines have been explored as alternative cell sources for islet transplantation. Immortalized cell lines from endocrine precursor cells of the human pancreas, using retroviral vectors expressing multiple dominant oncogenes, have been developed to provide unlimited cell quantities for islet transplantation to treat diabetes. To address the problems that transformed cells grow indefinitely, develop large multi-cellular clusters, and force the encapsulated construct to expand and eventually rupture, growth-regulated cell lines have been generated by integrating tetracycline-off or -on operon systems to allow cell growth regulation upon exposure to tetracycline or its derivatives. Furthermore, the development of surrogate non-endocrine cells genetically modified to secrete insulin may provide an alternative source of cells that can regulate blood glucose levels.

**Xenografts**

In addition to stem cells and cell lines generated from humans, islets isolated from other species are another source for β cell replacement. Porcine islets are an attractive option for xenotransplantation because of the high number of isolated cells and the ability for genetic modification. Before recombinant insulin-producing *Escherichia coli*, porcine insulin was often used in the treatment of diabetes. This suggests that, for most individuals, porcine insulin would effectively control blood glucose levels. One major drawback of xeno-transplantation is the need to use immunosuppression to prevent the destruction of pig islets by immunological processes when they are exposed to human blood. To protect islets from immune-mediated destruction, PEG derivatives have been used to modify the surface of adult porcine islets to provide an immuno-protection. Results from these studies have found that modification of porcine islets using PEG derivatives demonstrated significant in vitro and in vivo cyto-protection against immune reactions, potentially precluding the need for cell-mass encapsulation.

Despite the potential of xenografts, there are several major problems with using pig donors for islet transplantation. First, many individuals are opposed to this development, and some individuals may be against treatment involving the use of cells derived from animal parts because of conflicts with their religious beliefs. Some are also against the use of trans-species islet transplants because of the fear of viral infection, particularly retroviruses. Viral infection is a risk to the general population, not just those who receive xenografts. For this reason, federal approval of xenografts presents a unique challenge. Furthermore, encapsulation of islets using a variety of biocompatible materials to avoid the hyper-immune response to xenografts has failed to maintain islet viability and secretory response. It is unlikely that xenografts will be widely used in clinical applications until these problems are overcome. Thus, insulin-producing cells derived from stem cells present a promising alternative posing less inherent risk.

**METHODS OF ENCAPSULATION**

There are three general encapsulation schemes that have been studied for islet transplantation. These include intravascular macrocapsules, extravascular macrocapsules, and microcapsules. In each case, a permselective membrane is used, with the molecular-weight cutoff dictating the immuno-protective properties of the immuno-barrier. Membrane chemistry and geometry are important aspects because they influence mass transport across the membrane, biocompatibility, and encapsulated cell viability.

An intravascular implant (Fig. 2A) is a perfusion chamber designed to be directly connected to the vascular system of the host via an arteriovenous shunt. In this system, blood flows through the lumen of the hollow fibers. Thus, the islets are in close proximity to the blood while being protected by the membrane. The design of this device provides better mass-transfer rates, which in turn augments transport of nutrients and oxygen to the islets using convective blood flow. Intravascular devices, however, have seen little success because of the risk of damaging a blood vessel during surgery and the formation of blood clots at the entrance and exit regions of the device.

Macrocapsules (Fig. 2B) contain a large mass of islet cells within a diffusion chamber. Macrocapsulation devices are usually formed from spun coat membranes or spun drawn hollow fibers. Fiber diameter is an important factor to be considered when hollow fibers are used for encapsulation. A large-diameter fiber can result in a shorter overall length but can lead to nutrient diffusion limitations, thereby causing a central core of dead cells or necrotic tissue. In contrast, a small-diameter fiber can improve the transport of nutrients, but it can result in an extremely long fiber length, thereby increasing the potential breakage and making implantation more difficult. Extravascular macrocapsules can be implanted in the peritoneal cavity as well as subcutaneously.
One advantage of extravascular macrocapsules is that they can be implanted and retrieved with minimal risk. However, their major drawback is the limitation of oxygen diffusion and nutrient transport, which dampens islet cell functions, including viability.18

