

Notes on Robust Bayesian Design

Douglas P. Wiens¹

July 2, 2019

1 Introduction

Suppose that, given a standard regression model $\mathbf{Y}_{n \times 1} = \mathbf{X}_{n \times p} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \text{error}$, in which the rows of the model matrix \mathbf{X} are of the form $\{\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ for p -dimensional regressors $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$, we make the moment assumptions

$$E[\mathbf{Y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}, \quad \text{COV}[\mathbf{Y}|\sigma^2, \boldsymbol{\theta}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n,$$

with prior moments

$$E[\boldsymbol{\theta}|\sigma^2] = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \quad \text{COV}[\boldsymbol{\theta}|\sigma^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n_0} \mathbf{C}_0, \quad E[\sigma^2] = \sigma_0^2.$$

Assume that $\mathbf{C}_0 > \mathbf{0}$.

In this framework Pukelsheim (1993, p. 268 ff.) derives the minimum mean square error affine predictor of $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\theta}$. This predictor is of the form $\boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{Y} + \beta(\mathbf{x})$ and minimizes

$$\text{MSE}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{x})) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E\left[(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{Y} + \beta(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\theta})^2\right].$$

The calculations – see Appendix – give the minimizers

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{X} (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{1a}$$

$$\beta_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \tag{1b}$$

and the minimum MSE is

$$\text{MSE}_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}), \beta_0(\mathbf{x})) = \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{2}$$

Now consider the alternative $E[Y(\mathbf{x})|\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2] = \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\theta} + \psi(\mathbf{x})$. We suppose that the experimenter will act as though $\psi \equiv 0$, hence predict using $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0$ and β_0 , but wishes protection, through the design, against increased losses arising from model misspecification. The design space $\chi = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is finite.

In order that the alternate models be well-defined we impose the condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) \psi(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{0}; \tag{3}$$

¹Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences; University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta; Canada T6G 2G1. e-mail: doug.wiens@ualberta.ca

as well we assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \psi^2(\mathbf{x}_i) \leq \tau^2/n, \quad (4)$$

for a finite constant τ . We use the notation $\mathbf{F}_{N \times p} = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_N))'$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{N \times 1} = (\psi(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \psi(\mathbf{x}_N))'$, so that (3) and (4) become, respectively,

$$(i) \mathbf{F}'\boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (ii) \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|^2 \leq \tau^2/n. \quad (5)$$

For a design $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ on \mathcal{X} , placing mass n_i/n at \mathbf{x}_i ($\sum_{i=1}^N n_i = n$), we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \text{diag}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N), \\ \mathbf{M}_{p \times p} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{F}'\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\mathbf{F}, \\ \mathbf{b}_{p \times 1} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) \psi(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{F}'\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\boldsymbol{\psi}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Note that $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = n\mathbf{M}$.

The MSE becomes

$$\text{MSE}(\mathbf{x}; \psi) = E \left[(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{Y} + \beta_0(\mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta} + \psi(\mathbf{x})))^2 \right].$$

After a calculation (detailed in the Appendix), we find that

$$\text{MSE}(\mathbf{x}; \psi) = \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + n\mathbf{M})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \left(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + n\mathbf{M})^{-1} n\mathbf{b} - \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2.$$

Our loss function is

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{IMSE}(\psi) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \text{MSE}(\mathbf{x}_i; \psi) \\ &= \sigma_0^2 \text{tr} \left(n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + n\mathbf{M} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{F}'\mathbf{F} + n^2 \mathbf{b}' \left(n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + n\mathbf{M} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{F}'\mathbf{F} \left(n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + n\mathbf{M} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{b} + \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to work in a canonical form. Let $\mathbf{Q}_{N \times p}$ be such that its columns form an orthogonal basis for the column space of \mathbf{F} – this is obtained via the QR-decomposition $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$ for a nonsingular, triangular \mathbf{R} . We will require as well the $N \times N - p$ matrix \mathbf{Q}_+ extending \mathbf{Q} to a square, orthogonal matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q}_+ \end{pmatrix} : N \times N$ (so that $\mathbf{Q}_+ \mathbf{Q}'_+ = \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}'$). If we now define a $p \times p$ matrix \mathbf{M}_0 by $\mathbf{C}_0^{-1} = \mathbf{R}'\mathbf{M}_0\mathbf{R}$, and define as well

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\mathbf{Q}, \\ \mathbf{W}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{D}^2(\boldsymbol{\xi})\mathbf{Q}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + n\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{R}'(n_0 \mathbf{M}_0 + n\mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))\mathbf{R},$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IMSE}(\psi) &= \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(n_0 \mathbf{M}_0 + n \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))^{-1} \\ &\quad + n^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}' \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{Q} (n_0 \mathbf{M}_0 + n \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))^{-2} \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \boldsymbol{\psi} + \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (5), $\boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{Q}_+ \mathbf{c}$ for some $\mathbf{c}_{N-p \times 1}$, where $\|\mathbf{c}\| = \|\boldsymbol{\psi}\| = \tau/\sqrt{n}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{IMSE}(\psi) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \text{tr} \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} + \mathbf{c}' \mathbf{Q}'_+ \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{Q} \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-2} \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{Q}_+ \mathbf{c} + \|\mathbf{c}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \text{IMSE}(\psi) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \text{tr} \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} + \frac{\tau^2}{n} ch_{\max} \mathbf{Q}'_+ \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{Q} \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-2} \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{Q}_+ + \frac{\tau^2}{n} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \text{tr} \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\tau^2}{n} ch_{\max} \left\{ \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{Q}_+ \mathbf{Q}'_+ \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathbf{Q} \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} \right\} + \frac{\tau^2}{n} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \text{tr} \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\tau^2}{n} \left[1 + ch_{\max} \left\{ \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} [\mathbf{W}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) - \mathbf{V}^2(\boldsymbol{\xi})] \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\max_{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \text{IMSE}(\psi) = \frac{\sigma_0^2 + \tau^2}{n}$ times

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= (1 - \nu) \text{tr} \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \nu \left(1 + ch_{\max} \left\{ \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} [\mathbf{W}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) - \mathbf{V}^2(\boldsymbol{\xi})] \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right)^{-1} \right\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = \tau^2 / (\sigma_0^2 + \tau^2) \in [0, 1]$.