Microencapsulation (Fig. 2C) is the encapsulation of single islets or small groups of islets. These capsules are usually spherical in shape.69 Several methods have been used in the production of islet microcapsules. These include double emulsion, photopolymerization, micro-machined nanoporous microsystems, and electrified coaxial liquid jets.32,70,71

Microcapsules offer the advantage of increased oxygen and nutrient transport due to the large surface area–to-volume ratio. The primary drawback of microencapsulation is the difficulty in removing the implants if necessary. The debate between macro- versus microencapsulation is an ongoing dispute, and neither technique has demonstrated clear superiority over the other.

FACTORS INFLUENCING SURVIVABILITY AND FUNCTIONALITY

Although islet cell transplantation is promising, the research has not progressed as quickly as was anticipated a decade ago. This is due, in part, to limited reproducibility of successful trials, as well as to the low survival rates and impaired functions of encapsulated islet cells. The primary causes of failure include hypoxia, limited diffusion at the transplantation site, biocompatibility of the encapsulating material, and insufficient immuno-protective properties of the immuno-barrier.72

Hypoxia

Hypoxia is a major limitation in islet cell therapy because islet cells need abundant amounts of nutrients and oxygen to function properly. Normal pancreatic blood flow ensures that islet cells, in their native physiological environment, receive sufficient quantities of nutrients and oxygen.17,73,74 Conversely, hypoxia can occur in transplanted islet cells because of limited diffusion through a permselective membrane. Hypoxia is most severe in areas furthest from the oxygen supply. In intravascular grafts, hypoxia most readily occurs at the perimeter of the device, whereas in extravascular macrocapsules and microcapsules, hypoxia is most problematic at the center of the cell mass.

Several methods have been investigated to reduce hypoxic stress in islet encapsulation. Heat shock, ischemic preconditioning, and stimulation of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL before implantation may reduce the initial, but not long-term, hypoxic stress.75–77 There are also several proposed modalities for reducing chronic hypoxic stress. One proposed modality is the use of Brockman bodies. Brockman bodies are islet-like cells derived from tilapia fish accustomed to living in hypoxic water and therefore able to withstand low levels of oxygen.78 Pre-vascularization of the implant site, or of an implant matrix, may also decrease hypoxia.79 Factors that increase vascularization, such as VEGF, can be used to reduce hypoxic stress.80 Two other possibilities include genetic modification of insulin-producing cells and the production of smaller microcapsules. For instance, genes for hypoxia resistance could be transfected into insulin-producing cells, increasing the ability of the cells to withstand hypoxic conditions. Finally, the formation of smaller capsules will increase the surface-to-volume ratio, thereby reducing the distance the oxygen must diffuse to reach the center of the cell mass.

Transplantation site

It has been shown that the implantation site plays an important role in the hypoxic conditions, as well as the biocompatibility and survival of islets. For example, transplantation into the peritoneum exacerbates hypoxic conditions because oxygen is carried through the peritoneal cavity by passive diffusion only.81 This passive transport also limits the rate of insulin delivery from the islets, which hampers insulin secretory responses.81 The result is that 200% to 400% more islets must be implanted when the peritoneum is used as the transplant site.82 Additionally, the peritoneum site is proinflammatory for implantation of alginate-encapsulated pig islets, whereas kidney subcapsular and subcutaneous spaces
improve biocompatibility and islet viability. The liver has also been investigated as a possible transplant site for islet microcapsules. It may be possible to transplant the islet microcapsules through an intra-portal injection, eliminating the need for surgical implantation of the encapsulated islets. For these reasons, many researchers are investigating extra-peritoneal sites for the transplantation of islet cells.