Notes:

1. Maximize over a neighbourhood of \mathbf{M}_0 , i.e., as neighbourhood of the prior covariance matrix of the regression parameters, as well?
2. As in Wiens (2018), if $\mathbf{V}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ is held fixed then the loss is convex in $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and the parametric form of the minimizing design, subject to the constraints, can be found analytically. A numerical minimization of the loss over these parameters then yields the final, minimax design.

Appendix: Derivations

Details for (1) and (2): We are to minimize

$$mse(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{x})) = E [Z^2(\mathbf{x})], \text{ for } Z(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{Y} + \beta(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta}.$$

Conditional on $\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} E [Z^2(\mathbf{x}) | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2] &= \text{VAR} [Z(\mathbf{x}) | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2] + (E [Z(\mathbf{x}) | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2])^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) + (\boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta} + \beta(\mathbf{x}))^2, \text{ for } \boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Averaging over $\boldsymbol{\theta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} E [(\boldsymbol{\gamma}' \boldsymbol{\theta} + \beta(\mathbf{x}))^2 | \sigma^2] &= \text{VAR} [(\boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta} + \beta(\mathbf{x})) | \sigma^2] + (E [(\boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta} + \beta(\mathbf{x})) | \sigma^2])^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n_0} \boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{C}_0 \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) + (\boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 + \beta(\mathbf{x}))^2, \end{aligned}$$

and then averaging over σ^2 gives

$$mse(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{x})) = \sigma_0^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n_0} \boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{C}_0 \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) + (\boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 + \beta(\mathbf{x}))^2.$$

Minimizing over $\beta(\mathbf{x})$ gives $\beta_0(\mathbf{x}) = -\boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = (\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} mse(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), \beta_0(\mathbf{x})) &= \sigma_0^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n_0} \boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{C}_0 \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sigma_0^2 \left\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x}) \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{2}{n_0} \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \\ &= \sigma_0^2 \left\{ \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) - \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right)' \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right) \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) - \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \sigma_0^2 \left\{ - \left(\frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right)' \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

A useful identity is

$$\left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right)^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{X} (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}', \quad (\text{A.1})$$

implying

$$\left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 = \mathbf{X} (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Thus, with

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{X} (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}),$$

for which

$$\beta_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\theta}_0,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} mse(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), \beta_0(\mathbf{x})) &= \sigma_0^2 (\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}))' \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right) (\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x})) \\ &\quad + \sigma_0^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the minimizers are $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x})$ and $\beta_0(\mathbf{x})$, and the minimum MSE is

$$\begin{aligned} mse(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}), \beta_0(\mathbf{x})) &= \sigma_0^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \left(\mathbf{I}_n + \frac{1}{n_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{X}' \right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \\ &= \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Derivation of $MSE(\mathbf{x}; \psi)$: We have $MSE(\mathbf{x}; \psi) = E[Z^2(\mathbf{x})]$, for

$$Z(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{Y} + \beta_0(\mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta} + \psi(\mathbf{x})).$$

Define $\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} = (\psi(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \psi(\mathbf{x}_n))'$ (here we use all n , not necessarily distinct, points at which observations are made). Conditional on $\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2$, and using the fact that $\beta_0(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z^2(\mathbf{x}) | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2] &= \text{VAR}[Z(\mathbf{x}) | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2] + \{E[Z(\mathbf{x}) | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2]\}^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) + \left\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}) + \beta_0(\mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\theta} + \psi(\mathbf{x})) \right\}^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) + \left\{ (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})) (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \psi(\mathbf{x})) \right\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Upon averaging over $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, $E[Z^2(\mathbf{x}) | \sigma^2]$ becomes $\sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) +$

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\left\{ (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})) (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \psi(\mathbf{x})) \right\}^2 \middle| \sigma^2 \right] \\ &= \text{VAR} \left[(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})) (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \psi(\mathbf{x})) \middle| \sigma^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \left\{ E \left[(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})) (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \psi(\mathbf{x})) \middle| \sigma^2 \right] \right\}^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n_0} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})) \mathbf{C}_0 (\mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})) + (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \psi(\mathbf{x}))^2, \end{aligned}$$

and then averaging over σ^2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}(\mathbf{x}; \psi) &= \sigma_0^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n_0} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})) \mathbf{C}_0 (\mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})) + \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \\ &= \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

As a check we note that

$$\text{MSE}(\mathbf{x}; \psi) = \text{MSE}_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}), \beta_0(\mathbf{x})) + \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} - \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2.$$

Finally, we note that $\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} = n \mathbf{M}$ and that

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_0(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}' \mathbf{X} (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + n \mathbf{M})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = n \mathbf{b}' (n_0 \mathbf{C}_0^{-1} + n \mathbf{M})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}).$$

□

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out with the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada.

References

- Pukelsheim, F. (1993), *Optimal Design of Experiments*, Wiley.
- Wiens, D. P. (2018), I-Robust and D-Robust Designs on a Finite Design Space, *Statistics and Computing*, 28, 241-258.