**Material biocompatibility**

Biocompatibility of the encapsulation material is also vital for proper in vivo function of the encapsulated islets. It has been shown that survival rates of encapsulated islets for allografts and autografts are similar. This would suggest that immune responses are not the only cause of failure. In fact, insufficient biocompatibility of the membrane leads to non-specific protein adsorption and fibrotic overgrowth of the capsules, which results in necrosis. Physical or chemical imperfections can cause necrosis, although physical imperfections account for fewer than 5% of these cases. It is important that the material selected for encapsulation be highly biocompatible. Thus, several strategies have been developed to improve the biocompatibility of the membrane by reducing non-specific protein adsorption. The biocompatibility of alginate can also be increased through the removal of impurities from crude alginate.

**Immuno-protection properties**

In addition to hypoxia and biocompatibility, the immuno-protective properties of the immuno-barrier are also important for the islet encapsulation process. Even in autografts, immune protection is necessary, because in type I diabetes, the immune system is responsible for the destruction of the original β cells. Yet immune protection is more vital in allografts and especially in xenografts. When properly selected, the encapsulation material effectively sequesters the islet cells from the large molecules of the immune system, such as cells and antibodies. However, small molecules produced by the islets can attract macrophages, especially in xenografts, through chemotaxis. Chemotaxis may lead to fibrosis, a process whereby the host seeks to isolate the “foreign device” by walling it off with proteins and other materials. It has been shown that chemotaxis alone (without the involvement of hypoxia or biocompatibility) can lead to damage of the encapsulated islets. Chemoattractants, such as cytokines, can activate macrophages, which in turn produce nitric oxide. Nitric oxide is small enough to diffuse through the immuno-barrier and damage the islet cells. Therefore, several strategies have been developed to protect islets from nitric oxide–induced cellular damage. These strategies include co-encapsulation (with erythrocytes or Sertoli cells), addition of hemoglobin, and genetically engineering islet cells that are resistant to the deleterious effects of nitric oxide.

**ADDITIONAL STRATEGIES TO INCREASE ISLET CELL SURVIVAL AND FUNCTION**

In the previous sections, several methods to increase the survivability and functionality of the encapsulated islets have been presented. These include methods for reducing hypoxia, selection of graft type (vascular, macro, or micro), selection of transplantation site, biomaterial selection and processing, and methods of increasing the immuno-protective properties of the immuno-barrier. To prevent islet necrosis and induce a longer survival rate and subsequent functional duration of a bioartificial pancreas, several additional strategies have been investigated. These strategies include the use of biological factors, surface modification of islet cells, novel methods of encapsulation, and ECM mimicry.

Several biological factors such as glucagon-like peptide-1, VEGF, and hepatocyte growth factor/scatter can be used to stimulate islet function. Other factors can also be used to create a more-conducive environment for transplantation. Many factors, such as VEGF, can increase vascularity at the transplantation site, thus increasing diffusion rates. These factors are incorporated with the encapsulated materials or delivered with islets at transplantation to enhance the functionality of islets.

It has also been proposed that surface modification, rather than encapsulation, may be sufficient to protect islets from host responses. This would create excellent diffusion rates, but it is unclear whether surface modification would provide suitable immuno-protection. Conjugating PEG onto the islet surfaces appears to increase islet cell survival in vivo, but the best results required the synergistic effects of cyclosporine A (a common immunosuppressant). Although PEGylation of islet cells improves cell survival, it is unlikely that it will prove effective in eliminating the need for immune suppression and would certainly be inadequate for xenotransplants.

Another approach to improving islet survival and function is to revisit the encapsulation paradigm. A novel and promising encapsulation method employs the use of a construct similar to a dialysis cartridge. A bioartificial pancreas constructed in this manner would provide greater diffusion rates. It would also allow for the device to be explanted if necessary. Although the first-generation device of this design was tested extracorporeally, it is expected that future experiments will involve intravascular implantation. Despite some drawbacks, namely blood clotting, the preliminary results are promising.

Although these different strategies may increase islet cell survival and function, it is important to note that the interactions between cells and their environment (integrin/ECM interaction) also play an important role in maintaining islet cell survival and function. Integrin/ECM interactions have been shown to affect islet cell adhesion, proliferation, and
differentiation. For example, $\alpha_4\beta_3$ and $\alpha_5\beta_5$ regulate adhesion and differentiation of putative endocrine progenitor cells. The integrins, specifically $\alpha_3\beta_1$ and $\alpha_6\beta_1$, also regulate insulin secretion in part. Additionally, islets cultured on surfaces treated with anti-$\beta_1$ or anti-$\alpha_4$ antibodies show an increase in cell survival and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. In addition to the use of integrin antibodies, ECM mimicry can be accomplished through incorporation of other ECM proteins and peptides.

It has been demonstrated that culturing islet cells on ECM-like surfaces increases islet survival and function. For instance, glucose-stimulated insulin secretion is greater when islets are cultured on surfaces treated with ECM molecules such as collagen type I or IV, laminin, fibronectin, or arginine-glycine-aspartate (RGD) peptides. These findings indicate that incorporation of these ECM factors on the surface of the encapsulation material may improve islet survival and function. The exploitation of integrin/ECM interactions may also prove to be a vital element in creating a viable bioartificial pancreas.

Mimicking the pancreatic matrix membrane through incorporation of ECM molecules may be another means of increasing islet cell survival and function. Our preliminary studies indicated that islet cells adhered preferentially to cell-culture (polystyrene) surfaces coated with collagen IV (Fig. 3) and other molecules such as RGD, anti-$\alpha_4$, and anti-$\beta_1$ (results not shown) in a dose-dependent manner. Of the molecules studied, collagen IV, anti-$\alpha_4$, and anti-$\beta_1$ appear to have the greatest effect on islet cell adhesion (Fig. 4).

Passive absorption of collagen IV onto PS and PS with polyvinyl pyrrolidone membranes also induced islet cell adhesion (Fig. 5). However, this induction was not as substantial as those seen on the polystyrene surfaces. Future
work should include additional incorporation techniques, for example, cross-linking or layer-by-layer surface modification to improve the binding of collagen IV to PS. Further studies to assess other islet cell functions, including glucose-stimulated insulin release, will help to determine the efficacy of collagen IV incorporation.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Islet encapsulation is designed to overcome two major obstacles to traditional islet transplantation: inadequate supply of islet cells and the need for patient immune suppression. The creation of a clinically successful bioartificial pancreas will require advances in several areas. Advances in biomaterials, cell sources (including stem cells), genetic engineering, growth factor delivery, and ECM mimicry will provide new and valuable tools in the quest to create a viable bioartificial pancreas.

Perhaps no area of cell therapy has been more thoroughly studied than islet transplantation. This presents unique opportunities and challenges. It is vital that collaboration and data analysis efforts increase. This work is already underway; in 2004 the Collaborative Islet Transplant Registry (CITR) was created. “The mission of CITR is to expedite progress and promote safety in islet/beta-cell transplantation through the collection, analysis, and communication of comprehensive and current data on all islet/beta-cell transplants performed in North America.” The CITR is one example of how collaborative efforts are being devoted to islet encapsulation; however, these efforts must be expedited.

Despite the challenges of islet encapsulation, the outlook is positive. The groundwork has been laid in laboratories and clinical trials. The cost and limitations of current treatments provide the motivation for modern technologies and novel strategies. Advances in various fields such as lithography and biomimetic materials already provide the necessary tools for islet encapsulation. It is expected that, before the close of this decade, a clinically successful bioartificial pancreas will be created. Clinical success will not only benefit millions of individuals with diabetes, but will also provide a road map for future bioartificial organs, including treatments for cancer, liver failure, hemophilia, Parkinson’s disease, muscular dystrophy, and heart diseases.
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